

**5.2. Pricing under the risk-neutral measure:** So far, we have derived the Black-Scholes-Merton equation for the value of a European call by asking what initial capital  $V_\Psi(0)$  and portfolio process  $\psi(t)$  an agent would need in order to hedge a short position in the call i.e., in order to have

$$V_\Psi(T) = \max\{0, S(T) - K\}.$$

We now ask the following general question: *what initial capital  $V_\Psi(0)$  and portfolio process  $\psi(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , an agent would need in order to hedge a short position to have*

$$V_\Psi(T) = V(T) \text{ a.s.} \quad (5.15)$$

where  $V(T)$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable given random variable.

Suppose our agent wishes to choose initial capital  $V_\Psi(0)$  and portfolio strategy  $\psi(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$  such that (5.15) holds. Let  $Q$  be the risk-neutral measure. Then the discounted portfolio value process  $\tilde{V}_\Psi(t) := D(t)V_\Psi(t)$  is a martingale under  $Q$  i.e.,

$$D(t)V_\Psi(t) = \mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V_\Psi(T)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad (5.16)$$

where in the last equality, we have used (5.15). The value  $V_\Psi(t)$  of the hedging portfolio in (5.16) is the capital required at time  $t$  in order to successfully complete the hedge of the short position in the derivative security with payoff  $V(T)$ . We call this price of the derivative security at time  $t$  by  $V(t)$ . Thus we have

$$D(t)V(t) = \mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Since  $D(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and bounded, by using the properties of conditional expectation and the definition of discounted process  $D(t)$ , we get

$$V(t) = \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\exp\left\{-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right\}V(T)\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]. \quad (5.17)$$

Equation (5.17) is known as **risk-neutral pricing formula**. Therefore, we determine the *correct initial capital* to be

$$V(0) = \mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V(T)]$$

and the value of the hedging portfolio at every time  $t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ , to be  $V(t)$  given in the risk-neutral pricing formula (5.17). It remains to find the portfolio value process  $\psi(t)$ . **To do so, we consider the following assumption: the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is generated by the Brownian motion  $B(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T$ .** We first claim that the process  $D(t)V(t)$  is a  $Q$ -martingale. Indeed, for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , one has

$$\mathbb{E}_Q[D(t)V(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}_t]\middle|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = \mathbb{E}_Q[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}_s] = D(s)V(s).$$

Observe that the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is generated by  $B(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T$ , not the  $Q$ -Brownian motion  $\tilde{B}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T$ . Still the martingale representation theorem holds for  $D(t)V(t)$  (one needs to work to show this, see e.g. Assignment-4). Hence there exists a adapted process  $\tilde{f}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T$  such that

$$D(t)V(t) = D(0)V(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{f}(s) d\tilde{B}(s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Since  $D(0) = 1$ , we have

$$D(t)V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{f}(s) d\tilde{B}(s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (5.18)$$

Again, we know that

$$\tilde{V}_\Psi(t) := D(t)V(t) = V_\Psi(0) + \int_0^t \psi(s)\sigma(s)D(s)S(s) d\tilde{B}(s). \quad (5.19)$$

**Let us assume that the volatility  $\sigma(t)$  does not vanishes.** In order to have  $V_\Psi(t) = V(t)$  for all  $t$ , we should have, comparing to (5.18) and (5.19)

$$V_\Psi(0) = V(0)$$

and choose the  $\psi(t)$  to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s)\sigma(s)D(s)S(s) &= \tilde{f}(s) \\ \implies \psi(s) &= \frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{\sigma(s)D(s)S(s)}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

With these choices, we have a hedge for a short position in the derivative security with payoff  $V(T)$  at time  $T$ .

**Remark 5.6.** i): Our key assumption is that the volatility  $\sigma(t)$  is not zero. If the volatility vanishes, then the randomness of the Brownian motion does not enter the stock, although it may still enter in the payoff  $V(T)$  of the derivative security. In this case, the stock is no longer an effective hedging instrument.

ii): There is no randomness in the derivative security apart from the Brownian motion randomness, which can be hedged by trading the stock.

Under these two assumptions, every  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable derivative security can be hedged. Such a model is said to be **complete**.

**5.3. Put-Call Parity and pricing formula for European put option:** Consider a model with a unique risk-neutral measure  $Q$  and constant interest rate  $r$ . According to the risk-neutral pricing formula, the price at time  $t$  of a European call expiring at time  $T$  is

$$C(t) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} \max\{0, S(T) - K\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

where  $S(T)$  is the underlying asset price at time  $T$  and  $K$  is the strike price of the call. Similarly, the price at time  $t$  of a European put expiring at time  $T$  is

$$P(t) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} \max\{0, K - S(T)\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Since

$$x - K = \max\{0, x - K\} - \max\{0, K - x\},$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (S(T) - K) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] + e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \max\{0, K - S(T)\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (D(T)S(T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] - Ke^{-r(T-t)} + P(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since the discounted stock price  $\tilde{S}(t) := D(t)S(t)$  is a martingale under  $Q$ , one has  $\mathbb{E}_Q[(D(T)S(T)|\mathcal{F}_t)] = e^{-rt}S(t)$  and hence

$$C(t) - P(t) = S(t) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}.$$

A forward contract with delivery price  $K$  obligates its holder to buy one share of the stock at expiration time  $T$  in exchange for payment  $K$ . At the expiration, the value of forward contract is  $S(T) - K$ . Let  $f(t, x)$  denotes the value of forward contract at earlier time  $t \in [0, T]$  if the stock price at time  $t$  is  $S(t) = x$ . Then

$$f(t, x) = x - Ke^{-r(T-t)}.$$

Since the value at time  $T$  of the forward contract agrees with the value of the portfolio that is long one at call and short one put, we must have

$$f(t, x) = C(t, x) - P(t, x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (5.20)$$

The relation (5.20) is called **Put-Call-Parity** relation.

**5.3.1. Black-Scholes-Merton put formula:** We have deduced Black-Scholes-Merton call formula for a European call option. By using Put-Call-Parity formula, we now ready to deduce the Black-Scholes-Merton put formula. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, x) &= C(t, x) - f(t, x) \\ &= xN(d_1) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2) - x + Ke^{-r(T-t)} \\ &= -x\{1 - N(d_1)\} + Ke^{-r(T-t)}\{1 - N(d_2)\} \\ &= -xN(-d_1) + Ke^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

**Hedging/ delta of a put option:** From the Put-Call-Parity formula, we see that

$$P_x(t, x) = C_x(t, x) - 1 = N(d_1) - 1 < 0.$$

Therefore, the value of a European put option is decreasing as the underlying asset price increases.

**Gamma of a put option:** The gamma of a European put option, denoted by  $(\Gamma_P)$ , is given by

$$\Gamma_P := P_{xx}(t, x) = C_{xx}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma x \sqrt{T-t}} N'(d_1).$$

**Theta of a put option:** The theta of a European put option, denoted by  $(\theta_P)$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_P &:= P_t(t, x) = C_t(t, x) + rKe^{-r(T-t)} \\ &= -\frac{\sigma x}{2\sqrt{T-t}} N'(d_1) - rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(d_1) + rKe^{-r(T-t)} \\ &= rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2) - \frac{\sigma x}{2\sqrt{T-t}} N'(d_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Rho of a put option:** The rho of a European put option  $\rho_P$  is given by

$$\rho_P = P_r(t, x) = C_r(t, x) = K(T-t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2) > 0.$$

**Vega of a put option:** The vega of a European put option  $\nu_P$  is given by

$$\nu_P = P_\sigma(t, x) = C_\sigma(t, x) = x\sqrt{T-t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}.$$

Vega is always positive. An increase in the volatility will lead to an increase in the put option value.

**Remark 5.7.** The value function  $f(t, x)$  of a forward contract satisfies the same Black-Scholes-Merton PDE satisfied by  $C(t, x)$ . Indeed, since  $f(t, x) = x - Ke^{-r(T-t)}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + rx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - r \right) f(t, x) \\ &= rKe^{-r(T-t)} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \cdot 0 + rx \cdot 1 - r(x - Ke^{-r(T-t)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Put-Call-Parity formula, we also conclude that the value function of a European put option  $P(t, x)$  satisfies the same Black-Scholes-Merton PDE satisfied by  $C(t, x)$ , European call option.