

Homework 12

Fall 2023

1. Balls in Bins Estimation

You throw n balls into m bins, where $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$. Each ball lands in each bin with the same probability, independently of all other events. Let X and Y be the number of balls in bin 1 and 2 respectively.

- What is $\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X)$?
- Define $\mathbb{Q}(Y \mid X)$ to be the best quadratic function in X that minimizes mean squared error when used to estimate Y . Without doing any mathematical work, what are $\mathbb{L}(Y \mid X)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(Y \mid X)$? Justify your answer.
- Your friend from UCLA who hasn't learned about the Hilbert space of random variables isn't convinced by your explanation. Use the formula

$$\mathbb{L}(Y \mid X) = \mathbb{E}(Y) + \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))$$

to calculate the LLSE and verify your claim.

Solution:

- $\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X = x) = \frac{n-x}{m-1}$, because conditioned on x balls landing in bin 1, the remaining $n - x$ balls are distributed uniformly among the other $m - 1$ bins. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X) = \frac{n - X}{m - 1}.$$

- By part a, $\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X)$ is a linear function of X . Since the best estimator of Y given X is linear, it must also be the best *linear* and *quadratic* estimator of Y given X , i.e. $\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X)$, $\mathbb{L}(Y \mid X)$, and $\mathbb{Q}(Y \mid X)$ all coincide.
- $X, Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \frac{1}{m})$, so $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \frac{n}{m}$ and $\text{var}(X) = n(\frac{1}{m})(1 - \frac{1}{m})$. Now let X_i be the indicator that ball i falls in bin 1 and Y_j the indicator that ball j falls in bin 2. Then, by the bilinearity of covariance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{cov}(X_i, Y_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{cov}(X_i, Y_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i Y_i) - \mathbb{E}(X_i) \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \\ &= -\frac{n}{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging into the formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}(Y \mid X) &= \frac{n}{m} + \frac{-\frac{n}{m^2}}{n(\frac{1}{m})(1 - \frac{1}{m})} \left(X - \frac{n}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{m} - \frac{1}{m-1} \left(X - \frac{n}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{mn - n - mX + n}{m(m-1)} = \frac{n - X}{m-1},\end{aligned}$$

which indeed equals the MMSE.

2. Gaussian Random Vector MMSE

Consider the Gaussian random vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

and define the sign of Y to be the random variable

$$W = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } Y = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } Y < 0 \end{cases}.$$

- Find $\mathbb{E}(WX | Y)$.
- Is the LLSE $\mathbb{L}(WX | Y)$ the same as the MMSE you found in part a?
- Are WX and Y jointly Gaussian?

Solution:

- As W is a function of Y , $\mathbb{E}(WX | Y) = W \mathbb{E}(X | Y)$. As X, Y are jointly Gaussian,

$$\mathbb{E}(X | Y) = \mathbb{L}(X | Y) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}Y.$$

Putting these two equations together,

$$\mathbb{E}(WX | Y) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{2}Y & \text{if } Y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } Y = 0 \\ -1 - \frac{1}{2}Y & \text{if } Y < 0. \end{cases}$$

- No, the LLSE and MMSE differ. The LLSE is a *linear* function of Y , whose coefficient of Y is constant, whereas the coefficient of Y in the MMSE varies with its sign.
- By part b, WX and Y are not jointly Gaussian, because the LLSE and MMSE coincide for jointly Gaussian random variables.

3. Geometric MMSE

Let N be a geometric random variable with parameter $1 - p$, and $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter λ . Let $T = X_1 + \dots + X_N$. Compute the LLSE and MMSE of N given T .

Hint: Compute the MMSE first.

Solution: First, we calculate $\Pr(N = n \mid T = t)$, for $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(N = n \mid T = t) &= \frac{\Pr(N = n) f_{T|N}(t \mid n)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pr(N = k) f_{T|N}(t \mid k)} \\ &= \frac{(1-p)p^{n-1}\lambda^n t^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} / (n-1)!}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-p)p^{k-1}\lambda^k t^{k-1} e^{-\lambda t} / (k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\lambda p t)^{n-1} / (n-1)!}{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda p t)^{k-1} / (k-1)!} = \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n-1}}{e^{\lambda p t} (n-1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we calculate $\mathbb{E}[N \mid T = t]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[N \mid T = t] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n-1}}{e^{\lambda p t} (n-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n-1}}{e^{\lambda p t} (n-1)!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n-1}}{e^{\lambda p t} (n-1)!} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\lambda p t}{e^{\lambda p t}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} = 1 + \frac{\lambda p t}{e^{\lambda p t}} e^{\lambda p t} = 1 + \lambda p t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the MMSE is $\mathbb{E}[N \mid T] = 1 + \lambda p T$. The MMSE is linear, so it is also the LLSE.

In terms of a Poisson process, T represents the first arrival of a marked Poisson process with rate λ , where arrivals are marked independently with probability $1 - p$. The marked Poisson process has rate $\lambda(1 - p)$. The unmarked points form a Poisson process of rate λp . In time T , the expected number of unmarked points is $\lambda p T$, so the conditional expectation of the number of points at time T , N , is $1 + \lambda p T$.

4. Exam Difficulty

The difficulty of an EECS 126 exam, Θ , is uniformly distributed on $[0, 100]$ (continuously). Alice gets a score X that is uniformly distributed on $[0, \Theta]$, and she wants to estimate the difficulty of the exam given her score.

- a. What is the MLE of Θ ? What is the MAP of Θ ?
- b. What is the LLSE for Θ ?

Solution:

- a. Since the prior on Θ is uniform, the MLE and MAP estimates will be the same. Both are equal to $\hat{\Theta} = X$, as

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x \leq \theta \leq 100} = x.$$

- b. Recall that the LLSE of Θ given X can be found as

$$\mathbb{L}(\Theta | X) = \mathbb{E}(\Theta) + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(\Theta, X)}{\operatorname{var}(X)}(X - \mathbb{E}(X)).$$

First, $\mathbb{E}(\Theta) = 50$ and $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | \Theta)) = \mathbb{E}(\frac{\Theta}{2}) = 25$. Let us find $\operatorname{var}(X)$ using the law of total variance and $\operatorname{cov}(\Theta, X) = \mathbb{E}(\Theta X) - \mathbb{E}(\Theta) \mathbb{E}(X)$:

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{var}(X | \Theta)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\Theta^2}{12}\right) = \int_0^{100} \frac{\theta^2}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{100} d\theta = \frac{10000}{36}.$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\mathbb{E}(X | \Theta)) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\Theta}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{10000}{12} = \frac{10000}{48}.$$

$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{var}(X | \Theta)) + \operatorname{var}(\mathbb{E}(X | \Theta)) = \frac{70000}{144}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\Theta X) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\Theta X | \Theta)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\Theta^2}{2}\right) = \frac{10000}{6}.$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(\Theta, X) = \mathbb{E}(\Theta X) - \mathbb{E}(\Theta) \mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{1250}{3}.$$

Putting everything together, the LLSE is

$$\mathbb{L}(\Theta | X) = 50 + \frac{6}{7}(X - 25).$$

5. Even-Times Kalman Filter

Consider a random process $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with state space model

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n+1} &= aX_n + V_n, & V_n &\sim_{\text{i.i.d.}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_V^2) \\ Y_n &= X_n + W_n, & W_n &\sim_{\text{i.i.d.}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_W^2) \end{aligned}$$

where $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent. We can only observe the process at even times, i.e. we observe the random variables Y_0, Y_2, Y_4, \dots

- Derive a recurrence relation for the estimator $\hat{X}_{2n|2n} := \mathbb{L}(X_{2n} \mid Y_0, Y_2, \dots, Y_{2n})$ in terms of $\hat{X}_{2n-2|2n-2}$.
- Derive a recurrence relation for $\hat{X}_{2n+1|2n}$ in terms of $\hat{X}_{2n|2n}$.

Solution:

- The even-times state transition model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2n+2} &= aX_{2n+1} + V_{2n+1} \\ &= a^2X_{2n} + (aV_{2n} + V_{2n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the new noise terms $aV_{2n} + V_{2n+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (a^2 + 1)\sigma_V^2)$ are also independent. Thus, we can rewrite the Kalman filter equations for the updated model:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_{2n+2|2n+2} &= a^2\hat{X}_{2n|2n} + K_{2n+2}\tilde{Y}_{2n+2} \\ \tilde{Y}_{2n+2} &= Y_{2n+2} - a^2\hat{X}_{2n|2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Kalman gain is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2n+2} &= \frac{\sigma_{2n+2|2n}^2}{\sigma_{2n+2|2n}^2 + \sigma_W^2} \\ \sigma_{2n+2|2n}^2 &= (a^2\sigma_{2n|2n}^2)^2 + (a^2 + 1)\sigma_V^2 \\ \sigma_{2n+2|2n+2}^2 &= (1 - K_{2n+2})\sigma_{2n+2|2n}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- By the linearity of the LLSE,

$$\hat{X}_{2n+1|2n} = a\hat{X}_{2n|2n}.$$

6. Kalman Filter with Correlated Noise

Consider the state space model

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= aX_{n-1} + V_n \\ Y_n &= X_n + V_n, \end{aligned}$$

with $X_0 = 0$ and $(V_n)_{n \geq 0} \sim_{\text{i.i.d.}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Note that the observation noise is the same as the process noise V_n , not independent of it, so this is different from the usual Kalman filter model. Derive recursive update equations for $\hat{X}_{n|n} := \mathbb{L}(X_n | Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$.

Hint: You may use the fact that the equations will be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_{n|n} &= a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1} + K_n \tilde{Y}_n \\ \tilde{Y}_n &= Y_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where you should find the Kalman gain and the estimator covariance recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} K_n &= ? \\ \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 &= a^2 \sigma_{n-1|n-1}^2 + 1 \\ \sigma_{n|n}^2 &= ?(\sigma_{n|n-1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Solution: By the linearity of the LLSE and the innovation $\tilde{Y}_n \perp \text{span}\{1, Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_{n|n} &= \mathbb{L}(X_n | Y_0, \dots, Y_n) \\ &= \mathbb{L}(aX_{n-1} | Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}) + \mathbb{L}(X_n | \tilde{Y}_n) \\ &= a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1} + \frac{\text{cov}(X_n, \tilde{Y}_n)}{\text{var}(\tilde{Y}_n)} \tilde{Y}_n. \end{aligned}$$

To find K_n , the coefficient of \tilde{Y}_n , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(X_n, \tilde{Y}_n) &= \text{cov}(X_n, X_n + V_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}) \\ &= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + \text{cov}(X_n, V_n) \\ &= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 1 \\ \text{var}(\tilde{Y}_n) &= \text{cov}(X_n, \tilde{Y}_n) + \text{cov}(V_n, \tilde{Y}_n) \\ &= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 1 + \text{cov}(V_n, X_n + V_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}) \\ &= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, to update the estimator covariance, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n|n}^2 &= \text{var}(X_n - \hat{X}_{n|n}) \\ &= \text{var}(X_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}) - 2\text{cov}(X_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}, K_n \tilde{Y}_n) + \text{var}(K_n \tilde{Y}_n) \\ &= \text{var}(X_n - a\hat{X}_{n-1|n-1}) - 2\text{cov}(X_n, K_n \tilde{Y}_n) + K_n^2 \text{var}(\tilde{Y}_n) \\ &= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 - 2K_n \text{cov}(X_n, \tilde{Y}_n) + K_n \text{cov}(X_n, \tilde{Y}_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sigma_{n|n-1}^2 - K_n(\sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 1) \\
&= (1 - K_n)\sigma_{n|n-1}^2 - K_n.
\end{aligned}$$

In short, we have the new update equations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n &= \frac{\sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 1}{\sigma_{n|n-1}^2 + 3}, \\
\sigma_{n|n}^2 &= (1 - K_n)\sigma_{n|n-1}^2 - K_n.
\end{aligned}$$