

HW 2.1

1. (24 points) Consider the following two statement forms:

- $A: p \rightarrow (\sim q \wedge r)$
- $B: (\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge r$

a) (15 points) Construct a **single** truth table that displays the truth values of the above two statement forms. Use as many rows & columns as you need in the following table.

p	q	r	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$\sim q \wedge r$	$p \rightarrow (\sim q \wedge r)$	$\sim p \vee \sim q$	$(\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge r$	
T	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	
T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	
F	T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T	F	
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	
F	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	

A

B

b) (9 points) Define what it means for two statement forms A & B to be logically equivalent. Are the above A & B logically equivalent? Prove or disprove the following using only the definition (i.e. without using the truth table).

$$p \rightarrow (\sim q \wedge r) \equiv (\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge r$$

2 statement forms are logically equivalent if and only if they have the same truth values for ALL truth values of their statement variables.

$p \rightarrow (\sim q \wedge r) \not\equiv (\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge r$ because they do NOT have the same truth values for all truth values of p, q, r , such as when $(p, q, r) = (F, T, F)$

$$p \rightarrow (\sim q \wedge r) = T$$

$$(\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge r = F$$

2. (22 points) Consider the following statement:

"If Trevor didn't pass quiz 1, then he needs to redo quiz 1 and study harder for quiz 2"

- a) (5 points) Define 3 statement variables $p, q, & r$, and write the **statement form** of the above conditional statement involving only " \rightarrow, \vee or \wedge ". Do not use negation " \sim ".

$p = \text{Trevor didn't pass quiz 1}$

$q = \text{Trevor needs to redo quiz 1}$

$r = \text{Trevor needs to study harder for quiz 2}$

Statement form: $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$

- b) (5 points) Using the same $p, q, & r$ as above, write the statement in words that corresponds to:
 $\sim p \vee (q \wedge r)$

Either Trevor did pass quiz 1 or Trevor needs to redo quiz 1 and Trevor needs to study harder for quiz 2.

- c) (6 points) Apply the negation " \sim " to the statement form given in part b), and **simplify** it using logical equivalences laws. Use only one law in each step and include a name for each law.

$$\begin{aligned} \sim(\sim p \vee (q \wedge r)) &\equiv \sim(\sim p) \wedge \sim(q \wedge r) && \text{by DeMorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv \sim(\sim p) \wedge (\sim q \vee \sim r) && \text{by DeMorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv p \wedge (\sim q \vee \sim r) && \text{by Double Negative Law} \end{aligned}$$

- d) (6 points) Write in words the negation of the statement that you found in part b). (Hint: use part c))

Trevor didn't pass quiz 1 & Either Trevor does not need to redo quiz 1 or Trevor does not need to study harder for quiz 2.

3. (24 points) Prove the following logical equivalence using **standard logical equivalences**. Justify each step by stating the name of the standard logical equivalence law & use only one law in each step.

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge \sim r \quad \equiv \quad \sim(p \wedge r) \wedge (q \wedge p)$$

$$\text{RHS} \equiv \sim(p \wedge r) \wedge (q \wedge p)$$

$$\equiv (\sim p \vee \sim r) \wedge (q \wedge p) \quad \text{by De Morgan's Law}$$

$$\equiv (q \wedge p) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim r) \quad \text{by Commutative Law}$$

$$\equiv q \wedge (p \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim r)) \quad \text{by Associative Law}$$

$$\equiv q \wedge ((p \wedge \sim p) \vee (p \wedge \sim r)) \quad \text{by Distributive Law}$$

$$\equiv q \wedge (c \vee (p \wedge \sim r)) \quad \text{by Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv q \wedge ((p \wedge \sim r) \vee c) \quad \text{by Commutative Law}$$

$$\equiv q \wedge (p \wedge \sim r) \quad \text{by Identity Law}$$

$$\equiv (q \wedge p) \wedge \sim r \quad \text{by Associative Law}$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge \sim r \quad \text{by commutative Law}$$

$$\equiv \text{LHS}$$