

# Academic Contribution Registry

## Business Requirements

### Summary of Problem Statement - Nouns, Verbs

The Academic Contribution Registry is a database system designed to store, organize, and query structured records of research contributions across academic work. The system tracks which researchers have contributed to which publications, and what specific roles they performed in each work. Rather than relying solely on ordered author lists or free-text contribution statements, the system explicitly associates each researcher with a defined contribution role for a given publication.

Each publication may involve multiple researchers, and each researcher may contribute to multiple publications. Additionally, a single researcher may perform multiple roles within the same publication. These relationships must be explicitly recorded and maintained in a structured format.

The system allows users to search for publications by topic, identify researchers by their contribution roles, and analyze collaboration and workload distribution across a research group.

Primary users of the system include researchers, principal investigators (PIs), and administrators. Researchers use the system to find collaborators and review contribution records. PIs use the system to evaluate workload distribution and monitor collaboration patterns. Administrators use the system to generate reports and audit structured contribution data.

The system does not interpret publication content. It only stores structured metadata explicitly entered into the system.

### Key Nouns & Verbs

Nouns - Researchers, Roles, Contribution, Publications, Topic, Principal Investigators(PI's), Administrators

Verbs - Store, Organize, Query, Associate, Record, Maintain, Search, Identify, Analyze, Find, Review, Evaluate, Monitor, Generate, Audit

### Extracting Classes

- Researchers - name, email\_address, ORCID\_identifier, institution, department, academic\_title
- Publications - title, abstract, publication\_year, publication\_date, digital\_object\_identifier (DOI), venue\_name, publication\_type (journal, conference, preprint), status (published, under review, draft)
- Roles - role\_name, role\_description, role\_category (e.g., writing, analysis, supervision), status (active or inactive)
- Contribution - researcher, publication, role\_performed, contribution\_notes, date\_recorded, verification\_status
- Topic - topic\_name, topic\_description, topic\_category
- PublicationTopic - publication, topic, date\_associated

- PrincipalInvestigators - name, email\_address, institution, department, lab\_name
- Administrators - name, email\_address, system\_role, account\_status

## Narratives of the System

- A **contribution** represents the association between one **researcher** and one **publication**.
- Each **contribution** must specify exactly one **role** performed by the **researcher** for that **publication**.
- A single **researcher** may have multiple **contributions** for the same **publication** if they performed multiple distinct **roles**.
- Each **role** must be selected from a predefined list of allowable contribution roles (e.g., Conceptualization, Data Curation, Writing, Methodology).
- The system must maintain referential integrity such that no **contribution** can exist without a valid **researcher**, **publication**, and **role**.
- If a **researcher** or **publication** is removed from the system, associated **contributions** must either be restricted or removed according to defined integrity constraints.  
The system must store sufficient metadata to uniquely identify a **publication** (e.g., title, year, optional DOI).
- The system must store sufficient metadata to uniquely identify a **researcher** (e.g., name, email, optional ORCID).
- The system must support analytical queries that summarize counts of **contributions** grouped by **researcher**, **role**, or **publication**.

## Challenge Questions

1. Should a **researcher** be uniquely identified by email, ORCID, or a system-generated identifier? What happens if email changes?
2. Are external collaborators (outside the lab or institution) stored as full **researchers**, or should they be marked differently?
3. Should the list of **roles** be strictly controlled by administrators, or can new roles be created dynamically?
4. Should contribution records require verification or approval by a **PI** before becoming official?
5. If a **publication** has an official author order, should that ordering be stored in addition to structured **roles**?
6. Can a **researcher** claim a contribution, or must contributions be assigned by an administrator?
7. Should historical changes to **contributions** be tracked (audit log), or is only the current state required?
8. Are **topics** manually entered tags, or imported from an external metadata source?