

**Discussion 3**

Fall 2023

**1. Uncorrelatedness and Independence**

- a. Show that if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are pairwise uncorrelated, then

$$\text{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i).$$

- b. Find an example where a pair of random variables are uncorrelated but not independent.

**Solution:**

- a. By linearity of expectation, pairwise uncorrelatedness of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  implies uncorrelatedness of  $X_1 + \dots + X_k$  and  $X_{k+1}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  (you should verify this yourself). Then, since  $\text{var}(X + Y) = \text{var}(X) + \text{var}(Y)$  for uncorrelated  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \\ &= \text{var}(X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1}) + \text{var}(X_n) \\ &= \text{var}(X_1 + \dots + X_{n-2}) + \text{var}(X_{n-1}) + \text{var}(X_n) \\ & \vdots \\ &= \text{var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{var}(X_n). \end{aligned}$$

- b. Consider  $X \sim \text{Uniform}\{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $Z \sim \text{Uniform}\{-1, 1\}$ , independent of each other ( $Z$  is called a *Rademacher* random variable). Let  $Y = XZ$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(X, Y) &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2 Z] - 0 \cdot 0 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{E}[Z] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

However,  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = 0) &= \mathbb{P}(X = 0) = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \mathbb{P}(X = 0) \mathbb{P}(Y = 0) &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \neq \mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = 0). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. Galton–Watson Branching Process

Consider a population of  $N$  individuals for some positive integer  $N$ . Let  $\xi$  be a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\mathbb{E}(\xi) = \mu$  and  $\text{var}(\xi) = \sigma^2$ . At the end of each year, each individual, independently of all other individuals and generations, leaves behind a number of offspring which has the same distribution as  $\xi$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_n$  denote the size of the population at the end of the  $n$ th year.

- a. Compute  $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$ .
- b. Compute  $\text{var}(X_n | X_{n-1})$ . Then, write  $\text{var}(X_n)$  in terms of  $\text{var}(X_{n-1})$ .

**Solution:**

- a. We first note that  $X_0 = N$ , so  $\mathbb{E}(X_0) = N$  and  $\text{var}(X_0) = 0$ . Then, conditioned on the number of people in the previous year  $X_{n-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X_n) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_n | X_{n-1})) = \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{X_{n-1}} \xi_i | X_{n-1} \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi)) \\ &= \mu \mathbb{E}(X_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

By recursion, we find that  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu^n N$ .

- b. As we computed above,  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | X_{n-1}) = \mu X_{n-1}$ . The conditional variance is  $\text{var}(X_n | X_{n-1}) = \sigma^2 X_{n-1}$ . Then, we have

$$\text{var} X_n = \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2 X_{n-1}] + \text{var}(\mu X_{n-1}) = \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} N + \mu^2 \text{var} X_{n-1}.$$

First, suppose that  $\mu = 1$ . Then, the recurrence simplifies to  $\text{var} X_n = \sigma^2 N + \text{var} X_{n-1}$ , which means that the variance increases linearly:

$$\text{var}(X_n) = \sigma^2 N n.$$

For  $\mu \neq 1$ , the solution to the recurrence is obtained by finding a pattern after a few iterations:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(X_n) &= \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} N + \mu^2 \text{var} X_{n-1} = \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} N + \sigma^2 \mu^n N + \mu^4 \text{var} X_{n-2} \\ &= \dots = \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} N \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^k = \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} N \frac{1 - \mu^n}{1 - \mu}\end{aligned}$$

We have used the formula for a finite geometric series.

### 3. Minimum and Maximum of Exponentials

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ , and  $X_1 \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda_1)$ ,  $X_2 \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda_2)$  are independent. Also, define  $U := \min(X_1, X_2)$  and  $V := \max(X_1, X_2)$ . Show that  $U$  and  $V - U$  are independent.

**Solution:** For  $u, w > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(U \leq u, V - U \leq w, X_1 < X_2) &= \Pr(X_1 \leq u, X_1 < X_2 \leq X_1 + w) \\
 &= \int_0^u \int_{x_1}^{x_1+w} \lambda_2 \exp(-\lambda_2 x_2) dx_2 \lambda_1 \exp(-\lambda_1 x_1) dx_1 \\
 &= \int_0^u \{\exp(-\lambda_2 x_1) - \exp(-\lambda_2(x_1 + w))\} \lambda_1 \exp(-\lambda_1 x_1) dx_1 \\
 &= (1 - \exp(-\lambda_2 w)) \int_0^u \lambda_1 \exp(-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x_1) dx_1 \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} (1 - \exp\{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u\}) (1 - \exp(-\lambda_2 w)).
 \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, interchanging the roles of 1 and 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(U \leq u, V - U \leq w, X_2 < X_1) \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} (1 - \exp\{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u\}) (1 - \exp(-\lambda_1 w)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two expressions yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(U \leq u, V - U \leq w) &= (1 - \exp\{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u\}) p_w, \quad \text{where} \\
 p_w &:= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} (1 - \exp(-\lambda_2 w)) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} (1 - \exp(-\lambda_1 w)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The joint CDF splits into a product of factors  $\Pr(U \leq u) \Pr(V - U \leq w)$  which proves independence. To interpret the second term, observe that  $\lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$  is the probability of the event  $\{X_1 < X_2\}$ ; and conditioned on this event,  $V - U \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda_2)$  by the memoryless property.