```
{
        "type": "interest",
        "created_at": "1700471392",
        "data_name": "/temperature?after=170047332&near_latitude=53.82&near_longitude=4.46&
near_depth=100m"
}
```

Figure 1: An example of the JSON data for an interest packet that will be encoded as a JWT.

1 Introduction

2 Oceanography Scenario Description and Motivation

Our protocol is peer-to-peer, where peer-to-peer here means communication between a pair of nodes with a direct physical link (acoustic, RF, fibre, what have you).

3 ICN/NDN Protocol

Here we describe our ICN/NDN protocol which we name G17ICN 1.0.

3.1 Concise Description of the Protocol

The protocol uses HTTP as the serialization and request/response format, with a JWT as the request body. There are two types of ICN packets in our protocol, interest and satisfy packets. Interest and satisfy packets are encoded as JWTs and delivered in the body of a HTTP POST request.

The JWTs are signed using PKC, the default algorithm in our emulation is RSA 256 with key size 512, but this is configurable. Both interest and satisfy packets are signed.

Satisfy packets are sent lazily, i.e. only after receiving a corresponding interest packet.

Our protocol uses HTTP headers to provide hints to neighbouring nodes for routing optimisation. The 'x-g17icn-version' will facilitate compatibility with future version of the protocol. The 'x-g17icn-hopcount' header indicates the distance in hops that a packet has traveled from its origin.

Our protocol uses HTTP 1.1 as the serialization standard, and in the emulation the HTTP request and response messages are delivered over TCP. HTTP is a request/response style standard; a client makes a request to a server and the server responds. The responses in our protocol are merely acknowledgment responses intended to inform the client as to whether the request is being processed. The HTTP response does not contain data to satisfy the request. Each device in the network runs a HTTP server listening to respond to requests.

3.2 Motivation and Design Considerations

3.3 Security

4 Emulation

We have implemented an *emulation* of our protocol, not a deployable implementation of the protocol, for the reason that an emulation facilitates more thorough evaluation and debugging. In the scenario we have described it is assumed that devices would communicate with each other primarily over acoustic links but we have not emulated characteristics of the physical layer. Rather, our focus has been on emulating diverse and dynamic network topologies.

In the emulation each device finds for itself a unique IP interface (host/port pair).