Lecture 05, 24/09/2019

Summary of previous lecture

We assumed that

- 1) f is differentiable
- 2) Vfcx) is Lipschizt continuous

  [IVf(y)-Vfcx)||z = Lily-xilz

  for some positive constant L.

Lipsolutz continuity of the growtent implies that the growtent convot change arbstravily fast.

Lipschitz continuity is a common assumption for lachine learning models.

re ligsolder continuous: local squares, logistic are ligsolder continuous: local squares, logistic agression. Deep neural vetworks.

We defined gradient Descend

XXX = XX - 17 F (XX).

and we showed that at each iteration gradient descent decreases the objective function

fcxxxx) < fcxxx)

(assuming that Xx is not a stationary point  $\nabla F(x_c) + 0$ )

We also showed that if a function is lipschitz and often is lipschitz and often is lipschitz antimon, then we can upper bound the function

fcy) < fcx) + \forall fcx) (y-x) + \frac{1}{2} ||y-x||^2
+xyelly

Outline

3

1) Amount of Decreese of the objective function

2) Convergence route et gravient descend.

Decrease of the objective function

4

we will use

to prove

proof

Set 1) 
$$y = x_E - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_E)$$
 and  $(2) \times = x_C$ 

to set

(=)

$$f(x_k - \frac{1}{2}\nabla f(x_k)) = f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2$$

$$= f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2$$

## Comment

- 1) This shows that gradient descend with step-sine  $cix = \frac{1}{L}$  is guaranteed to decrease the objective
- 2) Amount of Decrease Depends on the length of the gradient 110 fex) 1/2

Convergence route Using the inequality fixe - 1 ofexes) = fixe) - 1 11 ofexes 112 we got that XXX Mofixed 12 = 2L (fixe) - F (XHI) If we assume that f is bounded from below F = f cx + x ER" f(xxti) < f(xx) then ces k-> +00 Then because t(xx) -t(xxx) -> 0, which we must howe with the above inequality gives in combination 11 pt(xx) 1/2 -> 0. However, we would like to know how feest the gradient goes to zero. In ponticular, the terminantion creterion of gradient descend is 11 of example & &

for some positive constant E>O. Or would like to know how many iterations of gradient descend one required to quarantee that llofexesllz & E. In other words, given a tolerance parameter E, all voould like to know how many iterestion) does It take to get 110 times le 2 E. Assumptions 1) of cx) is Lipsoldtz continuous 9x= [ (simplifies the analysis) 2) Step-size F is bounded balow. 3) Function If such that F = frx) +xEP' least-squares 15 at least 0. example

proof: convergence rate We prova that the guarantea progress turn) < f(xx) - 1/21 | of(xx) | 2 Since ue wont to bound 110 fexx) 1/2 lets recurrange as 11 Df(xx) 1/2 = 2L (f(xx)-f(xxx)) +K Lets sum-up the oquent norms of all gradients up to iteration to  $\frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{D}f(x_k) \|_2^2 \leq 2L \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})]$ The RHS is called the telescoping sum  $\frac{t}{L} f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1}) = f(x_0) - f(x_1) + f(x_1) - f(x_2) + f(x_2) - f(x_{b+1})$ = f(xo) - f(xth)

Thys gives us  $\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 \leq 22 \left(f(x_0) - f(x_{t+1})\right)$ We can also simplify the LHS (th) min 11 of (xx) 1/2 < \frac{t}{2} 110f(xx) 1/2 < \frac{t}{2} 110f(xx) 1/2 < \frac{t}{2} 110f(xx) 1/2 = \frac{t}{2} 110f(xx) 1 Thus we get (tt) min 11 Df(xx) 112 < 2L (f(xx)-f(xxx))

the frest that f is bounded below of = fix +xer

(t+1) win  $10f(xc)|_{2} \leq 2L(f(xc) - \hat{f})$ 

Dow Divide by ttl to gas WIN II Dfixis) 1 2 2L (fixis) - F)
OS KIT = O(\frac{1}{t}) (convengences) t Henertland we have that Thus atter I at least one XX such that  $\|\nabla f(x_{k})\|_{2}^{2} = O\left(\frac{1}{t_{i}}\right)$ How many iterations will It take to min || Dtene) ||2 5 8 2L(f(x0)-f) < E We new  $\frac{2L(f(x_0)-\tilde{f})}{\varepsilon} = 1 \leq t$ 

This means that after  $2L(f(x_0)-\widehat{f})-1$ Herestions quarrient descent is quaranted to produce out least one  $x_k$  such that  $\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}$ .

## Comments.

- 1) Similar result com be shown when using line-search techniques to compute the step-size ax. Only some constants change.
- 2) The route  $O(\frac{1}{t})$  is Dimension inDependent. Cassuming that L is a constant)
- 3)  $W_{2}$  shows that after iterations t,  $3 = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{$

It is not necessary that the last iteration (12)
t advices this bound. Since this is
a worst-case result confer iterations might
satisfy this bound too.

4) For All problems bounds like
min lloturollé = 2L (fixo)-f)
or ker

or ker

ave often very loose.

In practise gravient descend myght be much faster.

There is a grant cool own theoretical component to understanding how granted descend works.

5) Since our function is not necessarily convex, gradient desecut is only guaranted to conveys to a stationary point.

Convergence vote for convex functions (13)

Assumptions

1) Hox Fis differentiable

2) Ofexi is Lipschitz continuous

3) f is convex

fyr > fix + ofix (y-x) + x, y EP

we will first now the following lemman

Lenmar. If f is convex & of is Lipschin

for  $-f(x) \leq Ofgr(y-x) - \frac{1}{2L} || \nabla f(y) - Of w ||_2^2$ 

(Ofyn-otix) T(y-x) 2 1 110tix - otigilla.

the first inequality. Let's start by proving.

$$f(z) - f(y) \geq \nabla f(y)(z - y)$$

$$f(y) - f(z) \leq \nabla f(y)(y - z) \quad (ii)$$

$$w^{2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(y)(y - z) + \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(y)(y -$$

Minimizing overt 2 in the RHS (Note RHS 1) convex) as got that the Minimpler is  $2 = x - \frac{1}{1} \left( \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \right)$ (we minimize because we want to got the smallest possible RHS) 2 = x - \frac{1}{2} (Dtcx) - Dfcy) in PHS Replacing we Det for - fcx = Ofcy (y - x + - (vfxx) - vfcy) + Vfcx) (x-1(vfcx) = Pfcy) -x) + = 1 x - - ( vfix) - vfix) - x 1/2 = Ofon (y-x) + 1 Ofon (otox) - Ofon) - 1 Otix (Ofix) - Ofix) + = 11 of (x) - of (y) /2

= Pf(y) (y-x) - [ 110 f(x) - 7 f(y) 1/2 + 1 1 V fix - 2 f (y) 1/2 = Vfg)(y-x) - 1/2/ 110fcx) - Aty) 1/2 +x,yer7 which proves the first inequality. The second inequality follows from applying the forst inequality and interchanging the roles of x l y to get  $f(x) = f(y) \leq \nabla f(x)(x-y) - \frac{1}{2L} ||\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)||_2^2$ together fix - fix) < ofix (x-y) -1 110fey) - ofax) 12  $f(y) - f(x) \leq \nabla f(y) (y-x) - \frac{1}{2L} ||of(x) - f(y)||_{L}^{2}$ am we god  $0 \leq (\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x))^T (y-x) - \frac{1}{2L} ||\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)||_2^2$ shych proves the secon inequality.

Theorem: let f be convex and Differentialle (17) and ofen is ligsoldez continuous. Let XX for X=0...t be the sequence at iterates generated by gravient descend. It follows that  $f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq \frac{2L\|x_0 - x^*\|_2^2}{t+1}$ prod: 11 XXXX - X 1/2 = 11 Xx - X - 1 Df(XF) 1/2 = (xx-x\*-1 Dfixe) (xx-x\*-1 Dfixe)) = ||xx-x||2 - 2 (xx-x) T Vf(xx) + 12 110f(xx) 12 (i) Pote that using convexity wer hove

fixed that using convexity were hove

fixed that the property of the property

Using the second inequality of our Lemmer with y = x &  $x = x^*$  we get  $(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x))(x - x) \ge \frac{1}{L} ||\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x)||_{2}^{2}$ But Ofex = > thus the above simplifies to Vf(x) (x-\$) = 1 11 Df(x) 1/2 Setting X = Xx ve get Vf(xx) (xx-x\*) ≥ 1 || vf(xx)||2 (ii) Combining (i) & (ii) our got  $\|\chi_{H} - \chi^*\|_2^2 \le \|\chi_{K} - \chi^*\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{L^2} \|\nabla f(\chi_{E})\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(\chi_{E})\|_2^2$  $= \| x_{k} - x^{*} \|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla f(x_{k}) \|_{2}^{2}$ 

alling upon the amount of decrease of from judicat descent we have thent

fixed \( \int \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \) \( \frac{1}

Doding and subtracting f(x\*) we get f(xxx) -f(xx) = f(xx) - f(x\*) = {1 | nof(xx) ||2 Using convexity we get f(xx) - f(x) < Of(xx) (xx-x\*) < 110f(xx) 1/2 1/xx - x 1/2 (iii) Dote that 11×4- ×112 = 11×4-×112 - 12 110f(xx) 1/2 implies that distance to xt is decreased at each Heraton. Thus 11 × KA - \$112 = 11×0- X\*112 Using this in (iii) we get f(xx) - f(xx) = 110f(xx) 11.11x0-x\*112  $f(xx) - f(xx) \leq \|\nabla f(xx)\|_{2}$  (10) 11x0-x\*112

using (iv) in 
$$f(x_{H}) - f(x) = f(x_{H}) - f(x^{2}) - \frac{1}{2L} \|oholing(x_{H})\|_{2}^{2}$$
 (see get  $f(x_{CH}) - f(x) \le f(x_{CH}) - f(x^{2}) - \frac{1}{2L} \|oholing(x_{H})\|_{2}^{2}$ 

Set  $B = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{1}{\|x_{D} - x^{2}\|^{2}}$ 

Then the Bust inequality becomes

 $S_{KH} \le S_{K} - 6S_{K}^{2}$ 

multiply by  $\frac{1}{S_{K}S_{KH}}$  to get  $\frac{1}{S_{K}} \le \frac{1}{S_{KH}} = \frac{1}{S_{K}} = \frac{1}{S_$ 

$$\frac{t}{L} = \frac{t}{S_{kn}} - \frac{1}{S_{k}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{S_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{S_{k}} = \frac{1}{S_{t+1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{S_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{S_{k}} = \frac{1}{S_{t+1}}$$

which proves the finel result.

Strong Convixity (28) We can "strengthen" the notion of convexity
by Defining H-strong convexity: That is any function of that scatisfies fg) = t(x) + Of(x) (y-x) + \frac{H}{2} || y-x ||^2 Date that this Definition of strong convexity requires f to be Differentials. Thre are Definitions of strong annexity that Do not require Differentiability. Housever, are all fecus on the above Detinition for this lacture. Lemma: If f is H-strongly convex, then it also satisfies the Polyak-Lojasievicz condition, that is  $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 \ge 2H(f(x)-f(x^*))$ where x is the minimizer of t.

proof: Unlayly the Definition of strong Convixity by -1 to get -f(y) = -f(x) - D(xx) (y-x) - + 11y-x112 Set  $y = x^*$  to get  $f(x) - f(x) \leq \mathcal{D}(x)(x-x^*) - \frac{H}{2}||x-x^*||_2^2$ Complete the square in RHS Vfix)(x-\*) - # 11x - x 112 -1 10tx) 1/2 - 1 10tx) 1/2 + V(x) (x-x) - 4 1/2 = - \frac{1}{2} | \frac{1}{14} (\times - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{14} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{24} | \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{24} | \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{24} | \frac{1}{12} \frac{1} < 1 10 fex) 112 which gives that

which gives that  $f(x) - f(x^{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}$ 

Theorem: If a function f is strongly convex (29) then it hoes a unique minimizer. proof. Lets assume that there exist two unique minimizers X, 2 X2 suchtheat  $x_1^* + x_2^*$   $\xi + (x_1^*) = f(x_2^*)$ From the Definition of strong convexity  $f(y) \ge f(x) + Df(x)(y-x) + \frac{H}{2}\|y-x\|_{L}^{2}$ we have that +xy ER Set X = X to get  $f(y) \ge f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*) (y - x^*) + \frac{1}{2} ||y - x^*||_{2}^{2}$ Because X is a minimizer we have that  $\nabla f(x_i^*) = 0$ This we got HYER" fg) > f(x\*) + = 11y-x\*112

Set  $\gamma = x_{z}^{*}$  to get  $f(x_{z}^{*}) \geq f(x_{z}^{*}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{z}^{*} - x_{z}^{*}\|_{2}^{2}$ Since  $x_{z}^{*} + x_{z}^{*}$  then  $\|x_{z}^{*} - x_{z}^{*}\|_{2}^{2} > 0$ Thus  $f(x_{z}^{*}) > f(x_{z}^{*}) = f(x_{z}^{*})$ Since  $b \in b \in b$ 

becomes c f(xx) = f(xi) Since Doth

X\* 2 x2 are minimizers of a

CONVEX function.