# Heuristic Analysis

The book "Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach" gives three tips to build an evaluation function. They are:

- 1. The evaluation function should order the terminal states in the same way as the true utility function.
- 2. The computation must not take too long.
- 3. The evaluation function should be strongly correlated with the actual chances of winning for the terminal states.

So, looking at the Isolation game context, we have to use something to measure the utility of the given state that should be simple and fast. The basic way to evaluate a state is using the quantity of the available moves. The available moves are somehow connected to the position on the board. The center of the board we have 8 available moves. As we get close to the wall we have less options. And finally, the corners are the worst position.

### **Evaluation Function 1**

The function was given by:

f = 3 \* sum(weights of own moves) - sum(weights of the opponent moves)

The weights is defined on the following table.

2	3	4	4	4	3	2
3	4	6	6	6	4	3
4	6	8	8	8	6	4
4	6	8	8	8	6	4
4	6	8	8	8	6	4
3	4	6	6	6	4	3
2	3	4	4	4	3	2

Table 1 - Board weights

This is a weighted linear function. This approach is similar to the "Custom Score 3", however this one uses weights that gives some positional information about the board.

### **Evaluation Function 2**

The function was given by:

$$f = own moves - 3 * opponent moves$$

This function works in an offensive way. It will be chasing the opponent trying to minimize his available spaces.

Blindly chasing the opponent isn't a good strategy, since the agent takes less consideration about own available moves.

### **Evaluation Function 3**

The function was given by:

$$f = 3 * own\_moves - opponent\_moves$$

This function works in a defensive way. It will be moving in order to maximize its own available moves.

### Results

I ran the tournament 5 times, so:

- the Evaluation Function was able to achieve over 70% winning rate on all tournaments compared to the "AB\_Improved" that achieved on 4 tournaments;
- the Evaluation Function 2 seems to perform better than the Evaluation Function 3, since the perform was better in 4 tournaments.

It seems that working exclusively on a defensive strategy is better than working exclusively on an offensive way. And adding more information about the board, the agent might slightly perform better.

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved Won   Lost	AB_Custom Won   Lost	AB_Custom_2 Won   Lost	AB_Custom_3 Won   Lost		
		·			·		
1	Random	10   0	9   1	9   1	10   0		
2	MM_Open	6   4	9   1	5   5	6   4		
3	MM_Center	8   2	10   0	10   0	7   3		
4	${\tt MM\_Improved}$	9   1	7   3	6   4	6   4		
5	AB_Open	6   4	6   4	5   5	5   5		
6	AB_Center	7   3	6   4	5   5	6   4		
7	AB_Improved	6   4	3   7	4   6	5   5		
	Win Rate:	74.3%	 71.4%	62.9%	64.3%		

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### Playing Matches

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved			AB_Custom			AB_C	usto	om_2	AB_Custom_3		
		Won	]	Lost	Won		Lost	Won	]	Lost	Won		Lost
1	Random	9		1	10		0	10		0	10		0
2	MM_Open	6		4	8		2	9		1	9		1
3	MM_Center	10		0	8		2	9		1	10		0
4	${\tt MM\_Improved}$	8		2	6		4	6		4	6		4
5	AB_Open	5		5	7		3	6		4	5		5
6	AB_Center	7		3	5		5	4		6	7		3
7	AB_Improved	5	I	5	6		4	5	I	5	5		5

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Win Rate:

## Playing Matches

\*\*\*\*\*

71.4% 71.4% 70.0% 74.3%

Match #	Opponent	AB_I: Won	-	oved Lost	AB_ Won	Cus 	tom Lost	AB_C		om_2 Lost	AB_C Won		om_3 Lost
1	Random	10	1	0	9		1	9		1	8		2
2	MM_Open	8		2	7		3	6		4	10		0
3	MM_Center	6		4	9		1	6		4	9		1
4	${\tt MM\_Improved}$	8		2	8		2	6		4	6		4
5	AB_Open	4		6	6		4	5		5	4		6
6	AB_Center	5		5	6		4	8		2	4		6
7	AB_Improved	4	 	6	7	 	3	6		4	4	 	6

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### Playing Matches

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Win Rate: 64.3% 74.3% 65.7% 64.3%

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved	AB_Custom	AB_Custom_2	AB_Custom_3			
		Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost			
1	Random	9   1	10   0	9   1	7   3			
2	MM_Open	8   2	9   1	7   3	8   2			
3	MM_Center	8   2	7   3	8   2	8   2			
4	${\tt MM\_Improved}$	8   2	8   2	6   4	8   2			
5	AB_Open	5   5	5   5	4   6	6   4			
6	AB_Center	7   3	6   4	5   5	7   3			
7	AB_Improved	6   4	6   4	5   5	4   6			
	Win Rate:	72.9%	72.9%	62.9%	68.6%			

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### 

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom			AB_C	om_2	AB_Custom_3				
		Won		Lost	Won		Lost	Won	]	Lost	Won		Lost
1	Random	9		1	10		0	10		0	10		0
2	MM_Open	9		1	9		1	8		2	9		1
3	MM_Center	10		0	8		2	8		2	8		2
4	$\mathtt{MM}\_\mathtt{Improved}$	5		5	7		3	8		2	8		2
5	AB_Open	5		5	6	-	4	7		3	5		5
6	AB_Center	6		4	8	-	2	4		6	7		3
7	AB_Improved	5	I	5	4	-	6	5	1	5	6		4

Win Rate: 70.0% 74.3% 71.4% 75.7%