```
STAT 588: Data Mining
Xin Yang
NetID: xy213
RUID: 182006660
Report of Midterm Part II
Question 1:
Code:
data=load(file = '~/Desktop/Midterm/geno.R', envir = parent.frame(), verbose = FALSE)
set.seed(182006660)
x0=t(x0)
index \leq- sort(sample(nrow(x0), nrow(x0)*.8))
train x0 < -x0[index,]
test x0 < -x0[-index,]
train y \le y[index]
test y \le y[-index]
Question 2:
Code:
design matrix=cbind(x0==0, x0==1, x0==2)*1
train design x0 <- design matrix[index,]
test design x0 <- design matrix[-index,]
Question 3:
   1. SVM:
       Code:
       library(e1071)
       #svmfit <- svm(x=train design x0, y=as.factor(train y), kernel='linear')
       svm model <- svm(x=train design x0, y=as.factor(train y))
       print(svm model)
       summary(svm model)
       svm predict <- predict(svm model, test design x0)</pre>
       table(svm_predict,as.factor(test y))
       Results:
              012
             0000
             1564
             2000
   2. Random Forest:
       Code:
       library(randomForest)
       rf model <- randomForest(x=train design x0, y=as.factor(train y))
```

```
rf_predict <- predict(rf_model, test_design_x0)
table(rf_predict,as.factor(test_y))</pre>
```

Results:

012

1463

2101

3. Naïve Bayes

Code:

```
nb_model <- naiveBayes(x=train_design_x0, y=as.factor(train_y))
nb_predict <- predict(nb_model, test_design_x0)
table(nb_predict, as.factor(test_y))</pre>
```

Results:

012

0564

1000

2000

Can you use Naïve Bayes here?

Naïve Bayes is not suitable here because the data dimension is too high. Fitting a Naïve Bayes model could be very slow.

Question 4:

1. GLM net:

Code:

```
library(glmnet)
```

```
glm_model <- glmnet(x=train_design_x0, y=as.factor(train_y), family='multinomial')
glm_predict <- predict(glm_model, test_design_x0, type="response")[,,1]
glm_labels <- colnames(glm_predict)[apply(glm_predict,1,which.max)]
glm_factors<-factor(c(glm_labels), levels = colnames(glm_predict))
table(glm_factors, as.factor(test_y))
```

Results:

012

 $0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$

1564

2000

2. PENALIZED SVM using elastic net

According to the documents of penalizedSVM: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/penalizedSVM/penalizedSVM.pdf.

The penalizedSVM package only supports binary cases (-1 and 1). I tried to categorize two classes as one class, labeled as 1, and the rest one class as -1. Repeat 3 times to get the results. But the process is extremely slow. Here I switch to the sparseSVM packet, and by setting alpha=0.5, I could get the elastic net.

```
Code I tried for penalizedSVM:
library(penalizedSVM)
y 0 train = sign(train y - 0.5)
y = 0 \text{ test} = \text{sign}(\text{test } y - 0.5)
svmfs model = svmfs(train design x0, as.numeric(y 0 train), fs.method =
c("DrHSVM"), verbose = FALSE)
svmfs predict = predict.penSVM(svmfs model, test design x0, as.factor(y 0 test))
print(pl predict$tab)
Code for sparseSVM:
library(sparseSVM)
# 0 as class 1, 1,2 as class 0
y 0 train <- train y
for(i in 1:length(train y)){
 y 0 train[i]<-0
 if(train y[i] == 0)
  y 0 train[i]<-1
y 0 test <- test y
for(i in 1:length(test y)){
 y = 0 \text{ test[i]} < -0
 if(test_y[i] == 0){
  y 0 test[i]<-1
pen svm model = sparseSVM(X=train design x0, y = y 0 train, alpha = 0.5)
pen svm pred = predict(pen svm model, test design x0)
table(pen svm pred[,1], y 0 test)
# 1 as class 1, 0,2 as class 0
y 1 train <- train y
for(i in 1:length(train y)){
 y 1 train[i]<-0
 if(train y[i] == 1){
  y_1_{train[i]} < -1
y 1 test <- test y
for(i in 1:length(test y)){
```

```
y 1 test[i] < -0
 if(test y[i] == 1){
  y 1 test[i]<-1
pen svm model = sparseSVM(X=train design x0, y = y 1 train, alpha = 0.5)
pen svm pred = predict(pen svm model, test design x0)
table(pen svm pred[,1], y 1 test)
# 2 as class 1, 0,1 as class 0
y 2 train <- train y
for(i in 1:length(train y)){
 y 2 train[i]<-0
 if(train y[i] == 2){
  y 2 train[i]<-1
y 2 test <- test y
for(i in 1:length(test y)){
 y 2 test[i] < -0
 if(test_y[i] == 2)
  y_2test[i]<-1
pen sym model = sparseSVM(X=train design x_0, y = y_0 train, alpha = 0.5)
pen svm pred = predict(pen svm model, test_design_x0)
summary(pen svm model)
table(pen svm pred[,1], y 2 test)
Results:
       012
     0500
      1060
     2004
```

Question 5:

For all the above classifiers, all hyper parameters remain default. The selection of hyper parameters, such as the kernel function in the SVM would largely affect the performance. Besides, the random selection of the dataset would also affect the classification results because the training samples are not equal for all three classes. Based on my results, the SVM shows 6/15 classification accuracy, the random forest shows 7/15 accuracy, the naïve bayes shows 5/15 accuracy. The GLM net shows 6/15 classification accuracy, and the Penalized SVM shows