Algorithms and Complexity Assignment 2

Student: Lixian Sun Student ID: 938295

Q1. (a)

Function: A pre-sorting based algorithms to find the duplicates of array in O(nlogn).

Input: Array A[0..n-1].

Output: List that contains the duplicates of A[0..1].

Complexity: I choose merge sort to sort the array because the merge sort is stable and.

its time complexity is O(nlogn). And the time complexity of the "for loop" in the algorithm is O(n). So the time complexity of my algorithm is

O(nlogn) + O(n) = O(nlogn).

```
function FINDDUPLICATES(A[0..n-1])
```

MERGESORT(A[0..n-1]) //use merge sort method to sort the array A Initialize empty linked list duplicate //initialize a list to store duplicates for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n-2 do

if A[i] == A[i+1] and duplicate. val! = A[i]

 $\label{lem:duplicate} \textit{duplicate.insert} (A[i]) \ / \textit{if haven't been recorded,insert the duplicate} \\ \textit{return duplicate}$

(b)

Function: A hashing based algorithms to find the duplicates of array in O(n).

Input: Array A[0..n-1].

Output: List contains the duplicates of A[0..n-1].

Complexity: In order to visit each element of array A[0..n-1], I use a "for loop" in my.

algorithm. So the time complexity is O(n).

Lookup: If the element exists in hash table, return true. If the element doesn't exist.

in hash table, insert the element into hash table and return false.

$function\ FINDDUPLICATES(A[0..n-1])$

Intialize hash table individualHash //to store non duplicate elements Intialize hash table duplicateHash //to avoid inserting duplicate for many times Initialize empty linked list duplicate //initialize a list to store duplicates for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n-1 do

//lookup: If the element exists in hash table return true. If the element //dosen't exit, insert the element into hash table and return false. if individualHash. lookup(A[i]) //check if there are duplicates if ! duplicateHash. lookup(A[i]) //check if duplicate have been recorded duplicate. insert(A[i])

return duplicate

Q2. (a)

Function: A algorithm to compute the mean value of each variable in given m

samples. I use the linked list to store the indices of items that are included

in a solution. So each element in X[0..m-1] is a linked list.

Input: Sample X[0..m-1]

Output: mean value of m samples mean Value.

Complexity: I use a "for loop" to visit each element in X[0..m-1], which contains the.

 1^{st} to m^{st} elements. And for each elements in X[0..m-1], I visit every element in the linked list, so the time complexity for my algorithm is

 $O(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|L_i|).$

```
function COMPUTEMEAN(X[1..m])

values in meanValue[1..n] are all 0 // initialize array to store mean value

for i \leftarrow 1 to m do

Initialize empty linked list node

node \leftarrow X[i]

while node! = null //check if all of the elements in node has been visited

meanValue[node.val] \leftarrow Value[node.val] + \frac{1}{m}

node.next //visit next value of the linked list

return meanValue
```

(b)

i. The greedy algorithm is always choosing the next piece that offers the most obvious and immediate benefit, which means having the maximum value versus weight ratio in the knapsack problem.

Taking the mean value algorithm into consideration, we can assume that benefit for each item I_i means each items' mean value meanValue[i-1] multiply its' value V_i . So new strategy of the algorithm is always choosing the next item which has the maximum benefit and put it into the knapsack until there is no space left in the knapsack. If there are several items having same benefit, choose the item which has the greatest value V.

ii. The algorithm described in part i does not usually produce an optimal solution, but nonetheless a greedy heuristic may yield locally optimal solutions that approximate a globally optimal solution. So I think such algorithm is effective.

Q3. (a)

Function: A algorithm to count the number of nodes, which is greater than lo and

lower. than hi, in AVL tree. The lo and hi will be given when the function

is calling. E means empty node in the algorithm.

Input: Root T of the AVL tree, the lowest value lo, the highest value hi.

Output: The number of the node n.

Complexity: My algorithm visits every node in the AVL tree for one time, so the time. complexity of the algorithm is O(n), and n is the number of nodes in the AVL tree.

```
function RANGECOUNT(T, lo, hi)
    if T is empty
         number \leftarrow 0
    if T.key < lo
         number \leftarrow RANGECOUNT(T.right, lo, hi)
    if T.key > hi
         number \leftarrow RANGECOUNT(T.left, lo, hi)
    if T.key \ge lo and T.key \le hi
         number \leftarrow 1 + RANGECOUNT(T.left, lo, hi) + RANGECOUNT(T.right, lo, hi)
    return number
(b)
function RANGECOUNT(T, lo, hi, rootKey)
    if T = E
         number \leftarrow 0
    if T.key < lo
         number \leftarrow RANGECOUNT(T.right, lo, hi)
    if T.key > hi
         number \leftarrow RANGECOUNT(T.left, lo, hi)
    if T.key \ge lo and T.key \le hi and T.key \le rootKey
         number \leftarrow 1 + RANGECOUNT(T.left, lo, hi, rootKey) + T.left.numRight
    if T.key \ge lo and T.key \le hi and T.key > rootKey
         number \leftarrow 1 + RANGECOUNT(T.right, lo, hi, rootKey) + T.right.numRight
    return number
Q4. (a)
Function:
              A algorithm to calculate the minimum number of operations to transform
              one. string into another given string.
Input:
              String A[0..n-1], string B[0..m-1]
Output:
               Minimum number.
Complexity: The time complexity is O(mn).
function TRANSFORM(A[0..n-1], B[0..m-1])
    Initialize matrix Num[0..n-1][0..m-1]
    for j \leftarrow 0 to n - 1 do
         Num[0][j] \leftarrow j
    for i \leftarrow 0 to m - 1 do
         Num[i][0] \leftarrow j
    for i \leftarrow 1 to m - 1 do
```

```
\begin{split} for \ j \leftarrow 1 \ to \ n-1 \ do \\ if \ B[i] == A[j] \\ Num[i][j] \leftarrow Num[i-1][j-1] \\ else \\ Num[i][j] \leftarrow 1 + \min{(Num[i-1][j-1], Num[i-1][j], Num[i][j-1])} \\ return \ Num[m-1][n-1] \end{split}
```

(b)

There are m multiply n possible sub solution to solve the problem, the algorithm computes the number of operations that need to be done for each sub solution using the dynamic programing. So the time complexity is O(mn).