

⑤

closed under union

The basic idea of closure under union is that you have two languages that belong to NP

$L_1 \in NP$  and  $L_2 \in NP$

and three NDA TMs:  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$

$M_3$  will accept "input  $w$ " as long as  $M_1$  accepts  $w$  (otherwise reject),  $M_2$  accepts  $w$  (otherwise reject) or they both do.

\* this is essentially the same as when we're proving P is closed under union, but whether to run  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  is decided nondeterministically.

⑤ cont.

closed under concatenation:

like before we have  $L_1 \in NP$  and  $N_2 \in NP$  and  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_3$ , but this time ~~we~~ for input  $w$ , it gets split up and  $M_3$  checks if  $M_1$  accepts  $w_1$  and if  $M_2$  accepts  $w_2$ . Otherwise, reject.

// Side note this is done polynomially, because for length,  $n$  in  $w$ , stage 2 will only take  $n+1$  time

"running  $M_1$  on  $w_1$  takes  $O(n^{k_1})$  time, and running  $M_2$  on  $w_2$  takes  $O(n^{k_2})$  time, so stage 2 runs in time  $O(n^{k_1}) + O(n^{k_2}) = O(n^{\max(k_1, k_2)})$  which is polynomial in  $n$ "

$k^1 + k^2 \rightarrow \text{constants}$

\* Again, essentially the same for when we prove  $P$  is closed under concatenation except that  $w$  gets split up nondeterministically and  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  each get run once.

⑥

NP closed under Kleene star

We have an NTM,  $M$  that first checks whether our input  $w$  is a part of  $\epsilon$ . If it is, accept.

If it doesn't accept,  $w$  will have to be split up into a range from  $1$  to  $|w|$ .

" $1 \leq m \leq |w|$ , where  $m$  is how many ways it gets split up" (people.cs.daw.dk)

$M$  goes through each nondeterministic piece of  $w$  and checks if it's in  $M$ . If it is, accept. Otherwise, reject that piece.

\* We see that this is done in a polynomial amount of time, because  $M$  only runs  $|w|$  amount of times.

6 cont

P closed under Kleene star

We have a TM decider  $M$  that checks our input  $w$  and if  $w \in L$  accept.

We initialize a table  $(i, j)$  where  $T = \text{true}$  if  $w_i \dots w_j \in A^*$ . The length goes up from 1 to  $n$  and the decider will go through all strings of  $w$  from the range of  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

" $M = \text{On input } w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$

1. If  $w$  is the empty string, accept
2. Initialize  $T[i, j] = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$
3. For  $i = 1$  to  $n$ ,
4. Set  $T[i, i] = 1$  if  $w_i \in A$
5. For  $l = 2$  to  $n$ ,
6.     For  $i = 1$  to  $n - (l - 1)$ ,
7.         Let  $j = i + l - 1$
8.         If  $w_i \dots w_j$  is in  $A$ , set  $T[i, j] = 1$
9.         For  $k = i$  to  $j - 1$
10.             If  $T[i, k] = 1$  and  $T[k, j] = 1$ , set  $T[i, j] = 1$
11. Accept if  $T[1, n] = 1$ , otherwise reject"

**Time spent:** i spent about three days on this one

**Students I worked with:** no one, but i did consult my friend on how to approach question 2

**Sources:**

Q2:

Textbook, <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/PolynomialTime.html>

I wasn't sure how to do this question so I asked my friend how they started it and they said "all we have to do here is explain 7.14 theorem in the book." so that's what I did

Q3:

Textbook, slides,

[http://cs.jhu.edu/~cs363/fall2013/assign9\\_sln.pdf](http://cs.jhu.edu/~cs363/fall2013/assign9_sln.pdf)

<https://people.cs.umass.edu/~barring/cs601sum03/hw/4sol.html>

Q4:

Q5:

<http://www.public.asu.edu/~ccolbou/src/555hw4s16sol.pdf>

<https://web.njit.edu/~marvin/cs341/hw/hwsoln11.pdf>

<http://ais.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/ss15/bridging/exercise/solutions/exercise09.pdf>

Q6:

P:

<http://www.public.asu.edu/~ccolbou/src/555hw4s16sol.pdf>

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/452/F14/poly.pdf>

<https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall03/cs487/hw7sol.pdf>

NP:

<http://people.cs.aau.dk/~srba/courses/tutorials-CC-10/t13-sol.pdf>

file:///Users/jazminebiba/Downloads/section10\_sols.pdf

section10\_sols.pdf



③

so we have two graphs  $F$  and  $G$ . We have a pair of vertices  $(x, y)$  that should appear as edges in both  $F$  and  $G$ .

We have two loops that do this by first looking up  $f(x), f(y)$  and then seeing if they're both identical edges. We're checking the  $n^2$  pair of edges, so that is the final and polynomial time.

"We accept" iff each of the pairs satisfies the condition that  $(x, y)$  and  $(f(x), f(y))$  are either both edges or non-edges.

(2)

Theorem 7.14

so two stages are  
run in "m" times so  
 $\uparrow$  ~~not~~  $n^2$

$M =$  "On input  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ , where  $G$  is a directed graph with nodes  $s$  and  $t$ ;

1. ~~Place~~ place a mark on node  $s$  (constant)
2. Repeat the following until no additional nodes are marked:
3. Scan all the edges of  $G$ . If an edge  $(a, b)$  is found going from a marked node  $a$  to an unmarked node  $b$ , mark node  $b$ .
4. If  $t$  is ~~now~~ marked, accept. Otherwise, reject. (constant)

"We see that stages 1 and 4 are executed only once. Stage 3 runs at most  $m$  times because each time except the last it marks an additional node in  $G$ ."

So total is:  $1 + 1 + m$ , polynomial size  $G$ .

$M$  is a polynomial time algorithm for PATH.

"An algorithm is said to be solvable in polynomial time if the number of steps required to complete the algorithm for a given input is  $O(n^k)$ , where  $n$  is the complexity of the input."