

# MECH511 Assignment 3 Compressible Flow

Nick Earle

April 1, 2019

## 1 AUSM

The AUSM scheme can be written as

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} = M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is an interface Mach number defined by

$$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{M}_i^+ + \mathcal{M}_{i+1}^- \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_i^\pm(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(M \pm |M|) & |M| > 1 \\ \pm \frac{1}{4}(M \pm 1)^2 & -1 \leq M \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is the interface pressure defined by

$$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{P}_i^+ p_i + \mathcal{P}_{i+1}^- p_{i+1} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i^\pm(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \text{sign}(M)) & |M| > 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}(M \pm 1)^2(2 \mp M) & -1 \leq M \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and the convected quantity  $\Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is defined using upwinding:

$$\Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} \Phi_i & M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0 \\ \Phi_{i+1} & M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \rho c \\ \rho c u \\ c(E + P) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Now for 2 cases:  $0 \leq M_i, M_{i+1} \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq M_i, M_{i+1}$  we want to recast equation (1) to find the dissipation term  $f(U_i, U_{i+1})$  for:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} = \frac{F_i + F_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}f(U_i, U_{i+1}) \quad (8)$$

First for the supersonic case ( $1 \leq M_i, M_{i+1}$ ) we get:

$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_i^+(M_i) &= \frac{1}{2}(M_i + |M_i|) = M_i \\ \mathcal{M}_{i+1}^-(M_{i+1}) &= \frac{1}{2}(M_{i+1} - |M_{i+1}|) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Leaving us with:

$$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = M_i$$

$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_i^+(M_i) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \text{sign}(M_i)) = 1 \\ \mathcal{P}_{i+1}^-(M_{i+1}) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \text{sign}(M_{i+1})) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Leaving us with:

$$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = p_i$$

$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is indeed positive so  $\Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi_i$  Putting this all together we get:

$$\begin{aligned}F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} &= M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= M_i\Phi_i + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= M_i \begin{pmatrix} \rho c \\ \rho c u \\ c(E + P) \end{pmatrix}_i + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + p \\ u(E + P) \end{pmatrix}_i = F_i\end{aligned}$$

So we get that  $F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} = F_i$  that is:

$$\begin{aligned}f(U_i, U_{i+1}) &= F_{i+1} - F_i \\ F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} &= \frac{F_i + F_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{F_{i+1} - F_i}{2} = F_i\end{aligned}$$

Now the subsonic case ( $0 \leq M_i, M_{i+1} \leq 1$ ) we get:

$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_i^+(M_i) &= \frac{1}{4}(M_i + 1)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(M_i^2 + 2M_i + 1) \\ \mathcal{M}_{i+1}^+(M_{i+1}) &= -\frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1} - 1)^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1}^2 - 2M_{i+1} + 1)\end{aligned}$$

Leaving us with:

$$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{M_i^2 - M_{i+1}^2}{4} + \frac{M_i + M_{i+1}}{2}$$

$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_i^+(M_i) &= \frac{1}{4}(M_i + 1)^2(2 - M_i) = \frac{1}{4}(-M_i^3 + 3M_i + 2) \\ \mathcal{P}_{i+1}^+(M_{i+1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1} + 1)^2(2 - M_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1}^3 - 3M_{i+1} + 2)\end{aligned}$$

Leaving us with:

$$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4} [(-M_i^3 + 3M_i + 2)p_i + (M_{i+1}^3 - 3M_{i+1} + 2)p_{i+1}]$$

$M_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is indeed positive so  $\Phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi_i$  Putting this all together we get:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{AUSM} = \left( \frac{M_i^2 - M_{i+1}^2}{4} + \frac{M_i + M_{i+1}}{2} \right) \Phi_i + \frac{1}{4}(-M_i^3 + 3M_i + 2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1}^3 - 3M_{i+1} + 2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{i+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From this we can gather one  $F_i$ , with a bunch of other stuff afterwards:

$$= \frac{F_i}{2} + \left( \frac{M_i^2 - M_{i+1}^2}{4} + \frac{M_{i+1}}{2} \right) \Phi_i + \frac{1}{4}(-M_i^3 + 3M_i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1}^3 - 3M_{i+1} + 2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{i+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It's hard to conclude if there is a  $F_{i+1}$  term somewhere in that mess, so for now we will conclude that:

$$f(U_i, U_{i+1}) = F_{i+1} - \left( \frac{M_i^2 - M_{i+1}^2}{4} + \frac{M_{i+1}}{2} \right) \Phi_i + \frac{1}{4}(-M_i^3 + 3M_i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4}(M_{i+1}^3 - 3M_{i+1} + 2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{i+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, looking at both forms of  $f$ , no, it is not clear to me whether classifying AUSM as a flux difference splitting scheme or matrix dissipation scheme is possible.

## 2 1D Roe Scheme Discretised

Given the compressible Euler equations in 1D, we want to fully discretise using the implicit Euler time advance. The compressible Euler equations are:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 0$$

Where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ E \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + P \\ u(E + P) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E = \rho c_v T + \rho \frac{u^2}{2} \quad P = \rho R T$$

And of course, implicit Euler, looks like:

$$\frac{U_i^{n+1} - U_i^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}}{\Delta x} = 0$$

We must linearise our fluxes:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} \equiv F(U_i, U_{i+1})^{n+1} \approx F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n + \Delta t \left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t} \right|^n + O(\Delta t^2)$$

and by the chain rule we get:

$$\left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t} \right|^n = \left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_i} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t} \right|^n + \left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_{i+1}} \frac{\partial U_{i+1}}{\partial t} \right|^n$$

where we can use  $\delta$ -form that is:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t} = \frac{U_i^{n+1} - U_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{\delta U_i^{n+1}}{\Delta t}$$

Now linearising and rearranging our implicit scheme we get:

$$\left( \frac{I}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_i} \right|^n - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left. \frac{\partial F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\partial U_i} \right|^n \right) \delta U_i^{n+1} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left. \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\partial U_{i+1}} \right|^n \delta U_{i+1}^{n+1} - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left. \frac{\partial F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\partial U_{i-1}} \right|^n \delta U_{i-1}^{n+1}$$

$$= - \frac{F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n - F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta x}$$

Using Roe's scheme to evaluate the fluxes:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i} + F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{A}| \left( U_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1} + U_{i+\frac{1}{2};i} \right)$$

Using first-order spatial accuracy on the LHS, for evaluating the interface  $i + 1/2$  we get simply that  $F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i} = F_i$  and  $F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1} = F_{i+1}$  and likewise for  $U$ . This gives:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (F_i + F_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{A}| (U_{i+1} + U_i)$$

And similar for  $F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Now evaluating the Jacobians of the LHS we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta x} \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_i} &= \frac{A_i + |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} & \frac{1}{\Delta x} \frac{\partial F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_{i+1}} &= \frac{A_{i+1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta x} \frac{\partial F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_i} &= \frac{A_i - |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} & \frac{1}{\Delta x} \frac{\partial F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial U_{i-1}} &= \frac{A_{i-1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are as given in the notes. Subbing these back into our discretisation we get:

$$\left( \frac{I}{\Delta t} + \frac{|\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \right) \delta U_i^{n+1} + \frac{A_{i+1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \delta U_{i+1}^{n+1} - \frac{A_{i-1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \delta U_{i-1}^{n+1} = - \frac{F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n - F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta x}$$

Now using a second-order spatial discretisation for Roe's scheme on the RHS:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i} + F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1}) - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{A}| (U_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1} + U_{i+\frac{1}{2};i})$$

we get:

$$F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i} = \frac{3F_i - F_{i-1}}{2} \quad F_{i+\frac{1}{2};i+1} = \frac{-3F_{i+1} + F_{i+2}}{2}$$

and likewise for  $U$ . Subbing these into Roe's scheme we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{4} (-F_{i-1} + 3F_i - 3F_{i+1} + F_{i+2}) - \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}| (-U_{i-1} + 3U_i - 3U_{i+1} + U_{i+2}) \\ F_{i-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{4} (-F_{i-2} + 3F_{i-1} - 3F_i + F_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}| (-U_{i-2} + 3U_{i-1} - 3U_i + U_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

And finally a discretised RHS of:

$$\begin{aligned} - \frac{F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n - F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n}{\Delta x} &= - \frac{1}{4} (F_{i-2} - 4F_{i-1} + 6F_i - 4F_{i+1} + F_{i+2}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}} (-U_{i-1} + 3U_i - 3U_{i+1} + U_{i+2}) + \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}} (-U_{i-2} + 3U_{i-1} - 3U_i + U_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the LHS and RHS we find the full discretisation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{I}{\Delta t} + \frac{|\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \right) \delta U_i^{n+1} + \frac{A_{i+1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \delta U_{i+1}^{n+1} - \frac{A_{i-1} - |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} \delta U_{i-1}^{n+1} \\ &= - \frac{1}{4} (F_{i-2} - 4F_{i-1} + 6F_i - 4F_{i+1} + F_{i+2}) - \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}|_{i+\frac{1}{2}} (-U_{i-1} + 3U_i - 3U_{i+1} + U_{i+2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{A}|_{i-\frac{1}{2}} (-U_{i-2} + 3U_{i-1} - 3U_i + U_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$