

o13.3.1 Arch Styles p 258

Data-Centered Arch

Big DB, many **CRUD** clients (Create, Read, Update, and Delete data)

Issues:

ACID: Atomicity Consistency Isolation Durability

Atomicity: all or nothing rule (parts of xtn)

Consistency: only valid data in db (wrt constraints)

Isolation: seq of overlapping xtens can't interfere w each other

Durability: DB atomicity if crash during xtn

BASE: (Wordplay on “ACID” from Chemistry) [**Nox**]

Basic Availability (AKA local availability)

Soft-state (AKA inconsistent for newest changes)

Eventual consistency (AKA consistent for older changes)

o- Badly chosen expressions (hard to remember)

CAP theorem:

Consistency: all processors see same thing

no Avail: (by delaying local access till remote change is here too)

no Partition: (by having no remote processors)

Availability: distant changes available immediately

(by delaying local access)

can't have **Consistency, Availability, and Partition** tolerance,

you have to settle for two out of three.

(Eric Brewer) [**Nox**]

MVC: Model-View-Controller (**Model does calc & state**; Views display;

Controllers provide input & display manipulation)

o- Model has fcn call API. Model tells each View about state updates. Ctrl calls API.

Publish-Subscribe Arch: Subscribers **sign up for data updates** with Publisher.

Client-Server Arch: Server has agents to help handle big DB, Client does all UI.

Data-Flow Arch

Raw data passes through network of transforms/filters; UNIX “pipes and filters”

Call/Return Arch: simplest: call fcn, & **wait** (“**blocking**”) to get its return value.

RPC Arch: (Remote Procedure Call (AKA Call-Ret)) multiple 'nodes', each running async

(*) **Blocking** (wait for answer from remote machine)

Callback Arch: Pass fcn to call when done, and continue with your stuff till answer comes

AKA **Non-Blocking Call** – Relies on O.S. Interrupt handling

Polling Arch: Opposite of Callback: Caller keeps asking if anything is ready to use.

Event-Loop Arch: Simulation, RTOS (Realtime O.S.)

Agent Arch: (AKA OO Arch) agents send msgs to each other

P2P Arch (Peer-to-Peer Network Arch)

Network Archs

Layered Arch: Lower Layer provides concepts/tools/fcns used by next higher level.

Main EX: TCP/IP: 4-layers App level down to Net Packet level.

Tiered Arch: (Side-by-Side) Cli-Svr is a 2-Tier arch; Cli-BizLogic-Svr(+DB) 3-Tier

o12.2.1 Software Quality Guidelines and Attributes p227

- o- **Non-Fcnl Reqts** (AKA **Quality Reqts**) (AKA the "Ilities")

FURPS

- o- **F-unctionality** (Actually, NOT a **non-Fcnl** Reqt; included by “committee”)
- o- **U-sability** (Doesn't make the user work hard [UI Rule #1])
- o- **R-eliability** (~ always works when the user needs it)
- o- **P-erformance** (AKA Efficiency) (**fast**, small, frugal)
- o- **S-upportability** (AKA Maintainability) (easy to **find/fix/extend**)

o19. Quality Concepts p412**o19.2.3 ISO 9126 Quality Factors p418**

FURPS + **Portability** (to another CPU, OS, Framework, Platform, Language)

- o- Was superseded a few years ago (now with 30+ ilities, and counting)

o20. Review Techniques p 431

- o- *“The later you find a mistake/defect, the costlier the fix.”*

Kinds, for coding: (usuallly by team mgmt, of junior coders)

- o- **desk check**: informal, by yourself, go over the code, try to find mistakes (design & code)
- o- **walk-through**: formal, go over the code w/ 3-6 onlookers, task issues to be fixed
 - o-- time-consuming, so expensive
- o- **inspection** (Gilb93 nox): formal, go over randomized (say ~ 20%) sample of code, pass if no issues in sample (*) saves time
 - ** Some people use “walk-through” and “inspection” as **synonyms**.
- *- XP's **pair pgmg** has built-in "continuous review" (two heads at one desk/screen/kybd)
 - o-- Mgmt: paying two people to do the work of one?

- *- **"Lessons Learned"** **after project** (AKA Post-mortem analysis)

- o-- to improve processes for next project
- o- Agile **“retrospective”**, after each “sprint” (AKA devel period)
 - o-- velocity & quality, stats
 - o-- story points estim: error bars, trend line
 - o-- workflow hiccups
 - o-- umbrella issues // outside dev team
 - o-- big **tech debt** issues (**Tech debt** is stuff you saw that **needs cleanup**, but wasn't)

o21. SW Quality Assurance p 448

SQA

Goal: that stds are actually followed

Audits: **Reviews** are called Audits

Testing: ** To find errors (Mindset: “**Break it**”) (AKA **Red-Team**, playing “bad guys”)

SQA checks test planning, test execution processes, and test result docs

(*) **Improve via measurement**

"To measure is to know."

"If you can not measure it, you can not improve it."

Q: What are you not measuring?

Q: Can your measurements **predict reliably**? (most S/W measurements don't)

Q: What % of devr time do your measurements **take away from productivity**?

Q: Is it simple to measure? Does measurement involve more than 4 parameters?

o- If more than 4, probably not worthwhile

Std:

LOC/SLOC (KLOC/KSLOC) = Lines of Code or S/W Lines of Code

(big error bars – 3x?)

Function Points (>4 parms)

Cyclomatic Complexity

(Works for small fcns, mostly)