30-Collections Lists, etc.

Copyright © Robin Hillyard, 2015

Let's talk about collections

- Real-life software generally involves <u>collections</u>.
 - Sometimes, we want to deal with one thing a time, sometimes many things at once.
 - These are the kinds of things we want to do with collections:
 - find the number of elements in the collection (length/size);
 - (optionally) select a specific element by position or key (get);
 - traverse each element in succession, applying a side-effect function to each (foreach);
 - traverse each element in succession, applying a function to each, thus yielding a new collection (map, flatMap);
 - traverse each element in succession, applying a function to each element and an accumulator, thus yielding a value (reduce);
 - create a new collection based on the original, but with only those elements that satisfy a predicate (filter);
 - create a new collection based on the original, but with new element(s) (concatenate or "cons").

A simple definition of List

This is (more or less) what I wrote on the board last

time:

```
package edu.neu.coe.scala.list
trait List {
  def length: Int
}
case object Nil extends List {
  def length: Int = 0
}
case class Cons (head: Int, tail: List) extends List {
  def length: Int = this match {
    case Cons(h,t) => 1 + t.length
  }
}
object List {
  def apply(as: Int*): List =
    if (as.isEmpty) Nil
    else Cons(as.head, apply(as.tail: _*))
}
```

First, we define some behavior in a trait. For now, the only behavior we've defined for our *List* is the ability to get its length.

Remember proof by induction? There are two cases: the "base case" and the "inductive step". We will typically (but not always) have two "case class/object" extensions of a trait.

This is the "companion" object for *List*. Any class or trait can have such a companion object. Case classes always have one (via "syntactic sugar")

Parametric Types

- A very quick observation before we get into lists.
- We can define a *List* of *Int*, a *List* of *String*, etc.
- But we'd end up having to write all the same methods for each! That would be no good!!
- So, in Scala, all containers have an underlying type, including List. Such types are known as Parametric types* because that's what they are. Scala doesn't really use the term generics (partly because it wasn't an afterthought).
- Unlike in Java, we cannot define a List without a parametric type because this would break type inference.

^{*} such types make up the *parameters* of a type—and are enclosed in [], just like parameters of a method are enclosed in ().

Lists and their methods

Recap:

```
the "+" shortly.

trait List[+A]
case object Nil extends List[Nothing]
case class Cons[+A] (head: A, tail: List[A]) extends List[A]

object List {
    def apply[A](as: A*): List[A] =
        if (as.isEmpty) Nil
        else Cons(as.head, apply(as.tail: _*))
}
```

- What are the methods that we expect List to implement?
 - Let's try a few signatures and think what they might mean:
 - def x0: Boolean
 - def x1: Int
 - def x2: A
 - def x3: List[A]
 - def x4(x: Int): Option[A]
 - def x5(f: A=>Boolean): List[A]
 - def x6(f: A=>Boolean): Option[A]
 - def x7[B](f: A=>B): List[B]
 - def x8[B](f: A=>List[B]): List[B]
 - def x9(f: A=>Unit): Unit

actually, there are a couple of plausible methods which yield an *A*

We have called the parametric type of the *List* "A".

A stands for any type, even a *List[[B]*. I will explain

we are defining an "algebra" for the *List* type

List methods ("SOE")

```
def x0: Boolean = this match {case MyNil => true; case _ => false }
def x1: Int = this match {
  case Nil => 0
 case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow 1 + tl.x1
def x2a: A = this match {
    case Nil => throw new Exception("logic error")
    case Cons(hd,tl) => hd
                                                      Will not compile: need an operator +
// Alternative interpretation
                                                      that can combine two A objects into
def x2b: A = this match {
                                                      another A. Need unit function, too.
  case Nil => unit(0)
  case Cons(hd, tl) => hd + tl.x2
def x3: List[A] = this match {
  case Nil => Nil;
  case Cons(hd, tl) => tl
def x4(x: Int): Option[A] = {
 @tailrec def inner(as: List[A], x: Int): Option[A] = as match {
    case Nil => None
    case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow if(x == 0) Some(hd) else inner(tl, x - 1)
  if (x < 0) None else inner(this, x)
```

List methods (higher-order functions)

```
def x5(f: A = Boolean): List[A] = this match {
  case Cons(hd,tl) \Rightarrow val ftl = tl.x5(f); if (f(hd)) Cons(hd, ftl) else ftl
  case Nil => Nil
 }
def x6(f: A=>Boolean): Option[A] = this match {
  case Cons(hd,tl) \Rightarrow if(f(hd)) Some(hd) else tl.x6(f)
  case Nil => None
def x7[B](f: A=>B): List[B] = this match {
                                                            We need a way to concatenate
  case Cons(hd,t1) \Rightarrow Cons(f(hd),t1.x7(f))
  case Nil => List[B]()
                                                             two lists.
                                                                  But can't we just use A as the type
def ++[B >: A](x: List[B]): List[B] = this match {
                                                                  of both lists? No: co-/contra-variance
  case Nil => x
  case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow Cons(hd, tl ++ x)
def x8[B](f: A=>List[B]): List[B] = this match {
   case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow f(hd) ++ tl.x8(f)
   case Nil => List[B]()
def x9(f: A=>Unit): Unit = this match {
   case Cons(hd,tl) \Rightarrow f(hd); tl.x9(f)
   case Nil => Unit
```

Giving the methods names:

```
• def isEmpty: Boolean = this match {case MyNil => true; case _ => false }
• def length: Int = this match {
   case Nil => 0
   case Cons(hd, tl) => 1 + tl.length
• def head: A = this match {
   case Nil => throw new Exception("logic error")
   case Cons(hd,tl) => hd
                                                     Will not compile: need an operator +
                                                      that can combine two A objects into
• def sum: A = this match {
                                                      another A. Need unit function, too.
   case Nil => unit(0)
   case Cons(hd, tl) => hd + tl.sum
• def tail: List[A] = this match {
   case Nil => Nil;
   case Cons(hd, tl) => tl
• def get(x: Int): Option[A] = {
   @tailrec def inner(as: List[A], x: Int): Option[A] = as match {
     case Nil => None
     case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow if(x == 0) Some(hd) else inner(tl, x - 1)
   if (x < 0) None else inner(this, x)
```

and names for the higher-order functions...

```
def filter(f: A=>Boolean): List[A] = this match {
  case Cons(hd,tl) => val ftl = tl.filter(f); if (f(hd)) Cons(hd, ftl) else ftl
  case Nil => Nil
def find(f: A=>Boolean): Option[A] = this match {
  case Cons(hd,tl) \Rightarrow if (f(hd)) Some(hd) else tl.find(f)
  case Nil => None
def map[B](f: A=>B): List[B] = this match {
                                                          We need a way to concatenate
  case Cons(hd,tl) \Rightarrow Cons(f(hd),tl.map(f))
  case Nil => List[B]()
                                                          two lists.
                                                               But can't we just use A as the type
def ++[B >: A](x: List[B]): List[B] = this match {
                                                                of both lists? No: co-/contra-variance
  case Nil => x
  case Cons(hd, tl) \Rightarrow Cons(hd, tl ++ x)
def flatMap[B](f: A=>List[B]): List[B] = this match {
   case Cons(hd,tl) => f(hd) ++ tl.flatMap(f)
   case Nil => List[B]()
def foreach(f: A=>Unit): Unit = this match {
   case Cons(hd,tl) => f(hd); tl.foreach(f)
   case Nil => Unit
```

Method types

- (Refer to my <u>StackOverflow answer</u> for the original)
 - Let's assume a type Bunch[T] which extends Traversable[T] (the base trait for all Scala collections)
 - In the following, U is a supertype of T:
 - *traversing*: there are actually two subclasses:
 - shape-preserving: these define a return type of Bunch[U]; example: map;
 - non-shape-preserving: these define a return type of Iterator[T],
 Traversable[T], Traversable[U], etc.; example: iterator;
 - *selecting*: these define a return type of *T*; example *head*;
 - maybeSelecting: these define a return type of Option[U]
 - aggregation: these define a return type of U; example: foldLeft;
 - testing: these define a return type of Boolean; example: empty;
 - side-effecting: these define a return type of Unit; example foreach;
 - · etc. etc.