|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Today, I made an important update to the prompt. Previously, there was an issue where the comment section would remain empty, but I resolved this, and now the system works more consistently. In particular, when I processed the file in JSON format, the model began producing comments much more effectively and in the desired format. This improvement noticeably increased the overall performance of the system.  I continued my local experiments and tested the Deepseek R1 model, but I still did not get the expected comments. According to my research, this model may not fully support the JSON format, which reduces the quality of interpretation. On the other hand, the Mistral and LLaMA 3.1 models provide responses every time without leaving the comment section empty. However, I still haven’t been able to establish a system with the level of clarity I want in the interpretation section. Even though I give detailed instructions in the prompt, sometimes the models interpret the input differently. I have not yet determined whether this is due to prompt limitations or the constraints of the model parameters.  Currently, I am continuing to improve the prompt design and trying to find the optimal combination while taking model parameters into account. I feel that I am approaching a solution; if I can get past this stage, I will have built a strong and consistent local system for generating interpretations. | | | |
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