

# Stochastic of Finance Lecture 1

## Contents

1	Recap	1
2	Content	4
2.1	Conditional expectation	4
2.2	Brownian Motion	5
2.2.1	First and quadratic variation	6

## 1 Recap

### Definition 1

#### Axiomatic definition of probability spaces

Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all possible outcomes of a random experiment. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^\Omega$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ , i.e., the following hold:

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ .
2.  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable union, that is, if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $P$  be a function (measure)  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that the following hold:

1.  $P(A) \geq 0$  (trivially holds due to range).
2.  $P$  is  $\sigma$ -additive, i.e., if  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a countable collection of disjoint subsets, then we have  $P(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty P(A_i)$ .
3.  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .

Then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space.

The classical probability comes from the formal definition when  $\Omega$  is finite,  $|\Omega| = n$ ,  $P(\{\omega\}) = \frac{1}{n}$  where  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the power set of  $\Omega$ , which also turns out to be a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ .

### Definition 2

#### Random variables:

Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , if  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that  $X^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \in \mathcal{F} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $X$  is a random variable, or a measurable function w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### Example 1

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the largest  $\sigma$ -field (power set but maybe for infinite sets), then any real-function is a random variable.

### Example 2

A constant function is always a random variable.

### Definition 3

**Stochastic processes:**

A stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a collection of random variables defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

**Example 3****Examples of real-life stochastic processes:**

1. Price of some stock at the end of the day.
2. Number of trades made every second.
3. Market index at time  $t$ .
4. Number of companies registered in stock market at the end of the week.
5. Variance in a stock price in a day - measure on the random variables (since computed from the data). Usually we call observed information (and not computed information) a random variable. Note also time series. Nothing wrong with calling this a random variable, but this won't be the focus of the course.

Some stochastic processes have some important properties, as follows:

1. Independence (mutual, not pairwise) - can verify such assumptions.
2. Stationary - many times we can assume that data is stationary. Two types:
  - (a) Wide sense
  - (b) Strict sense (by default)
3. Memoryless property.
4. Martingale property - also useful with conditional expectations.

For more, revisit MTL106. Time homogeneous is similar to stationary.

**Example 4****Poisson process**

$\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  - number of events occurring upto and including time  $t$ . Suppose  $N(t) \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda t)$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the Poisson distribution, and  $\lambda$  is a fixed parameter. This stochastic process is called a Poisson process. Some properties:

1. Increments are independent.
2. Increments are stationary.
3. Satisfies the memoryless property.
4. Doesn't satisfy the martingale property.

We can derive a random variable that satisfies the martingale property from any random variable.

**Example 5****Brownian motion/Wiener process**

Let  $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a stochastic process which satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $W(0) = 0$
2. For fixed  $t$ ,  $W(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$
3. Increments are independent.
4. Increments are stationary.

**Definition 4**

**Filtration:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. A family  $\{\mathcal{F}_t \mid t \geq 0\}$  of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a filtration if  $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$  if  $s \leq t$ .

HW: create some examples of filtrations.

**Definition 5**

If  $\Omega$  is a space of functions on  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ , then it comes with a natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{x(s), s \leq t\}$  where  $x$  is a stochastic process. That is, consider the set of all possible values of  $x(s)$  where  $s \leq t$ , and generate a  $\sigma$ -field out of it.

**Definition 6**

Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ , a family  $\{M(t), t \geq 0\}$  (this is a stochastic process) is called a martingale wrt  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$  if

1. For almost all  $w \in \Omega$ , we have  $M(t, w)$  has left and right limits at every  $t$  and is continuous from the right.
2. For each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $M(t)$  (random variable) is a measurable function wrt  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and integrable.
3. For  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = M(s)$  almost everywhere/surely.

**Example 6**

Example for filtration:

The random experiment is tossing an unbiased coin infinitely many times.

We have  $\Omega = \{HHH \dots, HTH \dots, \dots\}$ .

Let  $A_H$  be the collection of samples starting with  $H$  in the first toss.

Let  $A_T$  be the collection of samples starting with  $T$  in the second toss.

Let  $A_{HH}$  be the collection of samples starting with  $H$  in the first toss and  $H$  in the second toss.

Let  $A_{HT}$  be the collection of samples starting with  $H$  in the first toss and  $T$  in the second toss.

Consider the trivial  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

Using the first toss, we construct the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, A_H, A_T, \Omega\}$ .

Using the second toss, we construct the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, A_{HH}, A_{HT}, A_{TH}, A_{TT}, A_{HH} \cup A_{HT}, \dots, A_{HH}^c, \dots, \Omega\}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$ . Also note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 7**

Non-example for martingale:

Let  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a Poisson process on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

Now that the parameter space is contained in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we have a natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{N(s), s \leq t\}$ .

Note the following properties:

1.  $N(t, w)$  is right continuous at  $t$  for  $w \in \Omega$ .
2.  $N(t)$  is a measurable function wrt  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and integrable.
3.  $\mathbb{E}[N(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[N(t) - N(s) + N(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = N(s) + \lambda(t - s)$ .

Therefore this is not a martingale wrt the given filtration.

**Example 8**

$\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with the natural filtration. The first two conditions hold as usual. The third condition:

$\mathbb{E}[W(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[W(t) - W(s) + W(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[W(t) - W(s)] + \mathbb{E}[W(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = 0 + \mathbb{E}[W(s)]$  since

$W(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$  and  $\mathbb{E}[W(s) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[W(s)]$ .

Hence brownian motion is a martingale wrt the natural filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}(t), t \geq 0\}$ .

### Definition 7

**Sub-martingale:** If  $\mathbb{E}[X(t) | \mathcal{F}(s)] \geq X(s)$  a.e., then it is called a sub-martingale.

### Definition 8

**Super-martingale:** If  $\mathbb{E}[X(t) | \mathcal{F}(s)] \leq X(s)$  a.e., then it is called a super-martingale.

Poisson process is a sub-martingale.

### Example 9

Let  $\{X_n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $X_n$  = the amount at the end of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  game.  $Y_i$  is the payoff of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  game, where  $P[Y_i = 1] = P[Y_i = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$ . Suppose  $X_0 = A$ .

We have  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n + Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}[X_n]$ . So this stochastic process is a martingale. Also note that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_0 + Y_1 + \dots + Y_n] = A + 0 + \dots + 0 = A$ .

### Definition 9

#### Markov Property

Let  $\{X(t) | t \geq 0\}$  be a stochastic process defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . If for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $P(X(t) | X(u), 0 \leq u \leq s) = P(X(t) | X(s))$ , then this stochastic process is a Markov process, and is said to have the Markov property.

The same can be done for discrete processes.

For instance, verify that  $P(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_0 = A, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = P(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n)$ , which gives us that the random walk is also a Markov process.

### Example 10

Consider the Poisson process  $\{N(t) | t \geq 0\}$ . Then we have  $P(N(t) = k | N(u), 0 \leq u \leq s) = P(N(t) = k | N(s))$  due to independent increments, so this is a Markov process.

Any process with independent increments is a Markov process.

A Markov process is a 1st order dependent process.

More generally, an auto-regressive process  $AR(r)$  is a process where  $X_n$  depends on  $X_{n-1}, \dots, X_{n-r}$ .

### Example 11

Consider Brownian motion  $\{W(t) | t \geq 0\}$ . This has independent increments, so this is a Markov process.

More properties: Nowhere differentiable property and so on.

## 2 Content

### 2.1 Conditional expectation

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space, and  $X$  be an integrable random variable. Suppose  $\gamma$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma]$  is a  $\gamma$ -measurable random variable such that  $\int_A X dP = \int_A \mathbb{E}[X | \gamma] dP$  for all  $A$ .

### Theorem 1

Let  $X$  be an integrable random variable. Then for each  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{F}$ , the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma]$  exists and is unique upto  $\gamma$ -measurable sets of probability 0.

#### Properties of conditional expectation

1.  $\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma] = X$  almost surely when  $X$  is  $\gamma$ -measurable.
2. Linear combinations work
3. If  $X$  is  $\gamma$  measurable and  $XY$  is integrable, then  $\mathbb{E}[XY | \gamma] = X\mathbb{E}[Y | \gamma]$  a.s.
4. Tower property:  $W \subset \gamma \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X | W] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma] | W] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma] | W]$
5.  $X \leq Y$  a.s. implies  $\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y | \gamma]$ .

**Lemma 1.1**

(Conditional Jensen's inequality)

$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , convex such that  $\mathbb{E}[|\Phi(X)|] < +\infty$  satisfies  $\Phi(\mathbb{E}[X | \gamma]) \leq \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X) | \gamma]$ .

## 2.2 Brownian Motion

$\{B(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is called Brownian motion if

1.  $B(0) = 0$  a.s.
2. Independent increments:  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ . Then  $B(t_1), B(t_2) - B(t_1), \dots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1})$  are independent.
3.  $B(t) - B(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$  for all  $t \geq s \geq 0$ .
4. Sample paths are continuous with probability 1.

That is, we have:

$$P(a \leq B(t) \leq b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^b \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right) dx$$

Now we have  $P(a_1 \leq B(t_1) \leq b_1, \dots, a_n \leq B(t_n) \leq b_n)$  equals

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_n}^{b_n} g(x_1, t_1 | 0) \cdot g(x_2, t_2 - t_1 | x_1) \dots g(x_n, t_n - t_{n-1} | x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1$$

where  $g(x, t | y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}\right)$ .

$B(t)$  is a martingale with respect to its natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(B(s) | 0 \leq s \leq t)$ .

**Theorem 2**

Let  $B(t)$  be a Brownian motion. Then the processes

1.  $X(t) = B^2(t) - t$ .
2.  $M(t) = \exp\{\sigma B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$

are both martingales wrt to its natural filtration.

*Proof.* We prove for the second martingale, which is also called the exponential martingale.

$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma B(t))] = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t)$  using standard computation. So we have  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t)] = 1$ , hence it is integrable.

We know that  $B(t) - B(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$  for  $t \geq s \geq 0$ .

We can show that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma(B(t) - B(s)))] = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t - s))$ .

So we can show that  $\mathbb{E}[M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma(B(t) - B(t))) \exp(\sigma B(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \exp(\sigma B(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t) \cdot \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma(B(t) - B(s))) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \exp(\sigma B(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t) \cdot \exp(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t - s)^2) = M(s)$ , a.s.

Hence  $M(t)$  is a martingale. □

**Lemma 2.1**

Let  $B(\cdot)$  be a one-dimensional Brownian motion. Then  $\mathbb{E}[B(t)B(s)] = \min t, s$ , with  $t, s \geq 0$ . (Covariance).

How to define other brownian motions in terms of a brownian motion?

**Example 12**

Let  $B(t)$  be a Brownian motion. Define a stochastic process:  $X(t) = 0$  if  $t = 0$ ,  $t \cdot B(1/t)$  if  $t > 0$ .

Then we claim that  $X(t)$  is a standard Brownian motion.

*Proof.* Suppose  $t > s$ . Then we have  $X(t) - X(s) = (t-s)B(1/t) + s(B(1/t) - B(1/s))$ . Note that the second part is  $\sim N(0, s^2 \cdot (1/s - 1/t))$ , and the first term is normally distributed with distribution  $N(0, \frac{(t-s)^2}{t})$ . Moreover, these are independent, so their sum has variance added, i.e., it is normally distributed with distribution  $N(0, s^2(1/s - 1/t) + (t-s)^2/t) = N(0, t-s)$ .

Now we only need to show that increments are independent.

$$Cov(X(t), X(s)) = \mathbb{E}[X(t)X(s)] = st\mathbb{E}[B(1/t)B(1/s)] = st \min(1/s, 1/t) = \min(s, t).$$

Let  $s < t$ , then  $Cov(X(s), X(t) - X(s)) = Cov(X(s), X(t)) - Cov(X(s), X(s)) = \min(s, t) - s = 0$ . Then these are independent (since normally distributed - check).

Now we need to check that they are continuous with probability 1. At  $t = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} X(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tB(\frac{1}{t}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n B(i) - B(i-1)$ .

Let  $Y(i) = B(i) - B(i-1)$ . All these are i.i.d. By SLLN (strong law of large numbers), we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = 0$  a.s., so we are done.  $\square$

**2.2.1 First and quadratic variation**

Let  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  $\Pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$ .

**Definition 10**

First variation of  $f$  upto time  $T$  is defined as  $FV_T(f) = \lim_{|\Pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)|$ .

**Definition 11**

The quadratic variation of  $f$  upto time  $T$  is defined by  $[f, f](T) = \lim_{|\Pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j))^2$ .

**Note 1**

If  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f'|$  is Riemann integrable on  $[0, T]$ , then  $FV_T(f) = \int_0^T |f'(t)| dt$ . For a proof, using mean value theorem, we have  $f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j) = f'(t_j^*)(t_{j+1} - t_j)$  for some  $t_j^* \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ .

So we have  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)| = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f'(t_j^*)|(t_{j+1} - t_j)$ , and hence

$$FV_T(f) = \lim_{|\Pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f'(t_j^*)|(t_{j+1} - t_j), \text{ which is the Riemann integral of } |f'| \text{ on } [0, T].$$

**Note 2**

If  $f$  has continuous derivative, then  $[f, f](T) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3**

If  $B(t)$  is a one dimensional Brownian motion, then  $[B, B](T) = T$  for all  $T \geq 0$  a.s.

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$ . Then we have  $Q_T^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2$ .

We have  $\mathbb{E}[(Q_T^n - T)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j))^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j) \cdot (B(t_{k+1}) - B(t_k))^2 - (t_{k+1} - t_k)]$ . For  $k \neq j$ , we can show that the terms are 0 (using symmetry and the fact that  $B(t)^2 - t$  is also a Brownian motion).

This implies that  $\mathbb{E}[(Q_T^n - T)^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(Y_k - 1)^2(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2]$ , where  $Y_k = \frac{B(t_{k+1}) - B(t_k)}{\sqrt{t_{k+1} - t_k}} \sim N(0, 1)$ .

So  $\exists c > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[(Q_T^n - T)^2] \leq c \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k)^2$ , whence the limit as  $||\Pi|| \rightarrow 0$  of the expectation is 0. Thus  $[B, B](T) = T$  for all  $T \geq 0$  a.s. (due to convergence in  $L_2$ ).  $\square$

### Lemma 3.1

For any  $t > 0$ , the first variation of Brownian motion  $B(\cdot)$  upto time  $T$  is infinite almost surely.

*Proof.* Consider the partition yet again. Look at the quadratic variation formula. We have  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 \leq \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |B(t_{k+1} - t_k)| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j)|$ . Now since Brownian motion has a continuous sample path, the supremum is 0. So the sample path has infinite variation with probability 1. Because suppose it is finite, then it gives the inequality  $T \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### Definition 12

Geometric Brownian motion:  $S(t) = S(0) \exp(\sigma B(t) + (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are constants.

This is used for stock pricing models.

Consider  $0 \leq T_1 < T_2$ , and  $T_1 \leq t \leq T_2$ . Let  $\Pi$  be a partition  $\{T_1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T_2\}$ .

Then we have  $\log\left(\frac{S(t_{j+1})}{S(t_j)}\right) = \sigma(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j)) + (\alpha - \sigma^2/2)(t_{j+1} - t_j)$ .

Summing this from  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  gives  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log^2\left(\frac{S(t_{j+1})}{S(t_j)}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sigma(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j)) + (\alpha - \sigma^2/2)(t_{j+1} - t_j))^2 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ , where  $A_1$  is term corresponding to difference of  $B$  squared,  $A_2$  is the term corresponding to the other square and  $A_3$  is the cross term. Then  $\lim_{||\Pi|| \rightarrow 0} A_1 = \sigma^2(T_2 - T_1)$ , that for  $A_2$  is 0. We need the following claim:

### Claim 3.1

$\lim_{||\Pi|| \rightarrow 0} A_3 = 0$

*Proof.*  $|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (B() - B())(|) - (|))| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |B() - B()||(|) - (|)| \leq \max |B() - B()| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |(|) - (|)| = 0 \cdot (T_2 - T_1)$ .  $\square$

So we have  $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\log \frac{S(t_{j+1})}{S(t_j)}\right)^2$  as an approximation to the volatility.