

Usability Analysis

COMP140 - Usability Analysis

1507290

May 9, 2016

1 Introduction

The controller created for Hotrod the Beetle will be evaluated in this report. The controller is used by rotating a disc with an image of Hotrod on, so that he is facing in the direction of intended travel. An image of the controller is shown in Figure ??.

2 Evaluation Process

Due to the circumstances of the review session, the controller was only reviewed by one evaluator. Therefore, it will be difficult to judge whether the reported issues accurately reflect the usability of the controller. Additionally, it is likely that there will be further usability problems that were not reported by the evaluator. The review process could have been made more effective, accurate and reliable by having a larger number of reviewers. The review process was carried out by following the guidelines provided by Nielsen [1].

Heuristic	Description
Responsiveness of controls	Inputs on the controller should be reflected promptly in the game
Ease of interpretation of system status	The status of the game and the controller should be made clear and easy to interpret without distracting from the game
Recognition over recall	The functions of each component of controller should be easily recognisable, rather than needing to remember what each button does
Thing	thing
Thing	thing

Table 1: Heuristics used in usability analysis of the controller

3 Heuristics

The heuristics used are found in table 1. They are adapted from Nielsen’s heuristics [1] and Pinelle’s heuristics [2] to be more appropriate to a usability analysis of a game controller.

4 Design Issue 1: Purpose of LEDs Unclear

One design issue that was identified by reviewers was that the purpose of the LEDs was unclear. Originally, the LEDs indicated where the walls are. This is not a particularly effective use of them, however. Firstly, they do not enhance the gameplay or provide the player with additional information in a useful way, as the player often won’t have time to look down at the controller, particularly when all of the information is displayed on screen anyway. Secondly, it is not obvious that they are designed to indicate the walls.

4.1 Proposed Solution

The reviewer reported initially believing that the LEDs were indicating the orientation of the controller. This may indicate that this is a more intuitive and clear use of the LEDs. Furthermore, providing an indication of the direction the controller is registering will be more useful to the player, as it can sometimes be unclear which direction is active due to the nature of free rotation.

5 Design Issue 2: Controls Feel Unresponsive

The controller was reported to feel unnatural and unresponsive: you must rotate the controller *before* the junction that the character is expected to turn on, otherwise the character would continue past the turning. This is caused by a disconnect between the game's design and the controller's design. The game compensates for the character's constant movement by allowing input to be registered before a turning, allowing the player to feel in control. The time taken to physically manipulate the controller means that the player is forced to play in this style. However, this means that the orientation of the image on the controller rarely matches the orientation of the character on-screen.

5.1 Proposed Solution

It may not be appropriate to adjust the game so that it responds differently, primarily because this would reduce the usability regarding responsiveness and controllability when playing the game using different control methods.

6 Conclusion

Applying the proposed changes to the design in order to address the issues raised during the review may increase the usability of the controller.