# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Embeddings

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs

Jure Leskovec, Stanford University

http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# Announcements




https://snap.stanford.edu/graphlearning-workshop-2023/

Feel free to join in person! Poster session will be great!

# Announcements

- **Homework 1** due **today**
  - Gradescope submissions close at 11:59 PM
- **Homework 2 will be released today by 9PM on our course website**
- **Homework 2:**
  - Due Thursday, 11/02 (2 weeks from now)
  - TAs will hold a recitation session for HW 2:
    - Time: Friday (10/27), 1-3pm
    - Location: Zoom, link will be posted on Ed
    - Session will be recorded

# Recap: Heterogeneous Graphs

- **Heterogeneous graphs: a graph with multiple relation types**




Input graph

# Recap: Relational GCN

- Learn from a graph with **multiple relation types**
- Use different neural network weights for different relation types!






# Today: Knowledge Graphs (KG)

**Knowledge in graph form:**

- Capture entities, types, and relationships
- Nodes are **entities**
- Nodes are labeled with their **types**
- Edges between two nodes capture **relationships** between entities
- **KG is an example of a heterogeneous graph**



# Example: Bibliographic Networks

- **Node types**: paper, title, author, conference, year
- **Relation types**: pubWhere, pubYear, hasTitle, hasAuthor, cite



# Example: Bio Knowledge Graphs

- **Node types**: drug, disease, adverse event, protein, pathways
- **Relation types**: has_func, causes, assoc, treats, is_a



# Knowledge Graphs in Practice

**Examples of knowledge graphs**

- Google Knowledge Graph
- Amazon Product Graph
- Facebook Graph API
- IBM Watson
- Microsoft Satori
- Project Hanover/Literome
- LinkedIn Knowledge Graph
- Yandex Object Answer

# Applications of Knowledge Graphs

- **Serving information:**




Image credit: Bing

# Applications of Knowledge Graphs

- **Question answering and conversation agents**




Image credit: Medium

# Knowledge Graph Datasets

- **Publicly available KGs:**
  - FreeBase, Wikidata, Dbpedia, YAGO, NELL, etc.
- **Common characteristics:**
  - **Massive**: Millions of nodes and edges
  - **Incomplete**: Many true edges are missing

**Given a massive KG, enumerating all the possible facts is intractable!**



**Can we predict plausible BUT missing links?**

# Example: Freebase

- **Freebase**
  - ~80 million **entities**
  - ~38K **relation types**
  - ~3 billion **facts/triples**



93.8% of persons from Freebase have no place of birth and 78.5% have no nationality!

- **Datasets:** FB15k/FB15k-237
  - A **complete** subset of Freebase, used by researchers to learn KG models

| Dataset | Entities | Relations | Total Edges |
|---|---|---|---|
| FB15k | 14,951 | 1,345 | 592,213 |
| FB15k-237 | 14,505 | 237 | 310,079 |

[1] Paulheim, Heiko. "Knowledge graph refinement: A survey of approaches and evaluation methods." *Semantic web* 8.3 (2017): 489-508.
[2] Min, Bonan, et al. "Distant supervision for relation extraction with an incomplete knowledge base." *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. 2013.

# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Completion

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs
Jure Leskovec, Stanford University
http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# KG Completion Task

## Given an enormous KG, can we complete the KG?

- For a given (**head**, **relation**), we predict missing **tails**.
  - (Note this is slightly different from link prediction task)

**Example task**: predict the tail "Science Fiction" for ("J.K. Rowling", "genre")



# Recap: "Shallow" Encoding

- Simplest encoding approach: **encoder is just an embedding-lookup**



# KG Representation

- Edges in KG are represented as **triples** $(h, r, t)$
  - head $(h)$ has relation $(r)$ with tail $(t)$
- **Key Idea:**
  - Model entities and relations in embedding space $\mathbb{R}^d$
    - Associate entities and relations with **shallow embeddings**
      - **Note we do not learn a GNN here!**
  - Given a triple $(h, r, t)$, the goal is that the embedding of $(h, r)$ **should be close** to the embedding of $t$.
    - How to embed $(h, r)$?
    - How to define score $f_r(h, t)$?
      - Score $f_r$ is high if $(h, r, t)$ exists, else $f_r$ is low

# Many KG Embedding Models

- Many KG embedding Models:



# Today: Different Models

**We are going to learn about different KG embedding models (shallow/transductive embs):**

- Different models are…
  - …based on different geometric intuitions
  - …capture different types of relations (have different expressivity)

| Model | Score | Embedding | Sym. | Antisym. | Inv. | Compos. | 1-to-N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TransE | $-\|\|\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✗ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| TransR | $-\|\|\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\boldsymbol{M}_r\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DistMult | $<\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}>$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✓ |
| ComplEx | $\mathrm{Re}(<\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\bar{\mathbf{t}}>)$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{C}^k$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ | ✓ |

# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Completion: TransE

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs
Jure Leskovec, Stanford University
http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# TransE

- **Intuition: Translation**
  For a triple $(h, r, t)$, let $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be embedding vectors.

  **embedding vectors will appear in boldface**
- **TransE:** $\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} \approx \mathbf{t}$ if the given link exists else $\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{t}$

**Entity scoring function**: $f_r(h, t) = -||\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{t}||$






# TransE: Idea

- Entity embeddings



# TransE: How to Learn

**Algorithm 1** Learning TransE

**input** Training set $S = \{(h, r, t)\}$, entities and rel. sets $E$ and $R$, margin $\gamma$, embeddings dim. $k$.

1: **initialize** $r \leftarrow \text{uniform}(-\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{6}{\sqrt{k}})$ for each $r \in R$
2: $r \leftarrow r / \|r\|$ for each $r \in R$
3: $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \text{uniform}(-\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{6}{\sqrt{k}})$ for each entity $e \in E$
4: **loop**
5: $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \mathbf{e} / \|\mathbf{e}\|$ for each entity $e \in E$
6: $S_{batch} \leftarrow$ sample$(S, b)$ // sample a minibatch of size $b$
7: $T_{batch} \leftarrow \emptyset$ // initialize the set of pairs of triplets
8: **for** $(h, r, t) \in S_{batch}$ **do**
9: $(h', r, t') \leftarrow$ sample$(S'_{(h,r,t)})$ // sample a corrupted triplet
10: $T_{batch} \leftarrow T_{batch} \cup \{((h, r, t), (h', r, t'))\}$
11: **end for**
12: Update embeddings w.r.t.

$$\sum_{((h,r,t),(h',r,t')) \in T_{batch}} \nabla \left[\gamma + d(\boldsymbol{h} + \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{t}) - d(\boldsymbol{h'} + \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{t'})\right]_+$$

positive sample

negative sample

13: **end loop**

Initialize relations $r$ and entities $e$ uniformly, then normalize.
$\gamma$ is the margin.

Sample triplet $(h', r, t)$ that does not appear in the KG.

$d$ represents distance (negative of score)

**Contrastive loss**: Favors lower distance (or higher score) for valid triplets, high distance (or lower score) for corrupted ones

# Connectivity Patterns in KG

- **Relations in a heterogeneous KG have different properties:**
  - Example:
    - **Symmetry:** If the edge $(h, \text{"Roommate"}, t)$ exists in KG, then the edge $(t, \text{"Roommate"}, h)$ should also exist.
    - **Inverse relation:** If the edge $(h, \text{"Advisor"}, t)$ exists in KG, then the edge $(t, \text{"Advisee"}, h)$ should also exist.
- Can we **categorize** these relation patterns?
- **Are KG embedding methods (e.g., TransE) expressive enough to model these patterns?**

# Four Relation Patterns

- **Symmetric (Antisymmetric) Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow r(t,h) \quad (r(h,t) \Rightarrow \neg r(t,h)) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**:
    - Symmetric: Family, Roommate
    - Antisymmetric: Hypernym (a word with a broader meaning: poodle vs. dog)
- **Inverse Relations:**

$$r_2(h,t) \Rightarrow r_1(t,h)$$

  - **Example** : (Advisor, Advisee)
- **Composition (Transitive) Relations:**

$$r_1(x,y) \wedge r_2(y,z) \Rightarrow r_3(x,z) \quad \forall x,y,z$$

  - **Example**: My mother's husband is my father.
- **1-to-N relations:**

$$r(h,t_1), r(h,t_2), \ldots, r(h,t_n) \text{ are all True.}$$

  - **Example**: $r$ is "StudentsOf"

# Antisymmetric Relations in TransE

- **Antisymmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow \neg r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Hypernym (a word with a broader meaning: poodle vs. dog)
- **TransE** can model antisymmetric relations ✓
  - $\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}$, but $\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{h}$



# Inverse Relations in TransE

- **Inverse Relations:**

$$r_2(h,t) \Rightarrow r_1(t,h)$$

  - **Example** : (Advisor, Advisee)
- **TransE** can model inverse relations ✓
  - $\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{t}$, we can set $\mathbf{r}_1 = -\mathbf{r}_2$



# Composition in TransE

- **Composition (Transitive) Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

  - **Example**: My mother's husband is my father.
- **TransE** can model composition relations ✓

$$\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2$$



# Limitation: Symmetric Relations

- **Symmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Family, Roommate
- **TransE cannot** model symmetric relations ✘

only if $\mathbf{r} = 0,\ \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{t}$




For all $h,t$ that satisfy $r(h,t)$, $r(t,h)$ is also True, which means $\|\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\| = 0$ and $\|\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{h}\| = 0$. Then $\mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{t}$, however $h$ and $t$ are two different entities and should be mapped to different locations.

# Limitation: 1-to-N Relations

- **1-to-N Relations:**
  - **Example**: $(h, r, t_1)$ and $(h, r, t_2)$ both exist in the knowledge graph, e.g., $r$ is "StudentsOf"
- **TransE cannot** model 1-to-N relations ✘
  - $\mathbf{t}_1$ and $\mathbf{t}_2$ will map to the same vector, although they are different entities

- $\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}_2$
- $\mathbf{t}_1 \neq \mathbf{t}_2$ contradictory!



# Today: KG Completion Models

## What we learned so far:

| Model | Score | Embedding | Sym. | Antisym. | Inv. | Compos. | 1-to-N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TransE** | $-\|\|\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✗ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Completion: TransR

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs
Jure Leskovec, Stanford University
http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# TransR

- **TransE models translation of any relation in the same embedding space.**

- **Can we design a new space for each relation and do translation in relation-specific space?**

- **TransR**: model **entities** as vectors in the entity space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and model each **relation** as vector in relation space $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\mathbf{M}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ as the projection matrix.

# TransR

- **TransR**: model **entities** as vectors in the entity space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and model each **relation** as vector in relation space $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\mathbf{M}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ as the **projection matrix**.

**Use $\mathbf{M}_r$ to project from entity space $\mathbb{R}^d$ to relation space $\mathbb{R}^k$!**

- $\mathbf{h}_\perp = \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{h},\ \mathbf{t}_\perp = \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{t}$
- **Score function**: $f_r(h,t) = -||\mathbf{h}_\perp + \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{t}_\perp||$



# Symmetric Relations in TransR

- **Symmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Family, Roommate

Note different symmetric relations may have different $\mathbf{M}_r$

- **TransR** can model symmetric relations

$$\mathbf{r} = 0,\ \mathbf{h}_\perp = \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_\perp \checkmark$$



# Antisymmetric Relations in TransR

- **Antisymmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow \neg r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Hypernym
- **TransR** can model antisymmetric relations:

$$\mathbf{r} \neq 0, \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{t},$$

$$\text{Then } \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{M}_r\mathbf{h} \checkmark$$



# 1-to-N Relations in TransR

- **1-to-N Relations:**
  - **Example**: If $(h, r, t_1)$ and $(h, r, t_2)$ exist in the knowledge graph.
- **TransR** can model 1-to-N relations ✓
  - We can learn $\mathbf{M}_r$ so that $\mathbf{t}_\perp = \mathbf{M}_r \mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{M}_r \mathbf{t}_2$
  - Note that $\mathbf{t}_1$ does not need to be equal to $\mathbf{t}_2$!



# Inverse Relations in TransR

- **Inverse Relations:**

$$r_2(h,t) \Rightarrow r_1(t,h)$$

  - **Example** : (Advisor, Advisee)
- **TransR** can model inverse relations

$$\mathbf{r}_2 = -\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{M}_{r_1} = \mathbf{M}_{r_2}$$

Then $\mathbf{M}_{r_1}\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{M}_{r_1}\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r_2}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{M}_{r_2}\mathbf{t}$ ✓



# Composition Relations in TransR

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

  - **Example**: My mother's husband is my father.
- **TransR** can model composition relations

**High-level intuition:** TransR models a triple with linear functions. Linear functions are chainable!

- If f(x) and g(x) are linear, then f(g(x)) is also linear:
  - Let: f(x)=a·x+b, g(x)=c·x+d: then f(g(x))= a(c·x+d)+b.

# Composition Relations in TransR

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

Background:

**Def:** Kernel space of a matrix $\mathbf{M}$:

$$\mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}), \text{ then } \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$$



# Composition Relations in TransR

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

Assume $\mathbf{M}_{r_1}\mathbf{g}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r_2}\mathbf{g}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2$

- For $r_1(x, y)$:

$r_1(x, y)$ exists $\Rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{r_1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r_1} = \mathbf{M}_{r_1}\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{r_1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{r}_1$

$$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g_1} + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1})$$

- Same for $r_2(y, z)$:

$r_2(y, z)$ exists $\Rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{r_2}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r_2} = \mathbf{M}_{r_2}\mathbf{z} \Rightarrow$

$$\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{g}_2 + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_2}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{g_2} + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_2})$$

- Then, we have

$$\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g_1} + \mathbf{g_2} + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_2})$$

# Composition Relations in TransR

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

We have $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g_1} + \mathbf{g_2} + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_2})$

- Construct $\mathbf{M}_{r_3}$, s.t.
  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_3}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_2})$

- **Since:**
  - $\dim\left(\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_3})\right) \geq \dim\left(\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{M}_{r_1})\right)$
  - $\mathbf{M}_{r_3}$ has the same shape as $\mathbf{M}_{r1}$

  we know $\mathbf{M}_{r_3}$ exists!

- Set $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{M}_{r_3}(\mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2)$

- We have $\mathbf{M}_{r_3}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r_3} = \mathbf{M}_{r_3}\mathbf{z}$

# Today: KG Completion Models

## What we learned so far:

| Model | Score | Embedding | Sym. | Antisym. | Inv. | Compos. | 1-to-N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TransE | $-\|\|\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✗ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| TransR | $-\|\|\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\boldsymbol{M}_r\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Completion: DistMult

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs

Jure Leskovec, Stanford University

http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# New Idea: Bilinear Modeling

- **So far:** The scoring function $f_r(h, t)$ is **negative of L1 / L2 distance** in **TransE** and **TransR**
- **Idea: Use bilinear** modeling:

  **Score function:** $f_r(h, t) = h \cdot A \cdot t$

  $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$

- **Problem: Too general and prone to overfitting**
  - Matrix A is too expressive
- **Fix: Limit A to be diagonal**
  - **This is called DistMult**

# New Idea: Bilinear Modeling

- **DistMult**: Entities & relations are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$
- **Score function**:

$$f_r(h,t) =< \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} >= \sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i$$

- $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k$



# DistMult

- **DistMult**: Entities and relations using vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$
- **Score function:** $f_r(h,t) =< \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} > = \sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i$
  - $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
- **Intuition of the score function:** Can be viewed as a cosine similarity between $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{t}$

  where $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ is defined as $[\boldsymbol{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}]_i = \boldsymbol{h}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_i$
- **Example:**

Hadamard product

$$f_r(h, t_1) < 0, \qquad f_r(h, t_2) > 0$$



# 1-to-N Relations in DistMult

- **1-to-N Relations:**
  - **Example**: If $(h, r, t_1)$ and $(h, r, t_2)$ exist in the knowledge graph
- **DistMult** can model 1-to-N relations ✓

$$< \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}_1 > = < \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}_2 >$$



# Symmetric Relations in DistMult

- **Symmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Family, Roommate
- **DistMult** can naturally model symmetric relations ✓

$$f_r(h,t) = < \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} > = \sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i =$$

$$< \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h} > = f_r(t,h)$$

Due to the commutative property of multiplication.

# Limitation: Antisymmetric Relations

- **Antisymmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow \neg r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Hypernym

- **DistMult cannot** model antisymmetric relations

$$f_r(h,t) = <\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}> = <\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{h}> = f_r(t,h)$$ ✘

  - $r(h,t)$ and $r(t,h)$ always have same score!

DistMult cannot differentiate between head entity and tail entity! This means that all relations are modelled as symmetric regardless, i.e., even anti-symmetric relations will be represented as symmetric.

# Limitation: Inverse Relations

- **Inverse Relations:**

$$r_2(h,t) \Rightarrow r_1(t,h)$$

  - **Example** : (Advisor, Advisee)
- **DistMult cannot** model inverse relations ✘
  - Assume DistMult does model inverse relations:

$$f_{r_2}(h,t) =< \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r_2}, \mathbf{t} >=< \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{h} >= f_{r_1}(t,h)$$

  - For example, $\mathbf{r_2} = \mathbf{r_1}$ solves this (there are also exist solutions $\mathbf{r_2} \neq \mathbf{r_1}$)
  - But semantically this does not make sense: **The embedding of "Advisor" relation should not be the same as "Advisee" relation.**

# Limitation: Composition Relations

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

  - **Example**: My mother's husband is my father.

- **DistMult cannot** model composition of relations ✘
  - **Intuition:** Because dot product is commutative (a·b= b·a) **DistMult** does not distinguish between head and tail entities, so it cannot model composition.

# Today: KG Completion Models

## What we learned so far:

| Model | Score | Embedding | Sym. | Antisym. | Inv. | Compos. | 1-to-N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TransE | $-\|\|\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✗ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| TransR | $-\|\|\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\boldsymbol{M}_r\mathbf{t}\|\|$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\boldsymbol{M}_r\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DistMult | $<\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}>$ | $\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{R}^k$ | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✓ |
| | | | | | | | |

# Stanford CS224W: Knowledge Graph Completion: ComplEx

CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs
Jure Leskovec, Stanford University
http://cs224w.stanford.edu

# ComplEx

- Based on Distmult, **ComplEx** embeds entities and relations in **Complex vector space**
- **ComplEx**: model entities and relations using vectors in $\mathbb{C}^k$




Complex multiplication:

(a + ib) (c + id) = (ac - bd) + i(ad + bc)

Example multiplication:



# ComplEx

- Based on Distmult, **ComplEx** embeds entities and relations in **Complex vector space**
- **ComplEx**: model entities and relations using vectors in $\mathbb{C}^k$
- **Score function** $f_r(h,t) = \mathrm{Re}(\sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i)$

$$= \langle \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i), \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i) \rangle + \langle \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i), \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i) \rangle + \langle \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i), \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i) \rangle - \langle \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i), \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i) \rangle$$



# ComplEx Score Function

$$f_r(h,t) = \text{Re}\left(\sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_i \text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i)$$

$$= \sum_i \text{Re}((\text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i) + i\text{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i)) \cdot (\text{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i) + i\text{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i)) \cdot (\text{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i) - i\text{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i)))$$

$$= \sum_i \begin{array}{l} \text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i)\text{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i)\text{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i) + \text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i)\text{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i)\text{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i) \\ +\text{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i)\text{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i)\text{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i) - \text{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i)\text{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i)\text{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i) \end{array}$$

$$= \langle \text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i), \text{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i), \text{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i)\rangle + \langle \text{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i), \text{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i), \text{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i)\rangle$$
$$+ \langle \text{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i), \text{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i), \text{Im}(\mathbf{t}_i)\rangle - \langle \text{Im}(\mathbf{h}_i), \text{Im}(\mathbf{r}_i), \text{Re}(\mathbf{t}_i)\rangle$$

# Antisymmetric Relations in ComplEx

- **Antisymmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow \neg r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Hypernym
- **ComplEx** can model antisymmetric relations ✓
  - The model is expressive enough to learn
    - **High** $f_r(h,t) = \text{Re}(\sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i)$
    - **Low** $f_r(t,h) = \text{Re}(\sum_i \boldsymbol{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{h}}_i)$

    Due to the asymmetric modeling using complex conjugate.

# Symmetric Relations in ComplEx

- **Symmetric Relations:**

$$r(h,t) \Rightarrow r(t,h) \quad \forall h,t$$

  - **Example**: Family, Roommate
- **ComplEx** can model symmetric relations ✓
  - When $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, we have
    - $f_r(h,t) = \mathrm{Re}(\sum_i \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i) = \sum_i \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{h}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i)$ $= \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{h}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}_i) = \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathrm{Re}\left(\bar{\mathbf{h}}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i\right) = \sum_i \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathbf{r}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{h}}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i\right) = f_r(t,h)$

# Inverse Relations in ComplEx

- Inverse Relations:

$$r_2(h,t) \Rightarrow r_1(t,h)$$

  - **Example** : (Advisor, Advisee)
- **ComplEx** can model inverse relations ✓
  - $\mathbf{r}_1 = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_2$
  - Complex conjugate of

$\mathbf{r}_2 = \underset{\mathbf{r}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Re}(< \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} >)$ is exactly

$\mathbf{r}_1 = \underset{\mathbf{r}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Re}(< \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}, \bar{\mathbf{h}} >)$.

# Composition and 1-to-N

- **Composition Relations:**

$$r_1(x, y) \wedge r_2(y, z) \Rightarrow r_3(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z$$

  - **Example**: My mother's husband is my father.
- **1-to-N Relations:**
  - **Example**: If $(h, r, t_1)$ and $(h, r, t_2)$ exist in the knowledge graph
- **ComplEx** share the same property with **DistMult**
  - **Cannot model composition relations**
  - **Can model 1-to-N relations**

Sun et al, RotatE: Knowledge Graph Embedding by Relational Rotation in Complex Space, ICLR 2019

# KG Embeddings in Practice

1. Different KGs may have **drastically different relation patterns**!
2. There is not a general embedding that works for all KGs, use the **table** to select models
3. Try **TransE** for a quick run if the target KG does not have much symmetric relations
4. Then use more expressive models, e.g., **ComplEx**, **RotatE** (**TransE** in Complex space)

# Summary of Knowledge Graph

- Link prediction / Graph completion is one of the prominent tasks on knowledge graphs
- Introduce **TransE** / **TransR** / **DistMult** / **ComplEx** models with different embedding space and expressiveness
- **Next:** Reasoning in Knowledge Graphs