Java Concurrency

Thread-safety for intermediate developers

What's in this talk?

- > Some definitions and concepts
- > Benefits and risks of concurrency
- > Deep dive into race conditions
- > One weird trick for threadsafe code!!
- > Synchronization
- > Alternatives to synchronization

A quick intro

Parallel and Concurrent

•

Threads

- A way for a single program to split execute pieces of code simultaneously
- Relatively lightweight
- Each has its own name, code stack, state, etc
- Can execute truly simultaneously on separate processors, or be interleaved with other threads on one processor
- They are managed by the same JVM instance and share the same resources

Synchronous and Asynchronous

- Synchronous means there's co-ordination between things
- Async means there's no coordination, each thread does its own thing at its own pace
- Async is the *default* for multi-threaded code in java. If you want things to be synchronized, you need to be explicit about it
- Async is faster because more things are happening all at once
- It's also less *safe* because there's no guarantee what order the threaded things happen in

Atomic

Atomic

- Atomic mean it can't be broken down further
- An action is atomic if it can't be interrupted or interleaved with other actions
- Atomic

```
Reading an int, boolean, etc
Writing an int, boolean, etc.
Compare-and-swap operation
```

• Non-atomic:

```
If (mylist.contains(user.getName()) i++
Reading a long (sometimes)
i++
```

Benefits

- 1. **Throughput**: utilize hardware more effectively to process more data in less time
- 2. **Responsiveness**: process user inputs as soon as you get them, instead of waiting until you've finished the previous task
- 3. **Simplicity**: having separate tasks in separate threads keeps things nice and encapsulated

Problems

- 1. **Safety**: nothing bad ever happens, e.g. no race conditions
- 2. **Liveness**: something good eventually happens, e.g. deadlocks
- 3. **Performance**: it doesn't take forever for something good to happen

What does multithreaded code look like?

```
new Thread.start(myRunnable) //runnable can be a lambda
ExecutorService executor = new ThreadPoolExecutor(10)
executor.execute(myRunnable) //or .sumbit(myRunnable)
stream().parallel()
```

Also lifecycle management frameworks, like spring, can spin up extra threads, e.g. @PostConstructor, @Scheduled, REST api requestst

Race conditions

Race conditions

- Race Conditions are when the order of threads executing affects the outcome
- E.g. 2 threads modifying the same value at the same time
- A very easy mistake to make
- Hard to spot, debug and reproduce

Race conditions: a simple example

Thread 1

map.put("john", "Doe")

Thread 2

map.put("john", "Smith")

Race conditions: another example

Thread 1

println("hello")

Thread 2

println("hello")

Race condition 1: read-modify-write

Both threads are running one line of code: i++

Thread 1	Thread 2
Get the value of i	
	Get the value of i
Calculate i+1	
	Calculate i+1
Write that value to i	
	Write that value to

Race condition 2: check-then-act

The simple solution

The simple solution

Race conditions affect **shared**, **mutable state**

Your data will always be thread safe if it is either:

- not shared across multiple threads
- Immutable
- Make things stateless

1) Don't share data

If you can keep data encapsulated, and control who has access to it, you can make sure it's only accessible from one thread

Some strategies:

- Ad-hoc Stack confinement: keep in a single code stack, don't write it out to a class field
- Make local copies rather than using the shared object
- ThreadLocal is an object wrapper that stores one instance per thread
- Pass-the-baton: only make the object available to another thread when the first is done with it, e.g. blockingQueue

2) make it immutable

- A variable is immutable if there's no way to modify its state after it's created
- Final stops the variable being reassigned (important!), but doesn't stop it being changed
- Primitives and Strings are always immutable
- Other objects are immutable if:
 - All fields are final (or effectively final)
 - The class provides no way to mutate its fields and doesn't expose them (e.g. in getters), or its fields are immutable classes

3) go stateless

- An object is stateless if it doesn't have any member fields
- It can process data in methods, but it doesn't store anything
- Not always possible, but a good, easy approach is it is

The hard solution

Synchronization

- Synchronize between threads let us control the order of execution
- (In java at least) it's done by "acquiring a lock" on an object.
 - When you need to read or write to shared mutable data, you first lock it
 - Each lock can only be held once, so if you need a lock but another thread has it, you wait until it becomes available
 - When you're done, you unlock it so other threads can use it
- There are an infinite number of possible locks, and which one you use matters
 - If you access the same data from multiple methods, you need to use the same lock object
 - o If you have two areas that require synchronization, but they don't share data, use different objects

Synchronized

- There are several ways to do locking in Java, several different lock classes
- The simplest and most common is the 'synchronized' keyword
- Synchronized blocks have a "Monitor"

 \sim

- This can be set implicitly or explicitly
- Anything object can be a monitor

Synchronized

Synchronized can wrap a method

```
Public void synchronized addToQueue(Foo item) {
   if (list.length() < 10)
        list.add(item)
}</pre>
```

The monitor in this case is 'this' (or the the Class for static methods)

Synchronized

Or Synchronized can wrap a block

```
Synchronized (this) {
    if (list.length() < 10)
        list.add(item)
}

You can use any object here to synchronize on, not just this
But using `this` is common</pre>
```

Race condition 1: check-then-act

```
Lock Thread 1

Public void synchronized () {
    if (list.length() < 10)Public void synchronized () {
        list.add(x)
    }

if (list.length() < 10)
    list.length() < 10)
    list.add(x)</pre>
```

- No matter which thread reaches it first, the other has to wait until the first thread is fully done
- The check-and-add action is now atomic. The threads can't be interleaved

Locking pitfalls:

Performance, liveness and safety

Performance

- Locks work by making some threads wait
- The more locks you have, the slower your code is
- Totally defeats the point of making your code concurrent in the first place

Liveness

```
public class Deadlock {
        private final Object lockA = new Object();
        private final Object lockB = new Object();
        public void acquireAThenB() {
             synchronized (lockA) {
                 synchronized (lockB) {
                    // Do something...
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
15⊖
        public void acquireBThenA() {
16
            synchronized (lockB) {
■17
                 synchronized (lockA) {
18
                     // Do something...
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23 }
 24
```

Safety

• Locks are easy to misuse, particular if you don't totally understand what you're doing

Atomicity: multivariable states

- Sometimes multiple variables combine to form a single state, and when one changes the other needs to change as well
 - E.g.
- Modifying these needs to be wrapped in a single synchronized block
- A good pattern is to encapsulate multi-variable states in their own class, and synchronize the methods on that class

Atomicity: compound actions

- Some actions take multiple steps, and you need to make sure those are atomic, too
- E.g. check-then-act

Alternative locking strategies

Alternatives to synchronized

- Synchronized is good: it's clear and widely used
- Sometimes you need something more powerful or flexible

ReentrantLock

- ReentrantLock is very similar to syncronized, but more power
- Reentrant means that one thread can acquire the same lock multiple times.
 - Syncrhonized is reentrant, but not all locking libraries are
- Example

```
ReentrantLock lock = new ReentrantLock();
```

lock.lock()

lock.unlock()

- These locks are more flexible
 - They are used by calling lock.lock() and lock.unlock(), which lets you break out of the nested block structure.
 - Configurable fairness policies, timeouts on waiting, interruptability, try but don't wait for the lock
 - Visibility on what else is waiting on the lock
 - Higher performance

ReadWriteLock

- ReadWriteLock lets multiple threads read, as long as no one is writing
- Example

```
ReadWriteLock lock = new ReentrantReadWriteLock();
lock.writeLock().lock()
```

StampedLock

- Supports optimistic locking
 - Rather than blocking, take a version number
 - That can be used to check if you lock is still valid

Other

- Stamped lock supports optimistic locking
 - Rather than blocking, take a version number
 - That can be used to check if you lock is still valid
 - Can be more efficent if lots of threads are reading a value that rarely changes
- Semaphor limits the number of threads that can concurrently access a lock

Atomic classes

- Atomic classes exist for all primitives, e.g. AtomicBoolean, AtomicLong
- These wrap up common multi-step operations into atomic functions, so you don't have to, e.g

updateAndGet

 Concurrent collections (e.g. concurrentHashmap, concurrentArrayList) do a similar thing for collections

"Thread safe" classes

- Some classes have thread safety built in:
 - AtomicLong, AtomicBoolean, AtomicReference
 - o ConcurrentList, ConcurrentHashmap
- Be careful in using these for mult-variable or multi-step processes

Volatile

- Java threads store variable values in their own cache
- If one thread modifies a value, then another thread accesses it, it can read a "stale" value
- The volatile key stops the value being cached, ensuring no reads will be stale
- Great if you have multiple threads reading and only one thread writing
- Doesn't protect you against simultaneous write race conditions

Recap

- The simplest ways to make multi-threaded code safe are:
 - Keeping data contained in one thread
 - Immutability
 - Statelessness
- If you can't do that, use locking
 - Be consistent with your lock: use the same lock when accessing the same data
 - Synchronized is a good basic choice
 - Other options can improve performance