

## Approach to Solving the Problem(Steps)

### *1. Problem Definition*

The goal of the system is to recommend relevant assessments based on a user query and evaluate the quality of recommendations using Precision@K and Recall@K.

### *2. Data Preparation*

Relevant Documents (relevant\_docs):

A list of predefined assessments is created, containing metadata such as name, description, job\_levels, duration, test\_type, remote, adaptive / IRT, and url.

These documents serve as the ground truth for evaluation and is used for calculating precision and recall.

Document Catalog (shl\_catalogue.json):

A JSON file(containing SHL's product catalogue ) containing all available assessments is loaded into the system to build the recommendation engine.

### *3. Embedding and Indexing*

Embedding Generation:

The generate\_embeddings function converts the textual content of the documents into numerical vectors using a pre-trained embedding model.

These embeddings capture the semantic meaning of the documents.

FAISS Index Creation:

The create\_faiss\_index function builds a FAISS (Facebook AI Similarity Search) index using the generated embeddings.

This index enables fast similarity searches for user queries.

Index Preparation:

The prepare\_index function loads the document catalog, generates embeddings, and creates the FAISS index.

The function is cached using @st.cache\_resource to avoid redundant computations.

#### 4. Query Handling

User Input:

A text input box is provided for the user to enter a query (e.g., "Test for mid-level engineers with coding skills").

The query is processed to find the most relevant documents.

#### 5. Recommendation Retrieval:

The `get_recommendations` function searches the FAISS index using the query embedding to retrieve the top K most similar documents.

The metadata of the recommended documents is extracted for display.

#### 6. Recommendation Display

Streamlit Interface:

The recommended documents are displayed in a user-friendly format using Streamlit.

Each recommendation includes:

Name

Description

Test Type

Job Levels

Duration

Remote Testing

Adaptive/IRT

URL (as a clickable link)

### Evaluation of the Recommendation System

Metrics Used

Precision@K:

Precision@K is the proportion of relevant assessments among the top K recommendations.

Formula: 
$$\text{Precision@K} = \frac{\text{Number of Relevant Documents in Top K}}{K}$$

A higher Precision@K indicates that the system is returning more relevant results in the top K recommendations.

## Recall@K:

Recall@K is the proportion of all relevant assessments that are retrieved in the top K recommendations.

Formula: 
$$\text{Recall@K} = \frac{\text{Number of Relevant Documents in Top K}}{\text{Total Number of Relevant Documents}}$$

A higher Recall@K indicates that the system is retrieving a larger portion of the relevant assessments.

## Evaluation Process

The evaluation process involves the following steps:

### Define Relevant Documents(ground\_truth):

A list of relevant assessments (relevant\_docs) is predefined. Each document contains metadata such as name, description, job\_levels, duration, and test\_type. These documents act as the ground truth for evaluation.

→ This is present in app\_test.py as a ground truth for evaluation..

### Retrieve Recommendations:

Based on the user query, the system retrieves the top K recommendations using the FAISS index.

Each recommendation includes metadata such as name, description, test\_type, and url.

### Normalize Data:

Both the relevant documents and recommended documents are normalized to ensure accurate comparison. This includes:

Converting text to lowercase.

Stripping extra spaces.

### Compare Recommendations with Relevant Documents:

The system compares the normalized name field of the recommended documents with the relevant documents to identify matches.

Calculate Precision@K and Recall@K:

Precision@K is calculated as the ratio of relevant documents in the top K recommendations to the total number of recommendations (K).

Recall@K is calculated as the ratio of relevant documents in the top K recommendations to the total number of relevant documents.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation process involves the following steps:

#### Define Relevant Documents:

A list of relevant assessments (relevant\_docs) is predefined. Each document contains metadata such as name, description, job\_levels, duration, and test\_type. These documents act as the ground truth for evaluation.

#### Retrieve Recommendations:

Based on the user query, the system retrieves the top K recommendations using the FAISS index.

Each recommendation includes metadata such as name, description, test\_type, and url.

#### Normalize Data:

Both the relevant documents and recommended documents are normalized to ensure accurate comparison.

This includes:

- Converting text to lowercase.
- Stripping extra spaces.
- Compare Recommendations with Relevant Documents:

The system compares the normalized name field of the recommended documents with the relevant documents to identify matches.

Calculate Precision@K and Recall@K:

**Precision@K** is calculated as the ratio of relevant documents in the top K recommendations to the total number of recommendations (K).

**Recall@K** is calculated as the ratio of relevant documents in the top K recommendations to the total number of relevant documents.

## Future Enhancements

### Improved Recommendation Accuracy:

Fine-tune the mistralai/Mistral-7B-Instruct-v0.1 model on SHL-specific data to provide more precise and context-aware recommendations.

### Enhanced User Interface:

Add filters (e.g., job level, test type) and visualizations to allow users to refine and better understand recommendations.

### Scalability:

Deploy the system on cloud platforms like AWS or Azure to handle larger datasets and support more users simultaneously.

### Multi-Language Support:

Extend the system to support queries and recommendations in multiple languages for a global audience.

### Personalization:

Introduce user profiles to provide personalized recommendations based on past interactions and preferences.