

PROJECT

Implement a Planning Search

A part of the Artificial Intelligence Nanodegree and Specializations Program

	CODE REVIEW
	NOTES
	our accomplishment! 🏏 🚹 res Changes
1 SPECIFIC	ATION REQUIRES CHANGES
Hi there,	
	have done a great work bint is incomplete, please, see the detail below.
Keep up th Sincerely Leticia @letyrodri	at Slack
Plannin	g Problem Representation
The prob	plems and class methods in the my_air_cargo_problems.py module are correctly represented.

****************************** air_cargo_p1 returns the correct initial fluents: air_cargo_p1 returns the correct goal fluents: air_cargo_p1 returns an object of type problem: air_cargo_p1 returns the correct initial values: air_cargo_p2 returns the correct initial fluents: air_cargo_p2 returns the correct goal fluents: air_cargo_p2 returns an object of type problem: air_cargo_p2 returns the correct initial values: air_cargo_p3 returns the correct initial fluents: air_cargo_p3 returns the correct goal fluents: air_cargo_p3 returns an object of type problem: air_cargo_p3 returns the correct initial values: AirCargoProblem correctly lists possible actions in a given state: AirCargoProblem correctly constructs all possible actions: AirCargoProblem correctly updates state for a given action: AirCargoProblem yields a correct solution when input to breadth_first: . . - Test Passed F - Test Failed E - Error

An optimal sequence of actions is identified for each problem in the written report.

You submitted an optimal plan for each problem

Automated Heuristics

Automated heuristics "ignore-preconditions" and "level-sum" (planning graph) are correctly implemented.

<pre>competing_needs_mutex behaves correctly:</pre>	•
<pre>inconsistent_effects_mutex behaves correctly:</pre>	•
<pre>inconsistent_support_mutex behaves correctly:</pre>	•
<pre>interference_mutex behaves correctly:</pre>	•
negation_mutex behaves correctly:	•
Serialization of mutexes is correct:	
levelsum heuristic behaves correctly:	
	-
Test Passed F - Test Failed E - Error	

Performance Comparison

At least three uninformed planning algorithms (including breadth- and depth-first search) are compared on all three problems, and at least two automatic heuristics are used with A* search for planning on all three problems including "ignore-preconditions" and "level-sum" from the Planning Graph.

You included results of three uninformed searches and A* with ignore preconditions and levelsum heuristics. Summary of the searches:

Uninformed search

Informed search

A brief report lists (using a table and any appropriate visualizations) and verbally describes the performance of the algorithms on the problems compared, including the optimality of the solutions, time elapsed, and the number of node expansions required.

You provided tables showing plan length, expansions, goal tests, new nodes, and time elapsed. You have verbally described the results.

The report explains the reason for the observed results using at least one appropriate justification from the video lessons or from outside resources (e.g., Norvig and Russell's textbook).

Good conclusions!

Notice that this rubric point states:

using at least one appropriate justification from the video lessons or from outside resources (e.g., Norvig and Russell's textbook).

Required

Provide an inline citation/reference to a classroom lesson, AIMA Book or website justifying one of your statements.

For example,

BFS always finds an optimal solution as explained in AIMA book, Peter Norvig, 3rd edition, pp84.

Research Review

The report includes a summary of at least three key developments in the field of AI planning and search.

You wrote about SATPlan, GraphPlan, and STRIPS

The Introductions to Algorithms book by Thomas Cormen has an interesting proof of the SAT problem and a good discussion about NP Complete Problems.

Additional resources:

GRAPHPLAN

STRIPS

☑ RESUBMIT

■ DOWNLOAD PROJECT

Learn the best practices for revising and resubmitting your project.

RETURN TO PATH

Student FAQ