



Adoptium Project Management Committee Agenda & Minutes

“The PMC as a whole, and the PMC leads in particular, are ultimately responsible for ensuring that the Eclipse Development Process is understood and followed by their Projects. The PMC is additionally responsible for maintaining the Top-Level Project’s charter.” [\[ref\]](#)

Date: 2023-Jan-11

Agenda:

- Approval of minutes from December 14th meeting.
- Review of [action items](#) from previous meetings.
- January PSU release (8u362, 11.0.18, 17.0.6, 19.0.2)
 - Release champion: Scott Fryer
 - Release checklist ([adoptium/adoptium#199](#))
 - Release status per platform ([adoptium/adoptium#TBD](#))
- Adding JREs to Linux installers ([adoptium/installer#430](#))
- Continuing to publish adoptopenjdk/openjdk8 & adoptopenjdk/openjdk11 container images at DockerHub
- AOB: Thanks to those who voted on Ludovic Henry joining Temurin compliance, SL will move that forward by engaging Wayne Beaton now.

Action items:

Date	Description	Status
2022-10-12	<p>ACTION: George and Stephanie will be assigned an issue (by Martijn) to refactor the 8u repository to move Alpine support out of main and into a separate branch/repo.</p> <p>Split out Alpine Port to its own branch · Issue #11 · adoptium/jdk8u (github.com)</p>	In progress

Attendees:

- ☐ George Adams
- ☒ Stewart Addison
- ☒ Gerd Aschemann (guest)
- ☒ Carmen Delgado (guest)
- ☒ Tim Ellison
- ☒ Severin Gehwolf
- ☒ Shelley Lambert
- ☒ Andrew Leonard
- ☒ John Oliver
- ☐ San Hong Li
- ☐ Martijn Verburg
- ☒ Lan Xia

Meeting called to order at 14:05 GMT

Minutes:

- The PMC recognised Gerd Aschemann as a guest interested in and working on the JRE installers topic.
- Approval of minutes from December 14th meeting.
 - Minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- Review of [action items](#) from previous meetings.
 - [A PR](#) has been opened for Alpine Linux 8 - waiting on a [new repo](#) for this.
 - <https://github.com/adoptium/jdk8u/issues/11>
- January PSU release (8u362, 11.0.18, 17.0.6, 19.0.2)
 - Release champion: Scott Fryer
 - Release checklist ([adoptium/adoptium#199](#))
 - Release status per platform (adoptium/adoptium#TBD)
 - Kick-off of dry-run activities about to start with T-1 week tasks.
 - Temurin compliance changes including automations should make things easier there.
- Adding JREs to Linux installers ([adoptium/installer#430](#))
 - Gerd Aschemann is working on this topic, and looking for direction on how to structure the work for Debian.
 - Options include maintaining JREs and JDKs within the same structure and parameterizing the makefile builds to produce JRE/JDK/version combinations; or have similar structures (with potential duplication) targeting the specific combination.
 - After some discussion the decision is to maintain separate structures rather than having possibly complicated parameterized builds.
 - RPMs include the binary build in the RPM, including the tarball for every architecture. This would result in bloat of the size of the SRPM (not binary RPM) if they are all included in the same.

- Question about what the structure was for AdoptOpenJDK - unknown by folks on the call.
- Continuing to publish adoptopenjdk/openjdk8 & adoptopenjdk/openjdk11 container images at DockerHub
 - Temurin support received an issue about Temurin received via the adoptopenjdk namespace.
 - This was part of a migration assistance plan.
 - The updater job had been stopped towards the end of last year, and these images were not being refreshed. Unknown why these were stopped.
 - Discussion about the usage of the images, and where the numbers are being kept.
 - Need to improve migration description for the adoptopenjdk images.
 - ACTION: Sxa to check the decision to stop the adoptopenjdk docker image updates task.
- AoB
 - The vote for Ludovic to be a committer on the compliance project will be concluded shortly.
 - SDF issue for how artefacts are stored immutably onto Eclipse-owned repository. Work in progress.
 - Scott mentioned on Slack that release management will be via tagging rather than freezing on three repositories.
 - i. Will be careful of changes anyway to make sure the tagging approach is working.
 - ii. Question about why this is not on a release branch rather than tagging the main. This is how the testing repo is managed at release time. The naming convention could also be used.
 - iii. Tim noted that tags/SHAs should be used for identifying the *state* of the code at build time, and branches should be used for *isolating* the release code from the development code.

Meeting closed at 14:39 GMT