## MATH521: Numerical Analysis of Partial Differential Equations



Winter 2018/19, Term 2

Due Date: Thursday, 28 February 2019

Timm Treskatis

## **Homework Assignment 7**

Please submit the following files as indicated below: 🗗 source code 🚨 PDF file 🚨 image file 🖻 video file

**5 marks**  $\mid \not \sqsubseteq$  Let D > 0,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $r \ge 0$  and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a convex, polygonal domain.

We use conforming linear finite elements with exact integration to solve the steady diffusion-advection-reaction problem

$$-D\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(au) + ru = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

(Recall that the assumption of homogeneous boundary conditions is no loss of generality, since any inhomogeneous boundary conditions could be subtracted from u to obtain the same PDE with homogeneous boundary conditions but a new source term.)

Follow the methodology from pp 67–68 in our notes to show that the numerical solution  $u^h$  converges to u at a linear rate in the  $H^1$ -norm and at a quadratic rate in the  $L^2$ -norm, provided that  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ :

$$||u^h - u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le ch ||\nabla^2 u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{1}$$

$$||u^h - u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le ch^2 ||\nabla^2 u||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$
 (2)

Hints:

1. To show that the nonsymmetric bilinear form of this elliptic operator is coercive in the  $H^1$ -norm, prove and then use that

$$\int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla u) v \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla v) u \, dx \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$
 (3)

2. You may assume that

$$||u||_{H^2(\Omega)} \le c||f||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$
 (4)

First things first, let's find the weak formulation:

$$-D\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(au) + ru = f$$

Expanding the divergence term:

$$-D\Delta u + a \cdot \nabla u + (\operatorname{div} a)u + ru = f$$

Multiplying the residual by a test function and integrating over the domain:

$$\int_{\Omega} (-D\Delta u + a \cdot \nabla u + ru - f)v \, dx = 0$$

Applying Green's first identity:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} D \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} (D\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + (a \cdot \nabla u)v + ruv - fv) \, dx = 0$$

Now we have our weak formulation, given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} (D\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + (a \cdot \nabla u)v + ruv) \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1(\Omega)}$$

Now to prove equation (3) using Green's first identity again:

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \operatorname{div}(au)v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{(au \, n)vds}_{0} - \int_{\Omega} au \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

And againg, expanding the divergence:

$$\operatorname{div}(au) = a \cdot \nabla u + (\operatorname{div} a)u^{-0}$$

Leaving us with:

$$\int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla u) v \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla v) u \, dx \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Now putting our problem in bilinear form we get one of two forms:

$$B(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} D\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla u)v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} ruv \, dx$$

or:

$$B(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} D\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla v) u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} ruv \, dx$$

We must now prove coercivity and continuity in order to use Céa's Lemma for convergence.

Continuity:

$$|B(u,v)| = |D \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (a \cdot \nabla u)v \, dx + r \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$$
$$= |D\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{L^{2}} + r\langle u, v \rangle_{L^{2}} + \langle a \cdot \nabla u, v \rangle_{L^{2}}|$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$\leq D \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + r\|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \|a \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2}$$

Note that:

$$||a \cdot \nabla u|| \le \max(|a|) ||\nabla u||_{L^2}$$

Now using the definition of the  $H^1$ -norm we get:

$$|B(u,v)| \le \underbrace{max(D, max(r, |a|))}_{C_b > 0} ||u||_{H^1} ||v||_{H^1}$$

We can conclude that because D is strictly positive,  $C_b$  is also strictly positive, thus proving continuity.

Coercivity:

Using both of our bilinear forms above:

$$B(u, u) = \int_{\Omega} D\nabla u^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} au \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} ru^{2} dx$$
$$B(u, u) = \int_{\Omega} D\nabla u^{2} dx - \int_{\Omega} au \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} ru^{2} dx$$

Because these two equations are equal we can conclude that  $\int_{\Omega} au \cdot \nabla u \, dx = 0$ , leaving us with:

$$|B(u, u)| = D \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + r \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx$$
  
=  $D \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + r \|u\|_{L^2}^2$ 

Now because r can equal zero we must separate and use Poicaré's inequality with the first term to prove coercivity:

$$D\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \frac{D}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{D}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

Using Poincaré's inequality on one of these terms:

$$\frac{D}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \ge \frac{DC_p^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

Putting this back into our bilinear form:

$$B(u,u) = \frac{D}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(\frac{DC_p^2}{2} + r\right) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \ge C_e \|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

where:

$$C_e = min\left(\frac{D}{2}, \frac{DC_p^2}{2} + r\right)$$

And because D > 0 and  $C_p > 0$ , we can conclude that it is indeed coercive.

Now we can apply Céa's lemma to find the convergence in the  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  norms. Starting with the  $H^1$ -norm, following the procedure in 2.3.28:

$$||u^h - u||_{H^1} = \frac{c_b}{c_e} \inf_{v^h \in H_0^1} (||v^h - u||_{H^1})$$

Choose  $v^h = I^h u$ 

$$= \frac{c_b}{c_e} \|I^h u - u\|_{H^1}$$

By the definition of the  $H^1$ -norm:

$$= \frac{c_b}{c_e} \left( \|\nabla (I^h u - u)\|_{L^2} + \|(I^h u - u)\|_{L^2} \right)$$

And from proof 2.3.26:

$$= \frac{c_b}{c_e} \left( ch \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + ch^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \right)$$

Now as  $h \to 0$  the second term goes to  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$  and can be eaten up by the constant out front leaving us with:

$$||u^h - u||_{H^1(\Omega)} = ch||\nabla^2 u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Moving on to the convergence in the  $L^2$ -norm, following the theorem in 2.3.31:

$$||u^h - u||_{L^2} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^h}{||e^h||_{L^2}} e^h dx = B(e^h, z)$$

Galerkin Orthogonality gives:

$$= B(e^h, z - I^h z)$$

Then Cauchy-Schwartz gives:

$$= ||e^h||_B ||z - I^h z||_B$$

Now from 2.3.30 and the definition of the B-norm we get:

$$= ch \|\nabla^2 z\|_{L^2} \|\nabla (z - I^h z)\|_{L^2}$$

Then from theorem 2.3.26, we find:

$$= ch \|\nabla^2 z\|_{L^2} ch \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}$$

Then finally from theorem 2.1.27, combining the constants:

$$||u^h - u||_{L^2(\Omega)} = ch^2 ||\nabla^2 u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Your Learning Progress | 0 marks, but -1 mark if unanswered |  $\triangle$  What is the one most important thing that you have learnt from this assignment?

Always make sure that <u>all</u> conditions are met for a certain theory/ lemma before pretending to use it:).

What is the most substantial new insight that you have gained from this course this week? Any aha moment?

The finite element theory for parabolic PDEs is way over my head at the moment, I think I'll stick to figuring out elliptic equations for now.