

OGC API - Records - Part 1

Core

Open Geospatial Consortium

Submission Date: <yyyy-mm-dd>

Approval Date: <yyyy-mm-dd>

Publication Date: 2020-01-13

External identifier of this OGC® document: <http://www.opengis.net/doc/is/ogcapi-records-1/1.0>

Internal reference number of this OGC® document: 20-004

Version: 0.0.1

Category: OGC® Implementation Specification

Editor: Charles Heazel

OGC API - Records - Part 1: Core

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2020 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit <http://www.opengeospatial.org/legal/>

Warning

This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is distributed for review and comment. This document is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: OGC® Implementation
Specification

Document stage: Draft

Document language: English

License Agreement

Permission is hereby granted by the Open Geospatial Consortium, ("Licensor"), free of charge and subject to the terms set forth below, to any person obtaining a copy of this Intellectual Property and any associated documentation, to deal in the Intellectual Property without restriction (except as set forth below), including without limitation the rights to implement, use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, and/or sublicense copies of the Intellectual Property, and to permit persons to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished to do so, provided that all copyright notices on the intellectual property are retained intact and that each person to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished agrees to the terms of this Agreement.

If you modify the Intellectual Property, all copies of the modified Intellectual Property must include, in addition to the above copyright notice, a notice that the Intellectual Property includes modifications that have not been approved or adopted by LICENSOR.

THIS LICENSE IS A COPYRIGHT LICENSE ONLY, AND DOES NOT CONVEY ANY RIGHTS UNDER ANY PATENTS THAT MAY BE IN FORCE ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD.

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS. THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR HOLDERS INCLUDED IN THIS NOTICE DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FUNCTIONS CONTAINED IN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL MEET YOUR REQUIREMENTS OR THAT THE OPERATION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR ERROR FREE. ANY USE OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE USER'S OWN RISK. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR ANY CONTRIBUTOR OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, OR ANY DIRECT, SPECIAL, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM ANY ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OR ANY LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR UNDER ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION, USE, COMMERCIALIZATION OR PERFORMANCE OF THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

This license is effective until terminated. You may terminate it at any time by destroying the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form. The license will also terminate if you fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement. Except as provided in the following sentence, no such termination of this license shall require the termination of any third party end-user sublicense to the Intellectual Property which is in force as of the date of notice of such termination. In addition, should the Intellectual Property, or the operation of the Intellectual Property, infringe, or in LICENSOR's sole opinion be likely to infringe, any patent, copyright, trademark or other right of a third party, you agree that LICENSOR, in its sole discretion, may terminate this license without any compensation or liability to you, your licensees or any other party. You agree upon termination of any kind to destroy or cause to be destroyed the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form, whether held by you or by any third party.

Except as contained in this notice, the name of LICENSOR or of any other holder of a copyright in all or part of the Intellectual Property shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Intellectual Property without prior written authorization of LICENSOR or such copyright holder. LICENSOR is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize you or any third party to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with any LICENSOR standards or specifications. This Agreement is governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The application to this Agreement of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is hereby expressly excluded. In the event any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed unenforceable, void or invalid, such provision shall be modified so as to make it valid and enforceable, and as so modified the entire Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. No decision, action or inaction by LICENSOR shall be construed to be a waiver of any rights or remedies available to it.

Table of Contents

1. Scope	6
2. Conformance	7
3. References	9
4. Terms and Definitions	11
4.1. Conformance Module; Conformance Test Module	11
4.2. Conformance Class; Conformance Test Class	11
4.3. dataset	11
4.4. Distribution	11
4.5. Executable Test Suite (ETS)	11
4.6. Recommendation	12
4.7. Requirement	12
4.8. Requirements Class	12
4.9. Requirements Module	12
4.10. Standardization Target	12
5. Conventions	13
5.1. Identifiers	13
5.2. Examples	13
5.3. Schema	13
5.4. UML Notation	13
5.5. Namespace Prefix Conventions	14
6. Overview	15
6.1. General	15
6.2. Record Schema	16
6.3. API Behavior Model	16
6.4. Dependencies	16
7. Requirements Class "Core"	18
7.1. Overview	18
7.2. Dependencies	18
7.3. Platform	18
7.3.1. API landing page	19
7.3.2. API definition	20
7.3.3. Declaration of conformance classes	21
7.4. Collection Access	22
7.4.1. Collections	22
7.4.2. Collection Information	24
7.5. Record Access	26
7.6. Parameters	26
7.6.1. Parameter bbox	26

7.6.2. Parameter datetime	27
7.6.3. Parameter Limit	27
7.6.4. Combinations of Filter Parameters	27
7.6.5. Paged Response	28
7.7. General	28
7.7.1. HTTP Response	28
7.7.2. HTTP status codes	29
8. Media Types	31
8.1. HTML Encoding	31
8.2. JSON Encoding	31
8.2.1. GeoJSON	31
8.3. Media Types	31
8.4. Default Encodings	32
9. Requirements Class HTML	33
9.1. Common	33
10. Requirements Class JSON	35
10.1. Common	35
11. Requirements class "OpenAPI 3.0"	37
Annex A: Conformance Class Abstract Test Suite (Normative)	38
A.1. Conformance Class A	38
A.1.1. Requirement 1	38
A.1.2. Requirement 2	38
Annex B: Revision History	39
Annex C: Bibliography	40

i. Abstract

<Insert Abstract Text here>

ii. Keywords

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

ogcdoc, OGC document, <tags separated by commas>

iii. Preface

NOTE

Insert Preface Text here. Give OGC specific commentary: describe the technical content, reason for document, history of the document and precursors, and plans for future work. > Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

iv. Submitting organizations

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

Organization name(s)

v. Submitters

All questions regarding this submission should be directed to the editor or the submitters:

Name	Affiliation

Chapter 1. Scope

This OGC API Records (<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-records>) specification { *expand on the scope of this standard here*}_

Chapter 2. Conformance

Conformance with this standard shall be checked using the tests specified in Annex A (normative) of this document. The framework, concepts, and methodology for testing, and the criteria to claim conformance, are specified in the OGC Compliance Testing Policies and Procedures and the OGC Compliance Testing web site.

The one Standardization Target for this standard is Web APIs.

OGC API-Common provides a common foundation for OGC API standards. Therefore, this standard should be viewed as an extension to API-Common. Conformance to this standard requires demonstrated conformance to the applicable Conformance Classes of API-Common.

This standard identifies eleven (11) Conformance Classes. The Conformance Classes implemented by an API are advertised through the `/conformance` path on the landing page. Each Conformance Class has an associated Requirements Class. The Requirements Classes define the functional requirements which will be tested through the associated Conformance Class.

The Requirements Classes for OGC API-Records are:

- **Core**
- **FullTextQuery**
- **OpenSearchQuery**
- **ComplexQueryParameters**
- **ComplexQueryResource**
- **ClassificationQuery**
- **Transaction**
- **JSON-Record**
- **XML-Record**
- **HTML-Record**
- **OpenAPI 3.0**

The *Core* Requirements Class is the minimal useful service interface for an OGC Records API. The requirements specified in this Requirements Class are mandatory for all implementations of API-Records.

The *FullTextQuery* Requirements Class defines the requirements needed to perform a full text search query.

The *OpenSearchQuery* Requirements Class defines the requirements needed to perform an OpenSearch query.

The *ComplexQueryParameters* Requirements Class defines the requirements to use complex query predicates as HTTP query parameters.

The *ComplexQueryResource* Requirements Class defines the requirements needed to search the

catalogue using complex predicates.

The *ClassificationQuery* Requirements Class defines the requirements needed to perform a taxonomy search on the catalogue.

The *Transaction* Requirements Class defines the requirements needed to add, modify and remove records from the catalogue.

The *JSON-Record* Requirements Class defines the requirements for a JSON representation of a standard catalogue record.

The *XML-Record* Requirements Class defines the requirements for an XML representation of a standard catalogue record.

The *HTML-Record* Requirements Class defines the requirements for an HTML representation of a standard catalogue record.

The *OpenAPI 3.0* Requirements Class addresses the use of the OpenAPI 3.0 standard to document and communicate the API Definition.

Chapter 3. References

The following normative documents contain provisions that, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this document. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.

- Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T.: IETF RFC 2616, **HTTP/1.1**, [RFC 2616](#)
- Rescorla, E.: IETF RFC 2818, **HTTP Over TLS**, [RFC 2818](#)
- Klyne, G., Newman, C.: IETF RFC 3339, **Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps**, [RFC 3339](#)
- Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., Masinter, L.: IETF RFC 3986, **Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax**, [RFC 3986](#)
- Duerst, M., Suignard, M.: IETF RFC 3987, **Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)**, [RFC 3987](#)
- Gregorio, J., Fielding, R., Hadley, M., Nottingham, M., Orchard, D.: IETF RFC 6570, **URI Template**, [RFC 6570](#)
- IETF RFC 7946: **The GeoJSON Format**, [eoJSON](#)
- Nottingham, M.: IETF RFC 8288, **Web Linking**, [RFC 8288](#)
- OGC 19-072: **OGC API (OAPI) Common Specification**, (Draft) [API Common](#)
- Open API Initiative: **OpenAPI Specification 3.0.2**, [OpenAPI](#)
- **Schema.org**: [Schema.org](#)
- W3C: **HTML5**, W3C Recommendation, [HTML5](#)
- W3C, **RDF 1.1 Semantics**, February 2014, <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/>
- OGC: OGC 07-036, Geography Markup Language (GML) Encoding Standard, version 3.2.1, 2007
- OGC: OGC 10-129r1, OGC® Geography Markup Language (GML) – Extended schemas and encoding rules (GML 3.3), version 3.3, 2012
- W3C: W3C Recommendation, XML Path Language (XPath), version 2, 2007
- W3C: W3C Recommendation, XML Linking Language (XLink), version 1, 2001
- W3C: W3C Working Draft, The app: URI scheme, 2013
- ISO/IEC: ISO/IEC 19757-3:2006 Information technology – Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) – Part 3: Rule-based validation – Schematron, 2006
- IETF: RFC 2183, 1997
- IETF: RFC 2387, 1998
- IETF: RFC 2392, 1998 [18] IETF: RFC 3986, 2005 [19] IETF: RFC 7159, The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format <https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7159.txt>, 2014
- W3C: W3C JSON-LD 1.0, A JSON-based Serialization for Linked Data. <http://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld/>, 2014

- W3C: W3C JSON-LD 1.0 Processing Algorithms and API. <http://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld-api>, 2014
- W3C: W3C RDF 1.1 Concepts and Abstract Syntax. <https://www.w3.org/TR/2014/REC-rdf11-concepts-20140225/>, 2014

Chapter 4. Terms and Definitions

This document uses the terms defined in Sub-clause 5 of [OGC API-Common Part 1](#) (OGC 19-072), which is based on the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards. In particular, the word “shall” (not “must”) is the verb form used to indicate a requirement to be strictly followed to conform to this standard.

For the purposes of this document, the following additional terms and definitions apply.

4.1. Conformance Module; Conformance Test Module

set of related tests, all within a single conformance test class ([OGC 08-131](#))

NOTE: When no ambiguity is possible, the word ‘test’ may be omitted. i.e. conformance test module is the same as conformance module. Conformance modules may be nested in a hierarchical way.

4.2. Conformance Class; Conformance Test Class

set of conformance test modules that must be applied to receive a single certificate of conformance ([OGC 08-131](#))

NOTE: When no ambiguity is possible, the word _test_ may be left out, so conformance test class maybe called a conformance class.

4.3. dataset

collection of data, published or curated by a single agent, and available for access or download in one or more formats (DCAT)

4.4. Distribution

represents an accessible form of a **dataset** (DCAT)

EXAMPLE: a downloadable file, an RSS feed or a web service that provides the data.

4.5. Executable Test Suite (ETS)

A set of code (e.g. Java and CTL) that provides runtime tests for the assertions defined by the ATS. Test data required to do the tests are part of the ETS ([OGC 08-134](#))

4.6. Recommendation

expression in the content of a document conveying that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action is deprecated but not prohibited ([OGC 08-131](#))

4.7. Requirement

expression in the content of a document conveying criteria to be fulfilled if compliance with the document is to be claimed and from which no deviation is permitted ([OGC 08-131](#))

4.8. Requirements Class

aggregate of all requirement modules that must all be satisfied to satisfy a conformance test class ([OGC 08-131](#))

4.9. Requirements Module

aggregate of requirements and recommendations of a specification against a single standardization target type ([OGC 08-131](#))

4.10. Standardization Target

entity to which some requirements of a standard apply ([OGC 08-131](#))

NOTE: The standardization target is the entity which may receive a certificate of conformance for a requirements class.

Chapter 5. Conventions

The following conventions will be used in this document. Examples of conventions are symbols, abbreviations, use of XML schema, or special notes regarding how to read the document.

5.1. Identifiers

The normative provisions in this standard are denoted by the URI

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-records-1/1.0>

All requirements and conformance tests that appear in this document are denoted by partial URIs which are relative to this base.

5.2. Examples

Most of the examples provided in this standard are encoded in JSON. JSON was chosen because it is widely understood by implementers and easy to include in a text document. This convention should NOT be interpreted as a requirement that JSON must be used. Implementors are free to use any format they desire as long as there is a Conformance Class for that format and the API advertises its support for that Conformance Class.

5.3. Schema

JSON Schema is used throughout this standard to define the structure of resources. These schema are typically represented using YAML encoding. This convention is for the ease of the user. It does not prohibit the use of another schema language or encoding. Nor does it indicate that JSON schema is required. Implementations should use a schema language and encoding appropriate for the format of the resource.

5.4. UML Notation

Diagrams using the Unified Modeling Language (UML) adhere to the following conventions:

- UML elements having a package name of “GML” are those defined in the UML model of GML 3.2.1
- UML elements having a package name of “SWE Common” are those defined in the UML model of SWE Common 2.0
- UML elements not qualified with a package name, or with “CIS”, are those defined in this standard.

Further, in any class where an attribute name or association role name is identical to a name in some superclass the local definition overrides the superclass definition.

5.5. Namespace Prefix Conventions

UML diagrams and XML code fragments adhere to the namespace conventions shown in [Table 1](#). The namespace prefixes used in this document are not normative and are merely chosen for convenience. The namespaces to which the prefixes correspond are normative, however.

Table 1. Namespace mapping conventions

UML prefix	GML prefix	Namespace URL	Description
GML	gml	http://www.opengis.net/gml/3.2	GML 3.2.1
GML33	gml33	http://www.opengis.net/gml/3.3	GML 3.3

Chapter 6. Overview

6.1. General

The OGC API family of standards enable access to resources using the HTTP protocol and its' associated operations (GET, PUT, POST, etc.). OGC API-Common defines a set of features which are applicable to all OGC APIs. Other OGC standards extend API-Common with features specific to a resource type. This OGC API-Records standard defines an API with two goals:

1. { TBD}
2. Provide functionality comparable to that of the [OGC WCS standard](#).

Resources exposed through an OGC API may be accessed through a Universal Resource Identifier (URI). URIs are composed of three sections:

- Service Offering: The service endpoint (subsequently referred to as Base URI or {root})
- Access Paths: Unique paths to Resources
- Query: Parameters to adjust the representation of a Resource or Resources like encoding format or subsetting

Some resources are also accessible through links on previously accessed resources. Unique relation types are used for each resource.

[Table 2](#) summarizes the access paths and relation types defined in this standard.

Table 2. Record API Paths

Path Template	Relation	Resource
Common		
{root}/	none	Landing page
{root}/api	service-desc or service-doc	API Description (optional)
{root}/conformance	conformance	Conformance Classes
{root}/collections	data	Metadata describing the spatial collections available from this API.
{root}/collections/{coverageid}		Metadata describing the collection which has the unique identifier {collectionid}
Records		
{root}/collections/{coverageid}/record	items	TBD.

Where:

- {root} = Base URI for the API server
- {collectionid} = an identifier for a specific collection

6.2. Record Schema

NOTE insert a *Discussion of the Record resource*

6.3. API Behavior Model

The Record API is designed to be compatible but not conformant with the OGC Catalogue Service for the Web (CS-W). This allows API-Record and CS-W implementations to co-exist in a single processing environment.

NOTE Replace the following with a discussion of CS-W and API-Record

[OGC Web Coverage Service standard version 2](#) has an internal model of its storage organization based on which the classic operations GetCapabilities, DescribeCoverage, and GetCoverage can be explained naturally. This model consists of a single CoverageOffering resembling the complete WCS data store. It holds some service metadata describing service qualities (such as WCS extensions, encodings, CRSs, and interpolations supported, etc.). At its heart, this offering holds any number of OfferedCoverages. These contain the coverage payload to be served, but in addition can hold coverage-specific service-related metadata (such as the coverage's Native CRS).

Discussion has shown that the API model also assumes underlying service and object descriptions, so a convergence seems possible. In any case, it will be advantageous to have a similar "mental model" of the server store organization on hand to explain the various functionalities introduced below.

6.4. Dependencies

The OGC API-Records standard is an extension of the OGC API-Common standard. Therefore, an implementation of API-Records must first satisfy the appropriate Requirements Classes from API-Common. [Table 3](#) Identifies the API-Common Requirements Classes which are applicable to each section of this Standard. Instructions on when and how to apply these Requirements Classes are provided in each section.

Table 3. Mapping API-Records Sections to API-Common Requirements Classes

API-Record Section	API-Common Requirements Class
API Landing Page	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core
API Definition	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core
Declaration of Conformance Classes	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core
Collections	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/collections
OpenAPI 3.0	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/oas30

JSON	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/geojson
HTML	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/html

Chapter 7. Requirements Class "Core"

7.1. Overview

Requirements Class	
http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/req/core	
Target type	Web API
Dependency	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core
Dependency	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/collections

The **Core** Requirements Class defines the requirements for locating, understanding, and accessing Record resources. The **Core** Requirements Class is presented in five sections:

1. **API Platform**: a set of common capabilities
2. **Collection Access**: operations for accessing collections of **Records**
3. **Records Access**: operations for accessing **Record** resources
4. **Parameters**: parameters for use in the API-Records operations.
5. **General**: general principles for use with this standard.

7.2. Dependencies

The OGC API-Records standard is an extension of the OGC API-Common standard. Therefore, an implementation of API-Records must first satisfy the appropriate Requirements Classes from API-Common.

Requirement 1	/req/core/api-common
The API implementation SHALL demonstrate conformance with the following Requirements Classes of the OGC API-Common version 1.0 Standard.	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core
B	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/collections

7.3. Platform

API-Common defines a set of common capabilities which are applicable to any OGC Web API. Those capabilities provide the platform upon which resource-specific APIs can be built. This section describes those capabilities and any modifications needed to better support Record resources.

7.3.1. API landing page

The landing page provides links to start exploration of the resources offered by an API. Its most important component is a list of links. OGC API-Common already requires some common links. Those links are sufficient for this standard.

Table 4. Dependencies

http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core

7.3.1.1. Operation

The **Landing Page** operation is defined in the **Core** conformance class of API-Common. No modifications are needed to support **Record** resources. The **Core** conformance class specifies only one way of performing this operation:

1. Issue a **GET** request on the **{root}/** path

Support for **GET** on the **{root}/** path is required by API-Common.

7.3.1.2. Response

A successful response to the **Landing Page** operation is defined in API-Common. The schema for this resource is provided in **Landing Page Response Schema**.

Landing Page Response Schema

```
type: object
required:
  - links
properties:
  title:
    description: The title of the API
    type: string
  description:
    description: A textual description of the API
    type: string
  links:
    description: Links to the resources exposed through this API.
    type: array
    items:
      $ref: link.yaml
```

The following JSON fragment is an example of a response to an OGC API-Records Landing Page operation.

```
{
  "links": [
    { "href": "http://data.example.org/",
      "rel": "self", "type": "application/json", "title": "this document" },
    { "href": "http://data.example.org/api",
      "rel": "service-desc", "type": "application/openapi+json;version=3.0", "title":
"the API definition" },
    { "href": "http://data.example.org/conformance",
      "rel": "conformance", "type": "application/json", "title": "OGC conformance
classes implemented by this API" },
    { "href": "http://data.example.org/collections",
      "rel": "data", "type": "application/json", "title": "Metadata about the resource
collections" }
  ]
}
```

7.3.1.3. Error situations

The requirements for handling unsuccessful requests are provided in [HTTP Response](#). General guidance on HTTP status codes and how they should be handled is provided in [HTTP status codes](#).

7.3.2. API definition

Every API is required to provide a definition document that describes the capabilities of that API. This definition document can be used by developers to understand the API, by software clients to connect to the server, or by development tools to support the implementation of servers and clients.

Table 5. Dependencies

http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core

7.3.2.1. Operation

This operation is defined in the **Core** conformance class of API-Common. No modifications are needed to support **Records** resources. The **Core** conformance class describes two ways of performing this operation:

1. Issue a **GET** request on the **{root}/api** path
2. Follow the **service-desc** or **service-doc** link on the landing page

Only the link is required by API-Common.

7.3.2.2. Response

A successful response to the API Definition request is a resource which documents the design of the API. API-Common leaves the selection of format for the API Definition response to the API implementor. However, the options are limited to those which have been defined in the API-Common standard. At this time OpenAPI 3.0 is the only option provided.

7.3.2.3. Error situations

The requirements for handling unsuccessful requests are provided in [HTTP Response](#). General guidance on HTTP status codes and how they should be handled is provided in [HTTP status codes](#).

7.3.3. Declaration of conformance classes

To support "generic" clients that want to access multiple OGC API standards and extensions - and not "just" a specific API / server, the API has to declare the conformance classes it claims to have implemented.

Table 6. Dependencies

http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/core

7.3.3.1. Operation

This operation is defined in the **Core** conformance class of API-Common. No modifications are needed to support **Records** resources. The **Core** conformance class describes two ways of performing this operation:

1. Issue a **GET** request on the **{root}/conformance** path
2. Follow the **conformance** link on the landing page

Both techniques are required by API-Common.

7.3.3.2. Response

A successful response to the Conformance operation is a list of URLs. Each URL identifies an OGC Conformance Class for which this API claims conformance. The schema for this resource is defined in API-Common and provided for reference in [Conformance Response Schema](#).

Requirement 2	/req/core/conformance
The list of Conformance Classes advertised by the API SHALL include:	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/core
B	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/collections
C	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/conf/core

```
type: object
required:
  - conformsTo
properties:
  conformsTo:
    type: array
    items:
      type: string
      example: "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/core"
```

The following JSON fragment is an example of a response to an OGC API-Records conformance operation.

Conformance Information Example

```
{
  "conformsTo": [
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/core",
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/collections",
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/oas3",
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/html",
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/conf/geojson",
    "http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/conf/core"
  ]
}
```

7.3.3.3. Error situations

The requirements for handling unsuccessful requests are provided in [HTTP Response](#). General guidance on HTTP status codes and how they should be handled is provided in [HTTP status codes](#).

7.4. Collection Access

API-Common starts with the assumption that spatial resources are organized into collections. An API will expose one or more collections. The API-Common Collections Conformance Class defines how to organize and provide access to a collection of collections.

This standard extends the API-Common **Collections** conformance class to support collections of records, then extends that class to support **Record** unique capabilities.

7.4.1. Collections

The **Collections** operation returns a set of metadata which describes the collections available from this API.

Table 7. Dependencies

7.4.1.1. Operation

This operation is defined in the **Collections** conformance class of API-Common. No modifications are needed to support **Record** resources. The **Collections** conformance class describes two ways of performing this operation:

1. Issue a **GET** request on **{root}/collections** path
2. Follow the **data** link on the landing page

Support for both the **{root}/collections** path and the **data** link is required by API-Common.

7.4.1.2. Response

A successful response to the **Collections Operation** is a document which includes summary metadata for each collection accessible through the API.

Collections Response Schema

```
type: object
required:
  - links
  - collections
properties:
  links:
    type: array
    items:
      $ref: link.yaml
  collections:
    type: array
    items:
      $ref: collectionInfo.yaml
```

The following JSON fragment is an example of a response to an OGC API-Records Collections operation.

Collections Example

```
{
  "TBD": [
    "filler1",
    "filler2"
  ]
}
```

7.4.1.3. Error situations

The requirements for handling unsuccessful requests are provided in [HTTP Response](#). General

guidance on HTTP status codes and how they should be handled is provided in [HTTP status codes](#).

7.4.2. Collection Information

Collection Information is the set of metadata which describes a single collection. An abbreviated copy of this information is returned for each Collection in the `/collections` response.

Table 8. Dependencies

http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/collections

7.4.2.1. Operation

This operation is defined in the `Collections` conformance class of API-Common. No modifications are required to support `Records` resources.

1. Issue a `GET` request on the `{root}/collections/{collectionid}` path

The `{collectionid}` parameter is the unique identifier for a single collection on the API. The list of valid values for `{collectionid}` is provided in the `/collections` response.

Support for the `/collections/{collectionid}` path is required by API-Common.

7.4.2.2. Response

A successful response to the Collection Operation is a set of metadata which describes the collection identified by the `{collectionid}` parameter.

```
type: object
required:
  - id
  - links
properties:
  id:
    type: string
    example: address
  title:
    type: string
    example: address
  description:
    type: string
    example: An address.
  links:
    type: array
    items:
      $ref: link.yaml
    example:
      - href: http://data.example.com/buildings
        rel: item
      - href: http://example.com/concepts/buildings.html
        rel: describedBy
        type: text/html
  extent:
    $ref: extent.yaml
  itemType:
    description: indicator about the type of the items in the collection (the default
value is 'unknown').
    type: string
    default: unknown
  crs:
    description: the list of coordinate reference systems supported by the API; the
first item is the default coordinate reference system
    type: array
    items:
      type: string
    default:
      - http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84
    example:
      - http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84
      - http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSG/0/4326
```

The following JSON fragment is an example of a response to an OGC API-Records Collection Information operation.

```
{
  "TBD": [
    "filler1",
    "filler2"
  ]
}
```

7.4.2.3. Error situations

The requirements for handling unsuccessful requests are provided in [HTTP Response](#). General guidance on HTTP status codes and how they should be handled is provided in [HTTP status codes](#).

7.5. Record Access

In this clause, API-Common is extended to support **Record** resources.

NOTE The Records specific requirements go here.

7.6. Parameters

The API-Records standard inherits basic query and subsetting parameters from API-Common. This section provides a short description of each parameter and identifies the relevant requirements.

All of the permissions and recommendations in API-Common regarding the these parameters also apply to API-Records implementations.

7.6.1. Parameter bbox

The Bounding Box (bbox) parameter is defined in API-Common. The following requirement governs use of that parameter in a Records API.

Requirement 3	/req/core/rec-bbox-parameter
A	A Records API SHALL support the Bounding Box (bbox) parameter for /collections and /collections/{collectionid} requests.
B	Requests which include the Bounding Box parameter SHALL comply with API-Common requirement /req/core/rc-bbox-definition .
C	Responses to Bounding Box requests SHALL comply with API-Common requirement /req/core/rc-bbox-response .

7.6.2. Parameter datetime

The Date-Time (datetime) parameter is defined in API-Common. The following requirement governs use of that parameter in a Records API.

Requirement 4	/req/core/rec-datetime-parameter
A	A Records API SHALL support the Date-Time (datetime) parameter for <code>/collections</code> and <code>/collections/{collectionid}</code> requests.
B	Requests which include the Date-Time parameter SHALL comply with API-Common requirement <code>/req/core/rc-time-definition</code> .
C	Responses to Date-Time requests SHALL comply with API-Common requirement <code>/req/core/rc-time-response</code> .

7.6.3. Parameter Limit

The Limit (limit) parameter is defined in API-Common. The following requirement governs use of that parameter in a Records API.

Requirement 5	/req/core/rec-limit-parameter
A	A Records API SHALL support the Limit (limit) parameter for <code>/collections</code> and <code>/collections/{collectionid}</code> requests.
B	Requests which include the Limit parameter SHALL comply with API-Common requirement <code>/req/core/rc-limit-definition</code> .
C	Responses to Limit requests SHALL comply with API-Common requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <code>/req/core/rc-limit-response</code>• <code>/req/core/rc-numberReturned</code>• <code>/req/core/rc-numberMatched</code>

7.6.4. Combinations of Filter Parameters

Any combination of `bbox`, `datetime` and `limit` parameters for filtering on Record properties is allowed. Note that the requirements on these parameters imply that only records matching all the predicates are in the result set; i.e., the logical operator between the predicates is 'AND.'

7.6.5. Paged Response

One consequence of the Limit parameter is that the full result set is not delivered to the user. However, users frequently want to know how big the result set it and how to access the rest of it. The following requirement add information to the response to address that need.

Requirement 6	/req/core/rec-paged-response
A	<p>Responses to a filtered operation that only return a portion of the full selected resource set SHALL comply with API-Common requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• /req/core/rc-response• /req/core/fc-links• /req/core/fc-rel-type• /req/core/fc-timestamp• /req/core/fc-numberMatched• /req/core/fc-numberReturned

7.7. General

7.7.1. HTTP Response

Each HTTP request shall result in a response that meets the following requirement.

Requirement 7	/req/core/http-response
A	An HTTP operation SHALL return a response which includes a status code and an optional description elements.
B	If the status code is not equal to 200, then the description element SHALL be populated.

The YAML schema for these results is provided in [HTTP Response Schema](#).

```

type: object
required:
  - code
properties:
  code:
    type: string
  description:
    type: string

```

7.7.2. HTTP status codes

The **Status Codes** listed in [Table 4](#) are of particular relevance to implementors of this standard. Status codes 200, 400, and 404 are called out in API requirements. Therefore, support for these status codes is mandatory for all compliant implementations. The remainder of the status codes in [Table 4](#) are not mandatory, but are important for the implementation of a well functioning API. Support for these status codes is strongly encouraged for both client and server implementations.

Table 9. Typical HTTP status codes

Status code	Description
200	A successful request.
304	An entity tag was provided in the request and the resource has not been changed since the previous request.
400	The server cannot or will not process the request due to an apparent client error. For example, a query parameter had an incorrect value.
401	The request requires user authentication. The response includes a WWW-Authenticate header field containing a challenge applicable to the requested resource.
403	The server understood the request, but is refusing to fulfill it. While status code 401 indicates missing or bad authentication, status code 403 indicates that authentication is not the issue, but the client is not authorised to perform the requested operation on the resource.
404	The requested resource does not exist on the server. For example, a path parameter had an incorrect value.
405	The request method is not supported. For example, a POST request was submitted, but the resource only supports GET requests.
406	The Accept header submitted in the request did not support any of the media types supported by the server for the requested resource.
500	An internal error occurred in the server.

More specific guidance is provided for each resource, where applicable.

The API Description Document describes the HTTP status codes generated by that API. This should not be an exhaustive list of all possible status codes. It is not reasonable to expect an API designer to

control the use of HTTP status codes which are not generated by their software. Therefore, it is recommended that the API Description Document limit itself to describing HTTP status codes relevant to the proper operation of the API application logic. Client implementations should be prepared to receive HTTP status codes in addition to those described in the API Description Document.

Permission 1	/per/core/additional-status-codes
A	Servers MAY support other capabilities of the HTTP protocol and, therefore, MAY return other status codes than those listed in Table 4 , too.

Chapter 8. Media Types

This standard does not mandate any particular encoding or format. However, it does provide extensions for encodings which are commonly used in OGC APIs. These extensions include:

- [JSON](#)
- [HTML](#)

Neither of these encodings are mandatory. An implementor of this standard may choose to implement neither of them, selecting different encodings instead.

8.1. HTML Encoding

Support for HTML is recommended. HTML is the core language of the World Wide Web. An API that supports HTML will support browsing the spatial resources with a web browser and will also enable search engines to crawl and index those resources.

8.2. JSON Encoding

Support for JSON is recommended. JSON is a commonly used format that is simple to understand and well supported by tools and software libraries.

JSON structures documented in this standard are defined using JSON Schema. These schema are available in JSON and YAML formats from <http://schemas.opengis.net/tbd>

8.2.1. GeoJSON

"GeoJSON is a geospatial data interchange format based on JavaScript Object Notation (JSON). It defines several types of JSON objects and the manner in which they are combined to represent data about geographic features, their properties, and their spatial extents. GeoJSON uses a geographic coordinate reference system, World Geodetic System 1984, and units of decimal degrees." [IETF RFC 7946](#)

GeoJSON provides a simple way of representing OGC Features in JSON. Due to its simplicity, however, it is not suitable for all feature data. It is best used for content which has a spatial extent that can be used with the World Geodetic System 1984 Coordinate Reference System.

8.3. Media Types

A description of the MIME-types is mandatory for any OGC standard which involves data encodings. The list of suitable MIME-types for the API-Records standard is provided in [Table 5](#).

Table 10. API-Records MIME Types

Encoding	MIME Type
HTML	text/html
JSON	application/json

GeoJSON	application/geo+json
---------	----------------------

8.4. Default Encodings

The media type used to encode a response to a request shall be determined through the HTTP content negotiation protocol as specified in API-Common. However, content negotiation is not required by the HTTP standard. So default encodings must be established.

Requirement 8	/req/core/rec-mediatype-default
A	IF the JSON Conformance Class is advertised, then the default media type for content SHALL be JSON or GeoJSON.
C	IF the JSON Conformance Class is not advertised, then the default media type for content SHALL be HTML.

Chapter 9. Requirements Class HTML

The following requirements apply to an OGC API-Records implementation when the following conditions apply:

1. The API advertises conformance to the HTML Conformance Class
2. The client negotiates an HTML format

The HTML Requirements Class restricts requirements defined in the **Core** Requirements Class by imposing encoding-specific requirements. At this time, these additional requirements only apply to the HTTP response payloads. The sections below identify the scope of each new requirement and the **Core** requirements which lay within each scope.

Requirements Class	
http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/req/html	
Target type	Web API
Dependency	Conformance Class "Core"
Dependency	API-Common HTML
Dependency	HTML5
Dependency	Schema.org

9.1. Common

This section covers the requirements inherited from the API-Common standard. Its scope includes responses for the following operations:

- **{root}/**: Landing Page
- **{root}/api**: API Description
- **{root}/conformance**: Conformance Classes
- **{root}/collections**: Collections
- **{root}/collections/{collectionid}**: Collection Information

Requirement 9	/req/html/api-common
Extends	/req/core/api-common
The API SHALL demonstrate conformance with the following Requirements Class of the OGC API-Common version 1.0 Standard.	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/html

It is also necessary to advertise conformance with this Requirements Class.

Requirement 10	/req/html/conformance
The list of Conformance Classes advertised by the API SHALL include:	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/conf/html

Chapter 10. Requirements Class JSON

The following requirements apply to an OGC API-Records implementation when the following conditions apply:

1. The API advertises conformance to the JSON Conformance Class
2. The client negotiates a JSON or GeoJSON format

The JSON Requirements Class restricts requirements defined in the **Core** Requirements Class by imposing encoding-specific requirements. At this time, these additional requirements only apply to the HTTP response payloads. The sections below identify the scope of each new requirement and the **Core** requirements which lay within each scope.

Requirements Class	
http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_coverages-1/1.0/req/json	
Target type	Web API
Dependency	Requirements Class "API-Common Core"
Dependency	API-Common GeoJSON
Dependency	GeoJSON
Pre-conditions	1) The API advertises conformance to the JSON Conformance Class 2) The client negotiates use of the JSON or GeoJSON encoding.

10.1. Common

This section covers the requirements inherited from the API-Common standard. Its scope includes responses for the following operations:

- **{root}/**: Landing Page
- **{root}/api**: API Description
- **{root}/conformance**: Conformance Classes
- **{root}/collections**: Collections
- **{root}/collections/{collectionid}**: Collection Information

Requirement 11	/req/json/api-common
Extends	/req/core/api-common
The API SHALL demonstrate conformance with the following Requirements Class of the OGC API-Common version 1.0 Standard.	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi_common-1/1.0/req/geojson

It is also necessary to advertise conformance with this Requirements Class.

Requirement 12	/req/json/conformance
The list of Conformance Classes advertised by the API SHALL include:	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/conf/json

Chapter 11. Requirements class "OpenAPI 3.0"

Requirements Class	
http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages/1.0/req/oas30	
Target type	Web API
Dependency	Conformance Class "Core"
Dependency	OGC API-Common Standard 1.0
Dependency	OpenAPI Specification 3.0.2

The OpenAPI 3.0 Requirements Class is applicable to API-Records as well. So an implementation of API-Records which supports OpenAPI 3.0 as an API Description format must also comply with the API-Common oas30 Conformance Class.

Requirement 13	/req/oas30/oas-common
Extends	/req/core/api-common
A	The API SHALL demonstrate conformance with the following Requirements Class of the OGC API-Common version 1.0 Standard. http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-1/1.0/req/oas30 .

Implementations must also advertise conformance with this Requirements Class.

Requirement 14	/req/oas30/conformance
The list of Conformance Classes advertised by the API SHALL include:	
A	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/1.0/conf/oas30

Annex A: Conformance Class Abstract Test Suite (Normative)

NOTE

Ensure that there is a conformance class for each requirements class and a test for each requirement (identified by requirement name and number)

A.1. Conformance Class A

A.1.1. Requirement 1

Test id:	/conf/conf-class-a/req-name-1
Requirement:	/req/req-class-a/req-name-1
Test purpose:	Verify that...
Test method:	Inspect...

A.1.2. Requirement 2

Annex B: Revision History

Date	Release	Editor	Primary clauses modified	Description
2020-01-13	Template	C. Heazel	all	initial template

Annex C: Bibliography

- W3C/OGC: Spatial Data on the Web Best Practices, W3C Working Group Note 28 September 2017, <https://www.w3.org/TR/sdw-bp/>
- W3C: Data on the Web Best Practices, W3C Recommendation 31 January 2017, <https://www.w3.org/TR/dwbp/>
- W3C: Data Catalog Vocabulary, W3C Recommendation 16 January 2014, <https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-dcat/>
- IANA: Link Relation Types, <https://www.iana.org/assignments/link-relations/link-relations.xml>