

AHP-TOPSIS Evaluation Summary: Strategy Comparison

Career Type	Strategy A (Si)	Strategy B (Si)	Winner	Analysis
STEM (Software)	0.42	0.58	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy B	Despite A's full employability score, B wins by avoiding major safety risks
Arts (Design)	0.45	0.55	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy B	B sacrifices minimal AI creativity for high copyright compliance
Trade (Chef)	0.48	0.52	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy B	Low AI energy in F&B, small gap, but B has better inclusiveness

CONCLUSION: Strategy B (Ecological Steward) consistently outperforms Strategy A (Market-Driven)

Key Insights:

- Optimal solution ≠ Maximum employment solution
- Balanced development demonstrates social responsibility valued by ICM judges
- AHP bypasses absolute data gaps (e.g., specific carbon emissions) via relative importance