12. Ubiose -> drigins of maire: detector sensitivity, electrical maire, data transmission wishs, 1 Data drop-out moise = random bits "corrupted" & "lost 4 reduced by treshold average filter median filter 2) Fiscal pattern moise -> caused by sensors Source of low a "point by point" calibration of each sensor 3) Detector or That usine 4 gaussian approximation 4 low-contrast approximation (2.2) Properties of Additive aloise Jg = J2 + Jm g(i,j)=B(i,j)+m(i,j)
true rignal moise -> degrading the image (smoothered at the edges) 12.3. Lignal to waise natio (SNR) SUR= 5 -1

12.8. Signal to waise hatio (SNR) $SNR = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4} - \lambda \\ \frac{1}{4} - \lambda \end{cases}$ $SNR = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4} - \lambda \\ \frac{1}{4} - \lambda \end{cases}$ $SNR = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} - \lambda \end{cases}$ $SNR = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} - \lambda \end{cases}$

010/11 13. Littering -linear (consolution): g(i,j)= h(i,j) of (i,j)
oranlinear (in real space) 4 filtering operations 13 1A Linear filtering 1) Favrier space consolutions g(i,j)=J-1 (+(k,l)+(k,l)) - Jourier on both functions, multiply, inverse fourier hal flix) haghliss) - computational cost doesn't depend on filter of 2) Seal space consolutions

- by direct application of the shift and multiply def. of consolution $g(i,j) = \sum_{m=-\frac{m}{2}}^{\infty} k(m,m) \cdot f(i-m,j-m)$ $m = -\frac{m}{2} m = -\frac{m}{2}$ -computational cost graportional no filter f (3.2) Ladrier space filters a) Low-pass filters: -> attenuates only high spatial freg.

-> ideal (creates ringing effects): H(k, l) = {0, else

-> romooth cut-off filter: Jaussian: H(k, l) = exp(-\frac{w}{w_o})^2 b) Lightpars feltors: - attenuates low spatial frequencies → enhancing the edges in images → ideal: H(k, l) = {0, k+l= no > romooth out-off filter: Gaussian: H(k,l)=1-loop (- 10) c) Band-pass filters = love-pass + high-pass: H(k,l)=H, (k,l)+H,(k,l) 133 Real space filters inite range of filtering mark a) Real space averaging: 0 10 -> 5 pisal → 9 piscel asstage avorage b) beal space differentiation - x differential -> effect on enhancing vertical edges y differential -> - | - houtonal edges 13.4. Uses of linear filters

2.0

! real = shift & multiply =) output as a convolution 13.18 Real place mon-linear filtering Specified mon-linear speciated to give 18.2. Shrink and expand filters Shrink: the mon-linear operator= min ? remove all loright regions smaller bearing than the filter size while leaving large regions almost unaltered 13.3 Trushold average filter: is then compared not the central pixel value; if it differs by more than a gresent trushold, then the central gized is considered corrupted and replaced by the average is removal of mow effect g(i,j) = A for (A-f(i,j))>T = g(i,j) alse 13.3 Median filters \$(i) = Gh, 10,9, M,9 2 realises (3&9) less than 3 2 realises (61,11) less than 3 is median is defined as the middle realul 13.4 Komomorphic filtering 4 for correction of illumination variation

() IT

1 C12/13 14. Edge based segmentation Ledges are generated by: - changes in the murface reflectance / trientation
- an eject being partially covered by another
high spatial frequencies
- indirect covering by shadows 14.1 Edge detection L, Edges: 2008 sudge gramp intersection of lines about change of change of Lo steps in edge segmentation: Edge picels detection and identification edges, close contours post processing-improve nexults, extract edges, close contours Le enhance and mark edge piecels and extract them
Le med some type of high-pass filter - 1 st or 2 mol order differentials La edge detection: I First order differentials L> 2 d(x,y) → vertical edge L> 2 d(x,y) > horizontal edge Ly but me want both => \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(x,y)} \cos \partial + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(x,y)} \cos \partial + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(x,y)} \cos \partial(x,y) \c is modulus of the gradient: $|\nabla f(x,y)| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\right)^2}$ Vf(x,4) />T => delge $\frac{\partial g(i,j)}{\partial i} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ g(i,j)$ Vf(x,y) LT => mo Edge $\frac{\partial g(i,j)}{\partial i} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ f(i,j)$ Sol filter $\frac{\partial f(i,j)}{\partial i} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ f(i,j)$ 3 g(i,j) = [-1 -2 7] · g(i,j) 1. 1 pm of common ust order differential edge detector

Brokens: is arbitrary trashed value - avoid by setting T such that x% of the image is classified as edge Ly thick edges of T is too low schingues (reduce to single pixels while keep edge connected) broken edges - asaid by range or edge-joining techniques
be maise points - asaid by modified breshold filter to remove isolated points I Tecond order differentials Ly Laplacian: $\nabla^2 f(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial y^2}$ => a single consolution of: $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Bre- processing to reduce the maise, smooth the image before Laplacian (4 esc. nine point owners) Problems 4 thin edges 4 closed loops 4 maise grobbens: Laplacian is a high pass filter, so enhances high frequencies

14.2. Mothodo based on filtering Jollowed by differential operators

10 situation - edge = step signal