# CASE STUDY ON LEAD SCORING

Identification of Hot Leads to focus more on them and thus enhancing the conversion ratio for X Education

### **Group Members:**

- ✓ Neethu Radhakrishnan
- ✓ Ramalingeswara Rao

## **BACKGROUND**

### **X** Education Company

X Education , An education company named sells online courses to industry professionals

Many interested professionals land on their website

The company markets its courses on several websites like Google.

Once these people land on the website, they might browse the courses or fill up a form for the course or watch some videos



### BACKGROUND: X Education Company

When these people fill up a form providing their email address or phone number, they are classified to be a lead Once these leads are acquired.

Employees from the sales team start making calls, writing emails, etc. Through this process, some of the leads get converted while most do not.

The typical lead conversion rate at X education is around 30%



## PROBLEM STATEMENT: X Education Company's Problem

X Education gets a lot of leads but its lead conversion rate is very poor

To make this process more efficient, the company wishes to identify the most potential leads, also known as 'Hot Leads'.

If they successfully identify this set of leads, the lead conversion rate should go up as the sales team will be now.

Focusing more on communicating with the potential leads rather than making calls to everyone



## PROBLEM STATEMENT: X Education Company's Problem

We will help them to select the most promising leads, i.e. the leads that are most likely to convert into paying customers.

We are required to build a model wherein we need to assign a lead score to each of the leads such that the customers with higher lead score have a higher conversion chance.

The CEO, in particular, has given a ballpark of the target lead conversion rate to be 80%.



## PROPOSED SOLUTION:

Selection of Hot Leads

Communicating with Hot Leads

Conversion of Hot Leads

### **Leads Clustering**

We group the leads into several groups

Depending on their propensity or like - lihood to convert, resulting in a more narrowly focused group of hot leads.

### **Focus Communication**

We might have a smaller pool of leads to communicate.

Which would allow us to have a greater impact.

#### **Increase conversion**

We would have a greater conversion rate and be able to hit the 80% objective.

We concentrated on hot leads that were more likely to convert.



## **SOLUTION**: Selection of Hot Leads

For our Problem Solution, the crucial part is to accurately identify hot leads.


The more accurate we obtain the hot lead, the more chance we get of higher conversion ratio.


Since we have a target of 80% conversion rate, we would want to obtain a high accuracy in obtaining hot leads.



## IMPLEMENTATION









# PLOTS (VISUALIZATION)






EDA plots depicting variation in numerical columns for those who Converted and those who didn't.






EDA plots depicting variation in categorical column (Last Notable Activity) for those who Converted and those who didn't.






EDA plots depicting variation in categorical column (Specialization, What is your current occupation) for those who Converted and those who didn't.





EDA plots depicting variation in categorical column (Do Not Email, Do Not Call) for those who Converted and those who didn't.





EDA plots depicting variation in categorical column (Lead Origin, Lead Source) for those who Converted and those who didn't.







EDA plots depicting correlation (Heat Map) of all selected numerical columns.




### lap) of all columns ots selected columns 9 and epi dummy ng relation columns). (numerica ea





Linear Regression Final Model
Parameters
Area under ROC = 0.89
Intermediate cut-off = 0.35
Final cut-off = 0.41







## MODEL ANALYSIS: Performance of our Final Model

Overall accuracy on Test set: 80.4

Sensitivity of our logistic regression model: 80.4

Specificity of our logistic regression model: 80.6



## INFERENCES FROM MODEL

### **Business Insights Derived from our Model**

#### Top variables in model, that contribute towards lead conversion are:

- TotalVisits
- Lead Source\_Google
- Lead Source\_Direct Traffic
- What is your current occupation\_Unemployed
- Total Time Spent on Website
- Last Notable Activity\_Modified
- Lead Source\_Organic Search
- Last Notable Activity\_Email Opened

## INFERENCES FROM MODEL

### **Business Insights Derived from our Model**

- Lead Origin\_Lead Add Form
- Last Notable Activity\_Olark Chat Conversation
- What is your current occupation\_Working Professional
- Lead Source\_Welingak Website
- Do Not Email\_Yes
- Last Notable Activity\_Page Visited on Website
- Lead Source\_Referral Sites¶
- What is your current occupation\_Student
- Last Notable Activity\_Email Link Clicked



# CONCLUSION-1(LR MODEL)

Our Logistic Regression Model is decent and accurate enough, with 80.4 Accuracy on Test Set, 80.4 % Sensitivity and 80.6 % Specificity. We can vary these parameters by varying the cut-off value and thus predict Hot leads based on scenarios like availability of extra resources and vice-versa.

## CONCLUSION 2 (RECOMMENDATION)

### X Education Company needs to focus on following key aspects to improve the overall conversion rate:

- Increase user engagement on their website since this helps in higher conversion
- Increase on sending SMS notifications since this helps in higher conversion
- Get Total visits increased by advertising etc. since this helps in higher conversion
- Improve the Olark Chat service since this is affecting the conversion negatively