

# Algorithms & Data Structures II (course 1DL231)

## Uppsala University – Autumn 2023

### Report for Assignment 1

Yueyuan Huang

23rd November 2023

## Part 1

# The Weightlifting Problem

## A The Recursive Equation

Define  $F(P, w, p)$  - in which  $P$  is a list of  $n$  weights of weightlifting plates at a gym,  $w$  is a preferred total weight for a weightlifter, and  $p$  is an integer - as whether there exists a list  $P'$  of elements among the first  $p$  elements of  $P$  whose sum is exactly  $w$ . In other words,

$$F(P, w, p) = \begin{cases} True & \text{if there exists such list,} \\ False & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can therefore write the recursive equation for  $F(P, w, p)$  as (Assume we are using a 0-indexed list, like the one in Python):

$$F(P, w, p) = \begin{cases} w == 0 & \text{if } p \text{ is } 0, \\ F(P, w, p-1) \text{ or } F(P, w - P[p-1], p-1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the recursive equation, we can say the weightlifting problem has the optimal substructure property because both  $F(P, w, p-1)$  and  $F(P, w - P[p-1], p-1)$  are parts of  $F(P, w, p)$ . It also has overlapping subproblems, which happens when we have elements in  $P$  having identical value. For example, we have  $P = [1, 2, 2]$  and  $w = 3$ . In this case, by starting with  $F(P, 3, 3)$ , we first have to compute  $F(P, 3, 2)$  and  $F(P, 1, 2)$ , which will require us to deal with  $F(P, 3, 1)$ ,  $F(P, 1, 1)$ ,  $F(P, 1, 1)$  and  $F(P, -1, 1)$ . We can see an overlapping occurs.

In fact we may do some pruning by adding some basic cases. Then the recursive equation will look like this:

$$F(P, w, p) = \begin{cases} False & \text{if } w < 0, \\ True & \text{if } w \text{ is } 0, \\ w == 0 & \text{if } p \text{ is } 0, \\ F(P, w, p-1) \text{ or } F(P, w - P[p-1], p-1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## B The Recursive Algorithm

As shown in 1.

```

44 # recursion invariant: p stays positive and will always decrease by 1 after
45 # each recursive call, and the recursion will terminate when p reaches 0
46 def weightlifting_recursive(P: List[int], w: int, p: int) -> bool:
47     '''
48     Pre: 0 <= p <= len(P)
49     Post: p == 0
50     Ex: P = [2, 32, 234, 35, 12332, 1, 7, 56]
51         weightlifting_recursive(P, 299, 8) returns True
52         weightlifting_recursive(P, 11, 8) returns False
53     '''
54     # 1. Add base case(s)
55     if w < 0:
56         return False
57     if w == 0:
58         return True
59     if p == 0:
60         return False
61     # 2. add recursive case(s)
62     return weightlifting_recursive(P, w, p-1) \
63         or weightlifting_recursive(P, w - P[p-1], p-1)

```

Listing 1: Python function *weightlifting\_recursive*(*P*, *w*, *p*).

## C The Top-down DP Algorithm

As shown in 2.

```

66 # recursion invariant (for _wlttd()): p stays positive and will always decrease by
67 # 1 after each recursive call, and the recursion will terminate when p reaches 0
68 def weightlifting_top_down(P: List[int], w: int,
69                             dp_matrix: List[List[Union[None, bool]]]) -> bool:
70     '''
71     Pre: dp_matrix is a matrix of size len(P)+1 x w+1
72     Post:
73     Ex: dp_matrix [[None, ..., None], ..., [None, ..., None]]
74         P = [2, 32, 234, 35, 12332, 1, 7, 56]
75         weightlifting_top_down(P, 299, dp_matrix) returns True
76         weightlifting_top_down(P, 11, dp_matrix) returns False
77     '''
78     # A recursive function that uses dp_matrix for memoisation
79     def _wlttd(P: List[int], w: int, p: int) -> bool:
80         '''
81         Pre: 0 <= p <= len(P)
82         Post: p == 0
83         '''
84         if w < 0:
85             return False
86         if p == 0:
87             dp_matrix[p][w] = False
88         if w == 0:
89             dp_matrix[p][w] = True
90         if dp_matrix[p][w] is None:
91             dp_matrix[p][w] = _wlttd(P, w, p-1) or _wlttd(P, w - P[p-1], p-1)
92         return dp_matrix[p][w]
93     # Use _wlttd() to do a top-down dp
94     return _wlttd(P, w, len(P))

```

Listing 2: Python function *weightlifting\_top\_down*(*P*, *w*, *dp\_matrix*).

## D Time Complexity Comparison

Now we can look at algorithm 1 and 2 and compare their time complexities.

It is obvious that these 2 algorithms have the same best-case complexity (achieved when  $P[-1] = w$  or  $w = 0$ ), which is  $O(1)$ .

The worst-case complexity is got when there doesn't exist such a list  $P'$ . For example,  $P$  is a list of  $n$  1's and  $w = n + 1$ . In this case, the recursive algorithm 1 would have to do  $2^n$  function calls, while the top-down algorithm 2 could avoid duplicate calculations and would just do  $n * n$  function calls. Therefore the top-down algorithm has the best worst-case time complexity, which is  $O(n * w)$ , compared with the recursive one's worst-case time complexity  $O(2^n)$ .

## E The Bottom-up DP Algorithm

As shown in 3.

```
97 def weightlifting_bottom_up(P: List[int], w: int,
98                             dp_matrix: List[List[Union[None, bool]]]) -> bool:
99     """
100     Pre: dp_matrix is a matrix of size len(P)+1 x w+1
101     Post: dp_matrix has signature List[List[bool]]
102     Ex: dp_matrix [[None, ..., None], ..., [None, ..., None]]
103         P = [2, 32, 234, 35, 12332, 1, 7, 56]
104         weightlifting_bottom_up(P, 299, dp_matrix) returns True
105         weightlifting_bottom_up(P, 11, dp_matrix) returns False
106     """
107     # 1. Fill first column and row of dp_matrix
108     for i in range(len(P)+1):
109         dp_matrix[i][0] = True
110     for j in range(1, w+1):
111         dp_matrix[0][j] = False
112     # 2. iteratively fill rest of dp_matrix
113     for i in range(len(P)):
114         for j in range(w, -1, -1):
115             dp_matrix[i+1][j] = dp_matrix[i][j]
116             if dp_matrix[i][j] and j + P[i] <= w:
117                 dp_matrix[i+1][j+P[i]] = dp_matrix[i][j]
118     # 3. return the result from the dp_matrix
119     return dp_matrix[len(P)][w]
```

Listing 3: Python function *weightlifting\_bottom\_up*( $P, w, dp\_matrix$ ).

## F Algorithm to find $P'$

The algorithm shown in 4 is a modification of the one 3 in section E which will instead return a list  $P'$  (as described in section A) if one exists.

## G Algorithm to return $\hat{w}$

We can modify the weightlifting problem such that the greatest total weight  $\hat{w}$  of weightlifting plates that does not exceed the preferred total weight of the weightlifter,  $\hat{w} \leq w$ , is to be returned.

```

122 def weightlifting_list(P: List[int], w: int,
123                        dp_matrix: List[List[Union[None, bool]]]) -> List[int]:
124     """
125     Pre: dp_matrix is a matrix of size len(P)+1 x w+1
126     Post: dp_matrix has signature List[List[bool]]
127     Ex: P = [2, 32, 234, 35, 12332, 1, 7, 56]
128         weightlifting_list(P, 299) returns a permutation of [2, 7, 56, 234]
129         weightlifting_list(P, 11) returns []
130     """
131     # A matrix storing the choice of plates for each subproblem
132     choice_matrix = [[[] for _ in range(w+1)] for _ in range(len(P)+1)]
133     # Fill first column and row of dp_matrix
134     for i in range(len(P)+1):
135         dp_matrix[i][0] = True
136     for j in range(1, w+1):
137         dp_matrix[0][j] = False
138     # Iteratively fill rest of dp_matrix and choice_matrix
139     for i in range(len(P)):
140         for j in range(w, -1, -1):
141             dp_matrix[i+1][j] = dp_matrix[i][j]
142             choice_matrix[i+1][j] = choice_matrix[i][j]
143             if dp_matrix[i][j] and j + P[i] <= w:
144                 dp_matrix[i+1][j+P[i]] = dp_matrix[i][j]
145                 choice_matrix[i+1][j+P[i]] = choice_matrix[i][j] + [P[i]]
146     # Return the result from the choice_matrix
147     return choice_matrix[len(P)][w] if dp_matrix[len(P)][w] else []

```

Listing 4: Python function *weightlifting\_list(P, w, dp\_matrix)*.

Given the algorithm 3, before returning in line 119, we can traverse the  $\text{len}(P)$ -th row of *dp\_matrix* to find the maximum  $j$  which satisfies  $\text{dp\_matrix}[\text{len}(P)][j] = \text{True}$ . That  $j$  will be the  $\hat{w}$  we are looking for.

## Part 2

# Augmenting Path Detection in Network Graphs

## A Finding the Existence of Augmenting Path

The algorithm 5 returns *True* if and only if there exists an augmenting path from the source  $s$  to the sink  $t$  in a flow network  $G$ .

## B Finding the Augmenting Path

The algorithm 6 returns also an augmenting path if one exists.

## C Time Complexity Analysis

The extended algorithm 6 performs a BFS which discovers all nodes reachable from the source  $s$ . The BFS takes at most  $O(|E|)$  time because each edge is travelled at most once, and when

```

42 def augmenting(G: Graph, s: str, t: str) -> bool:
43     """
44     Pre: G is a flow network with source s and sink t
45         (so s != t and s is in G and t is in G)
46     Post: None of the parameters are modified
47     Ex: >>> G = Graph(is_directed=True)
48         >>> G.add_edge('a', 'b', capacity=1, flow=0)
49         >>> augmenting(G, 'a', 'b')
50         True
51         >>> G = Graph(is_directed=True)
52         >>> G.add_edge('a', 'b', capacity=1, flow=2)
53         >>> augmenting(G, 'a', 'b')
54         False
55     """
56     q = deque()
57     visited = [False] * 256 # Assume that nodes of G are ASCII characters
58     q.append(s)
59     visited[ord(s)] = True
60     while q:
61         u = q.popleft()
62         for v in G.neighbors(u):
63             if visited[ord(v)]: continue
64             if G.flow(u, v) < G.capacity(u, v):
65                 if v == t: return True
66                 q.append(v)
67                 visited[ord(v)] = True
68     return False

```

Listing 5: Python function *augmenting*(*G*, *s*, *t*).

examining if we have visited a node (thus determining whether to add the edge from current node to it), we simply look it up in our *visited* array, which takes  $O(1)$  time. If the sink  $t$  is reached, we get the augmented path by going backwards it with the help of the hash table *prev* we maintained, which also takes at most  $O(|E|)$  time, because only the edges along the augmented path is visited. We can conclude that the overall time complexity of the extended algorithm is  $O(|E|)$ .

```

71 def augmenting_extended(G: Graph,
72                          s: str, t: str) -> Tuple[bool, List[Tuple[str, str]]]:
73     '''
74     Pre: G is a flow network with source s and sink t
75         (so s != t and s is in G and t is in G)
76     Post: None of the parameters are modified
77     Ex: >>> G = Graph(is_directed=True)
78         >>> G.add_edge('a', 'b', capacity=1, flow=0)
79         >>> G.add_edge('b', 'c', capacity=1, flow=0)
80         >>> augmenting_extended(G, 'a', 'c')
81         True, [('a', 'b'), ('b', 'c')]
82         >>> G = Graph(is_directed=True)
83         >>> G.add_edge('a', 'b', capacity=1, flow=2)
84         >>> augmenting_extended(G, 'a', 'b')
85         False, []
86     '''
87     prev = {}
88     q = deque()
89     visited = [False] * 256 # Assume that nodes of G are ASCII characters
90     q.append(s)
91     visited[ord(s)] = True
92     while q:
93         u = q.popleft()
94         for v in G.neighbors(u):
95             if visited[ord(v)]: continue
96             if G.flow(u, v) < G.capacity(u, v):
97                 prev[v] = u
98                 if v == t:
99                     path = []
100                     while v != s:
101                         path.append((prev[v], v))
102                         v = prev[v]
103                     path.reverse()
104                     return True, path
105                 q.append(v)
106                 visited[ord(v)] = True
107     return False, []

```

Listing 6: Python function *augmenting\_extended*(*G*, *s*, *t*).