	tegory of Testing	Description Note  This is level of testing Technical or Non-Technical?		Level 1 Non-Technical	Level 2	evel 2 Level 3 Level 4  Technical			NIST SP 800-53 Assessment Methods		
Type of Testing  Title		This is level of testing Technical or Non-Technical?  Short description of this level of testing rigor		Assertion Passive		Basic Compliance Advanced					
Title		Short description of this level of testing rigor.		Appropriateness	Compliance Verification	Verification	Compliance Verification	Examine	Interview	Test	
Typical Use		This level of testing rigor will usually be used for		Status Check of Trusted System	Initial Form of Ongoing Authorization	Tailored-Scope ACT	Comprehensive ACT				
Questions Answered		Does the documentation and configuration indicate a system that <i>is likely</i> to be acceptably compliant with security requirements? (Examine)		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>			
		Do <b>pre-existing</b> testing results and personnel interviews indicate a system that <b>is likely</b> to be acceptably compliant with security requirements? (Examine, Interview)			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	✓		
		Does <b>new</b> testing confirm the system <b>is</b> acceptably compliant with a <b>sample</b> of security requirements? (Test)				<b>√</b>	✓			<b>√</b>	
		Does <b>new</b> testing confirm the system <i>is</i> acceptably compliant with <i>all</i> security requirements? (Test)					<b>√</b>			✓	
Goals Achieved		Determine if <b>assertions</b> made in documentation and passive data collection are compliant and appropriate. (Examine)		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>			
		Determine if <b>pre-existing</b> test results demonstrate that the system adequately complies with security requirements. (Examine)			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	✓			
		Determine if <b>personnel</b> adequately understand the system, the security requirements, and their duties. (Interview)			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>		
		Determine if assertions in documentation, interviews, and available pre-existing system test			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		
		results are consistent with each other. (Examine, Interview)  Determine if <b>known exploits</b> (CVEs, 0-days, etc.) are adequately addressed by the system				<b>√</b>	./			/	
		documentation, personnel, and implementation. (Test)				<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>				
		Determine if the <b>Core</b> Controls are adequately implemented by the system. (Test)  Determine if a <b>random sample</b> of the <b>non-Core</b> Controls are adequately implemented by the				./	V				
		system. (Test)				V	/			<b>V</b>	
Assertions Verified		Determine if <b>all non-Core</b> Controls are adequately implemented by the system. (Test)  Assertion verification summary:		Assertions Not Verified	Assertions Verified Against <b>Existing</b> Test Results	Assertions Verified Against New Test of Sample of Controls	Assertions Verified Against New Test of <b>All</b> Controls			✓	
		Interviews			<b>√</b>	√	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>		
		Existing Test Results	NetSparker, Penetration Test, and Previous ACT Security Assessment (see "Tools Used" below).		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>			
		Known Exploits	CVEs, 0-days, etc. for components and technologies known to compose the system.			<b>√</b>	✓			✓	
		Core Controls				<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>			<b>√</b>	
		Non-Core Controls				sample	all			<b>√</b>	
	Documentation	System Security Plan (SSP), Contingency Plan (CP), I etc.	nformation System Risk Assessment (ISRA),	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>			
	Configuration Data	<tool, e.g.="" inspec=""></tool,>	Not considered to be "tests" since they	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
		<tool, dbprotect="" e.g.=""></tool,>	simply collect configuration and status data from the system, which is effectively a	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
		<tool, e.g.="" nessus=""></tool,>	collection of assertions that must be verified	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Tools Used		Running Configurations (System) through testing.		✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓			
	Interviews	Interviews of ISSM, ISSO, App Developers, DB Admins, Network Admins, OS Admins, Mainframe Admin, etc.			✓	✓	✓		✓		
	Implementation Data	<tool, e.g.="" netsparker=""></tool,>	Considered to be "tests" since they report		✓	✓	√			<b>√</b>	
		Penetration Test	actual testing that was performedwithin a reasonable timeframe from this asssessment.		✓	✓	✓			✓	
		Previous ACT Security Assessment (Existing Data)			✓	✓	√	$\checkmark$		<b>√</b>	
		Vulnerability Assessment Tools ( <b>This Assessment</b> )	Vulnerability Assessment performed by the Assessment Team on this assessment.			✓	✓			✓	
System A	System Access Needed Type of access to the system required to complete testing.		none	none	full	full					
		M&O		0.7	0.8	1.0	1.0				
		Privacy		0.6	0.6	1.0	1.0				
Assessor Rol	le Level of Effort	Application		0.0	0.1	0.6	1.0				
Estimate (vs. "today's ideal assessment")		Database		0.6	0.6	0.8	1.0				
		Operating System		0.6	0.6	0.8	1.0				
		Network		0.6	0.6	0.8	1.0				
		Mainframe		0.6 fully	0.6 fully	0.8 partial	1.0 partial				
How Automatable Is This? (Rough Estimates)	Compliance Checks	Technical		(minimal effort)	(moderate effort)	(significant effort)	(significant effort)				
		M&O		fully (significant effort)							
	Appropriateness Checks	Technical		partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)				
		M&O		partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)	partial (significant effort)				