# CS257 Advanced Computer Architecture Coursework Assignment: ACACGS

# Neema Raiyat 2001540

#### March 2022

# Contents

| 1                         | conjugateGradient.c   | 2 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 2                         | waxpby.c              | 2 |
| 3                         | sparsemv.c            | 3 |
| 4                         | ${ m ddot.c}$         | 3 |
| 5                         | Further Optimizations | 3 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | References            |   |

### 1 conjugateGradient.c

conjugateGradient.c is the main file where all the computation occurs. It utilises functions defined in other files, namely waxpby.c, sparsemv.c, ddot.c. To optimize conjugateGradient.c, the main for loop had the first iteration peeled from it. The first iteration seeks to check whether k == 1. Peeling this loop makes the need for the if statement in the for loop redundant and hence reduces overhead since the condition no longer needs to be checked in the proceeding iterations of the loop. It also does not affect floating-point correctness. Furthermore, pointers \*r, \*p and \*Ap were changed so that they are now allocated memory using Intel intrinsics: \_mm\_malloc() [1] with an alignment of 64 (gathered by cat /proc/cpuinfo and the use of double precision floating-points (8 bytes)). This is so that functions that utilize these pointers can now use aligned intrinsic operations, for example: \_mm256\_load\_pd() as opposed to \_mm256\_loadu\_pd() which seeks to load unaligned memory into vector registers (which is slower than already aligned memory).

## 2 waxpby.c

The first optimization made to this file was to remove the overarching if statement which would check whether alpha is 1, and then whether beta is 1 etc. This is because only the first block in the condition would be executed as waxpby() is always called with alpha equal to 1. Therefore, we can also remove the argument alpha from the waxpby() function. Moreover, intrinsics were used to vectorise the for loop. AVX2 intrinsics were used so that 256bit vector registers could be used as opposed to the 128-bit vector registers in **SSE4.2**. This is because 256-bit registers can operate on 4 double precision floating-point numbers concurrently whereas 128-bit can only operate on 2. Since all pointers passed to waxpby.c have been made to be aligned in conjugateGradient.c and generate\_matrix.c, vector operations used can be quicker as opposed to their unaligned counterparts (as previously explained). Since 256bit vector registers operate on 4 double-precision floats simultaneously, the for loop in waxpby.c must be unrolled by a factor of 4. In addition, **OpenMP** [2] was used to parallelize the for loop and hence optimize the function further: #pragma omp parallel for. schedule(static, 4) was also used so that iterations are divided into chunks and are assigned to threads at compile time, rather than at runtime (which would be achieved by schedule(dynamic, 4)) and therefore reducing overhead during runtime. Since each thread will be accessing the same memory location pointed to by \*w then the result will be dependant on the order of threads that have accessed it and hence lead to a race condition. This will negatively affect floating-point correctness. However, testing has shown that the variation in results is very minor, but the performance gain is significant.

#### 3 sparsemv.c

The first optimization was to unroll the inner for loop. This does not affect floating-point correctness. Secondly, **OpenMP** was used to parallelize the outer for loop. Multiple threads will be attempting to access the same memory locations, e.g. \*A, ultimately leading to race conditions. Despite this negatively affecting floating-point correctness, the performance gains were far more significant than the loss of correctness. The following compiler hint was given: private(j, sum). This is because these variables are not needed outside of the outer for loop, and their initial values are set to 0 anyway, so firstprivate is not needed. Loop interchange was not used since the matrix was already being traversed column-wise. Loop interchanging would result in row-traversal, which in C, involves more cache-line read and writes (unlike in FORTRAN) and hence be slower (but not affect floating-point correctness). A further optimization that could be effective (and not harm floating-point correctness) would be to use loop blocking. This would improve the spatial and temporal locality of the memory used since the cache-reuse of a 'tile' of memory is improved.

#### 4 ddot.c

In this function, a single value is being updated (and therefore shared) across all threads. Rather than using #pragma omp critical or #pragma omp atomic to prevent race conditions, reductions were used. Reductions enable us to declare both the variable and operation to apply, and hence handle potential race conditions by handling the creation of private variables in each thread which then finally update a shared value.

# 5 Further Optimizations

Since Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-8500 CPU has 6 cores (and does not support hyper-threading), the number of threads used was set to 6, any more would harm performance as there would be contention between threads for a single logical core and hence cause thrashing in the cache. Additionally, the program is compiled using the -03 compilation flag for maximum optimization. This does not affect the correctness of the program.

#### References

- [1] Intel Corporation. Intel intrinsics guide. https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/intrinsics-guide/index.html#ig\_expand=6192,4260,6846,4497, 2021. Accessed March 6, 2022.
- [2] OpenMP. Openmp3.1-ccard. https://www.openmp.org/wp-content/uploads/OpenMP3.1-CCard.pdf, 2011. Accessed March 6, 2022.