Due Nov 15th 2024, Friday, 11:59pm. See the submission instructions on Canvas.

(1) (6 points) Suppose you are given a dataset where the response variable Y is modeled by a simple linear regression of the form:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i,$$

where X_i is the predictor, and ϵ_i is the error term.

In each of the scenarios below, the variance of the error term ϵ_i is non-constant (heteroscedastic). For each case, determine the appropriate weight w_i to assign if Weighted Least Squares (WLS) is used.

(a) Quadratic Variance in X: The variance of the error term is proportional to X_i^2 :

$$Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 X_i^2$$
.

What weight w_i should you use?

(b) Linear Variance in X: The variance of the error term is proportional to X_i :

$$\operatorname{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 X_i.$$

What weight w_i should you use?

(c) **Inverse Variance in** X: The variance of the error term is inversely proportional to X_i :

$$\operatorname{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{X_i}.$$

What weight w_i should you use?

(d) **Exponential Variance in** X: The variance of the error term grows exponentially with X_i :

$$Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 e^{X_i}$$
.

What weight w_i should you use?

(e) Square Root Variance in X: The variance of the error term is proportional to the square root of X_i :

$$\operatorname{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 \sqrt{X_i}.$$

What weight w_i should you use?

- (2) (6 points) You need to upload the dataset file "HW9Data1.csv" into R to solve this problem.
 - (a) Use the provided R code below to compute Cook's Distance values for each data point.

```
# Load dataset
data <- read.csv("HW9Data1.csv")

# Fit a linear regression model
model <- lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x3, data = data)

# Calculate Cook's Distance
cooksD <- cooks.distance(model)

# Identify influential points
threshold <- 4 / nrow(data)
influential_points <- which(cooksD > threshold)

# Output results
influential_points
cooksD[influential_points]
```

(b) According to standard criteria, a data point with a Cook's Distance greater than

 $\frac{4}{n}$

(where n is the total number of observations) is considered influential. Use this threshold to identify influential points. Which data points are identified as influential based on Cook's Distance?

(c) Set up a second regression model with the influential points removed. Compute the RSE for the two models, what conclusion can you make?

- 3. (6 points)
 - (a) Load the dataset HW9Data2.csv in R and use the VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) method to identify which variables are highly collinear.
 - (b) Interpret the VIF values to determine which variables might be problematic.

```
# Load necessary library
library(car)

# Load the dataset
HW9Data2 <- read.csv("HW9Data2.csv")

# Fit a linear model
model <- lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5, data =
HW9Data2)

# Calculate VIF values
vif_values <- vif(model)
print(vif_values)
...</pre>
```

Note: Below is the interpretation of the VIF values.

- VIF = 1: There is no correlation between the predictor and the other variables, indicating no multicollinearity.
- 1 < VIF < 5: Moderate correlation; multicollinearity is not a severe issue.
- $VIF \geq 5$: High correlation, suggesting the presence of multicollinearity. The variable may be problematic and its inclusion in the model could lead to inflated standard errors and unstable coefficient estimates.
- $VIF \geq 10$: This typically indicates severe multicollinearity. In such cases, the predictor is highly correlated with one or more other variables, and it's advisable to reconsider its inclusion or apply remedial measures.

- 4. (6 points) After identifying multicollinearity in the model using VIF in Problem 3, examine the output of summary(model) and interpret what it reveals about the model. Specifically:
 - Which types of information in the **summary()** output may be unreliable due to multicollinearity?
 - How might multicollinearity impact the coefficients, p-values, and overall interpretability of the model?

- 5. (6 points)
 - (a) Suppose Y denote whether a student get admitted to attend Illinois Tech. While
 - X_1 denote the math scores (0-100)
 - X_2 denote the reading scores (0-100)

of a particular standardize exam. Suppose we collected n-data and run a logistic regression to obtain

$$\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) = 0.13 + 0.0456X_1 + 0.032X_2$$

where

$$\pi = \Pr(Y = 1 | X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2).$$

Holding the reading scores at a fixed value, show that the odds of being admitted to Illinois Tech is 4.67% higher for a one-unit increase in the math scores. That is show that

$$\frac{\text{Odds}(x_0 + 1) - \text{Odds}(x_0)}{\text{Odds}(x_0)} \times 100\% = 4.67\%$$

(b) In Part (a), the logistic regression model is used to predict the probability of a student being admitted to Illinois Tech (Y = 1) based on their math (X_1) and reading (X_2) scores.

Why is logistic regression particularly suitable for modeling this type of outcome, where the dependent variable is binary (i.e., a student is either admitted or not admitted)? Specifically, explain how logistic regression addresses the challenges posed by modeling probabilities that must lie between 0 and 1.