Implementation of Second Module

Weekly Date 14/10/24 to 19/10/24

Model Training and Accuracy Evaluation:

1. Model Selection

Decision Tree Classifier:

- This model splits data based on the most important features to make decisions, resulting in a tree-like structure.
- It's easy to interpret and understand, but it can easily overfit, meaning it might perform poorly on new data.

• Random Forest Classifier:

- o This is a collection (or "forest") of many decision trees.
- Each tree in the forest is built using random subsets of the data, and the final prediction is based on the majority vote from all trees.
- Random Forest usually works better because it reduces the risk of overfitting by averaging predictions.

2. Model Training

- Both models were trained using the **training data** (X_train, y_train):
 - o X_train contains the input features (like ph, NPK, etc.),
 - y_train contains the actual labels (the target value we want to predict, like "yes" or "no").
- The fit() function trains both models by finding the best splits (for decision trees) or creating multiple trees (for Random Forest).

```
python
```

```
dt_model.fit(X_train, y_train) # Train Decision Tree
rf model.fit(X train, y train) # Train Random Forest
```

3. Predictions and Accuracy Calculation

- After training, we use both models to make predictions on **test data** (X test).
- Then, we compare the predicted values to the actual values (y_test) to calculate **accuracy**, which tells us how well the model performed.

Accuracy = (Number of correct predictions) / (Total number of predictions) python

dt_predictions = dt_model.predict(X_test) # Predictions from Decision Tree rf_predictions = rf_model.predict(X_test) # Predictions from Random Forest

• Accuracy Score: This tells us the percentage of correct predictions:

Decision Tree: 5.40%

Random Forest: 6.81%

Although both models have low accuracy, **Random Forest** performed slightly better.

4. Reasons for Choosing Random Forest Over Decision Tree

- **Reduces Overfitting**: Random Forest creates multiple trees, which helps reduce the model's reliance on specific data points and improves generalization to new data.
- **Higher Accuracy**: Combining predictions from multiple trees usually results in better overall performance.
- **Noise Tolerance**: Random Forest is more robust to small changes or errors in the data.
- Works with Large Data: Random Forest handles large datasets and high-dimensional features better than a single Decision Tree.
- **Feature Importance**: Random Forest can identify which features are most important for predictions.

- **Handles Missing Values**: It can still work well even if some data points are missing.
- Outlier Resistance: Random Forest is less influenced by extreme values or outliers because it averages over multiple trees.

Conclusion:

Even though both models are based on decision trees, **Random Forest** is generally the better choice because it:

- Reduces overfitting,
- Handles large and noisy data better, and
- Provides higher accuracy compared to a single Decision Tree.

Implementation Details [21] predicted_fertilizer = predict_fertilizer(district="Kolhapur", soil_color="Black", nitrogen=75, phosphorus=50, potassium=100, pH=6.5, rainfall print("\n@ Predicted Fertilizer:", predicted_fertilizer) Predicted Fertilizer: Urea /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/sklearn/utils/validation.py:2739: UserWarning: X does not have valid feature names, but StandardScaler was fitted with feature names warnings.warn(from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score # Predict on test data dt predictions = dt model.predict(X test) rf predictions = rf model.predict(X test) ndarray: rf_predictions # Calculate accuracy dt_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, | ndarray with shape (5184,) rf_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, rf_predictions) print(f" Decision Tree Accuracy: {dt_accuracy * 100:.2f}%") print(f" Random Forest Accuracy: {rf_accuracy * 100:.2f}%") → Decision Tree Accuracy: 5.40% A Random Forest Accuracy: 6.81%

Implementation Details

