

Computer Architecture Spring 2023 Lab Project Evaluation Rubric

Criteria	CEP	Ratings					
Task 1 (Assembly Code Initialized in Instruction Memory		10 pts Included in report (Code is tested on simulator)	7.5 pts Correct code correctly initialized	5 pts correct code but incorrect initialization	2.5 pts Incorrect Code	0 pts No marks	10 pts
Task 1(Changes in Architecture) Changes in Verilog modules to include the instructions that were not executable	WP4(EA5)	10 pts Required changes explained in report	7.5 pts All required changes are made	5 pts All required changes are not made but most of them are included	2.5 pts Very few changes are accommodated	0 pts No Marks	10 pts
Task 1(Results) Array Sort Testing		5 pts Results /working explained in report. Results are verified	3.75 pts The code works (try to swap element), results are not 100 % correct	2.5 pts Results of sort are tested but are incorrect	1.25 pts Sorting is not Tested but changes are verified by test cases	0 pts No Marks	5 pts
Task 2 (Pipelined Processor] Pipelined Registers are included		10 pts Included in report all connections and modules are correct	7.5 pts All pipeline reg modules are correct but complete connections are not made	5 pts Pipelined registers are Mostly correct (few errors)	2.5 pts Incorrect addition of pipelined reg modules	0 pts No Marks	10 pts
Task 2 (Forwarding Unit)		10 pts Explained in report Connections with Forwarding Unit and Forwarding Unit itself is correct	7.5 pts Forwarding Unit is correct (complete logic)	5 pts Forwarding Unit logic and conditions are correct but all conditions are not included	2.5 pts Incorrect Logic	0 pts No Marks	10 pts
Task 2 (Test Cases and Results)		10 pts Explained in report all test cases (valid) with correct results	7.5 pts A few test cases with correct results	5 pts Test case (instruction with forwarding, results are incorrect)	2.5 pts Test case (Few instructions without forwarding)	0 pts No Marks	10 pts



Task 3 (Hazard		10 pts	7.5 pts Hazard	5 pt Hazard	2.5 Hazard	0 pts No	10 pts
Detection Unit		Included in	Detection Unit	Detection Unit	Detection Unit	Marks	
and Stall)		report Correct	is included	is created	/ Flush with		
		Logic and	with correct	with correct	Incorrect		
		correct	connections	logic	Logic5 pts		
		connections for	but pipeline				
		Hazard	flush logic is				
		Detection Unit	not				
		and Pipeline	accommodated				
		Rush					
Task 3 (Testing	WP7	10 pts	7.5 pts	5 pts	2.5 pts	0 pts	10 pts
with Hazard	""	Included in	Test Case is	Test Case	Test Case is	No Marks	
Detection Unit		report Strong	Strong but	Checks a few	Weak		
and Stall)		Test cases with	Results are	conditions			
		correct results	not all correct	(Stall / Flush)			
Task 3 (Testing	1	10 pts	7.5 pts	5 pts	2.5 pts		10 pts
with Sorting		Sorting works,	Tried to swap a	No swap at all	No idea how to	0 pts	
Algorithm)		explained and	few elements		check results	No Marks	
		included in					
		report					
Task 4		5 pts	3.75 pts	2.5 pts	1.25 pts	0 pts	5 pts
Performance		Comprehensive	The analysis is	The analysis is	The analysis is	No Marks	
Comparison		analysis with	done with	done without	incomplete and		
		complete	partially	any	there is not		
	WP1	justification of	justified	justification	justification		
	WPI	the difference	analysis				
		in					
		performances					
Viva		10 pts	8 pts	6 pts	2 pts	0 pts	10 pts
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	No marks	
			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Total Points:100

Complex Engineering Problem

This project is a CEP and includes the following Washington Accord (WA) attributes:

Depth of Knowledge Required	WP1	Cannot be resolved without in-depth engineering knowledge at the level of one or more of WK3, WK4, WK5, WK6 or WK8 which allows a fundamentals-based, first principles of analytical approach
Familiarity of issues	WP4	Involve infrequently encountered issues or novel problems
Interdependence	WP7	Address high level problems with many components or sub- problems that may require a systems approach



Rubric for assessment of Complex Engineering Problem

Dom ain	WPs		Unsatisfactory, 0	Satisfactory, 1	Good, 2	Very Good, 3	Comprehensive, 4
Prea mble	WP1	1. Problem Definition	Demonstrates an inability in construction of a problem statement that requires complex engineering activities	Demonstrates a limited ability in identifying a problem statement that requires complex engineering activities	Demonstrate the ability to involve some complex engineering activities, but problem statement does not correctly capture the definition	Demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of complex engineering activities, and problem statement is adequately detailed	Demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with detailed outlining of complex engineering activities
WP7	WP7	5. Design / detailing of multiple sub-components	Design / Detailing not done	Design / detailing done with sketches, but does not involve multiple sub- components	Design / detailing involves multiple sub-components, and detailing adequately done using unclear sketches / drawings	Design / detailing involves multiple sub-components, and detailing is adequately explained with clear sketches / drawings	Design / detailing involves multiple subcomponents, and detailing is perfectly explained with clear sketches / drawings
EA5	WP4	6. Familiarity with approaches	Demonstrates no extension of previous experiences in applying principles-based approaches	Demonstrates limited extension of previous experiences in applying principles-based approaches	Demonstrate adequate extension of previous experiences in applying principles- based approaches	Demonstrate reasonable extension of previous experiences in applying principles-based approaches	Demonstrate extension of previous experiences in applying principlesbased approaches