

# Unit – 4

# **Software Coding and Testing**

---

Thanki Kunal

Lecturer, Government Polytechnic-Porbandar



## 4.1 Coding and Code Review

# Coding

---

- ❑ The input to the coding phase is the design document.
- ❑ During coding phase:
  - modules identified in the design document are coded according to the module specifications.
  - Unit test modules
- ❑ At the end of the design phase we have:
  - module structure (e.g. structure chart) of the system
  - module specifications:
    - ❑ data structures and algorithms for each module.
- ❑ **Objective of coding phase:**
  - transform design into code
  - unit test the code.

# Coding Standards

---

- ❑ Good software development organizations require their programmers to adhere to some standard style of coding: called ***coding standards***.
- ❑ Many software development organizations: formulate their own coding standards that suits them most
- ❑ **Advantages** of using Coding Standards:
  - it gives a **uniform appearance** to the codes written by different engineers,
  - it enhances **code understanding**,
  - encourages **good programming practices**.

# Coding Standards and Guidelines

---

- A coding standard sets out standard ways of doing several things:
  - Header information,
  - the way variables are named,
  - code is properly indented
  - maximum number of source lines allowed per function, etc.
  - Use of proper comment

# Representative Coding Standards

---

## □ Contents of headers for different modules:

- The headers of different modules should be standard for an organization.
- The exact format for header information is usually specified.

## □ Header data:

- Name of the module,
- date on which the module was created,
- author's name,
- modification history,
- synopsis of the module,
- different functions supported, along with their input/output parameters,
- global variables accessed/modified by the module.

# Representative Coding Standards

---

- ❑ Rules for limiting the use of globals:
  - what types of data can be declared global and what can not.
- ❑ Naming conventions for
  - global variables,
  - local variables, and
  - constant identifiers.
- ❑ Error return conventions and exception handling mechanisms.
  - the way error and exception conditions are handled should be standard within an organization.
  - For example, when different functions encounter error conditions
  - should either return a 0 or 1 consistently.

# Representative Coding Guidelines

---

- ❑ Do not use too clever and difficult to understand coding style:
  - Code should be easy to understand.
- ❑ Avoid obscure side effects:
  - one that is not obvious from a casual examination of the code.
  - makes later maintenance difficult.
  - The side effects of a function call include:
    - ❑ modification of parameters passed by reference,
    - ❑ modification of global variables,
    - ❑ I/O operations.
  - For example,
    - ❑ if a global variable is changed obscurely in a called module, it becomes difficult for anybody trying to understand the code.



# Representative Coding Guidelines

---

- Do not use an identifier (variable name) for multiple purposes:
  - Programmers often use the same identifier for multiple purposes.
  - For example, some programmers use a temporary loop variable also for storing the final result.

```
for(i=1;i<100;i++)  
    {.....}  
    i=2*p*q;  
return(i);
```

- There are several things wrong with this approach, hence should be avoided.
- Each variable should be given a name indicating its purpose:
  - This is not possible if an identifier is used for multiple purposes.

# Representative Coding Guidelines

---

- ❑ Code should be well-documented.
  - ❑ on the average there must be at least one comment line for every three source lines.
  - ❑ The length of any function should not exceed 10 source lines.
- ❑ Avoid Lengthy functions:
  - ❑ usually very difficult to understand
  - ❑ probably do too many different things.
- ❑ Do not use goto statements.
  - Use of go to statements:
    - ❑ make a program unstructured
    - ❑ make it very difficult to understand.

# Code Review

---

- After a module has been coded,
  - ***code inspection*** and ***code walk through*** are carried out
  - ensures that coding standards are followed
  - helps detect as many errors as possible before testing.
  
- Detect as many errors as possible during inspection and walkthrough:
  - detected errors require less effort for correction
  - much higher effort needed if errors were to be detected during integration or system testing.

# Code Review

---

- Reviewer checks for :
  - Potential flaws of code
  - Consistency with overall program design
  - Quality of comment
  - General rules of coding standard
  
- Two types of code review
  - Code walkthrough
  - Code inspection

# Code Walk Through

- 
- ❑ An **informal code analysis** technique.
    - author presents the code to **peers for feedback**.
    - It is more **focused on learning**, discussion, and identifying potential improvements **rather than detecting defects**.
  - ❑ **Key Features**
    - **Less Formal**: No strict roles or predefined checklists.
    - **Interactive**: The author explains the code, and reviewers provide feedback.
    - **Focus on Learning**: Helps team members understand the codebase and discuss potential improvements.
    - **Minimal Preparation**: No extensive analysis before the meeting is required.
  - ❑ The main objectives of the code walk through is to discover the **algorithmic and logical errors in the code**.
-

# Code Walk Through

---

## □ **Process of Code Walkthrough**

- **Preparation (Optional)** – The author may provide background on the code.
- **Presentation** – The author walks the team through the code.
- **Discussion & Feedback** – Peers provide feedback on logic, efficiency, and clarity.
- **Revision** – The author incorporates the suggested changes.

# Code Walk Through

---

## □ **Advantages of Code Walkthrough**

- ✓ Encourages collaboration and knowledge sharing.
- ✓ Helps new developers understand the project.
- ✓ Provides quick feedback with minimal overhead.

## □ **Disadvantages of Code Walkthrough**

- ✗ May miss defects due to its informal nature.
- ✗ Lacks structured defect tracking and metrics.

# Code Inspection

---

- In contrast to code walk through,
  - Code Inspection is a **formal, rigorous review process** where a team thoroughly examines the code against predefined standards
  - Code inspection aims mainly at **discovery of commonly made errors.**
- During code inspection:
  - the code is examined for the **presence of certain kinds of errors,**
  - in contrast to the hand simulation of code execution done in code walk through. **Standards are checked**



# Code Inspection

---

## ☐ **Common specific Errors:**

- Use of uninitialized variables.
- Non terminating loops.
- Array indices out of bounds.
- Incompatible assignments.
- Improper storage allocation and deallocation.
- Actual and formal parameter mismatch in procedure calls.
- Jumps into loops.
- Use of incorrect logical operators or incorrect precedence among operators.
- Improper modification of loop variables.
- Comparison of equality of floating point values, etc.

# Code Inspection

---

- For instance, consider:
  - classical error of writing a **procedure that modifies a formal parameter**
  - while the **calling routine** calls the procedure **with a constant actual parameter.**
- It is more likely that such an error will be discovered:
  - by looking for this kind of mistakes in the code,
  - rather than by simply hand simulating execution of the procedure.

# Code Inspection

---

## □ Key Features

- **Highly Structured:** Follows a predefined process with specific roles.
- **Formal Process:** Uses checklists, predefined review criteria, and detailed documentation.
- **Focus on Defects:** The primary goal is to find issues, not to discuss alternative solutions.
- **Requires Preparation:** Reviewers must analyze the code before the inspection meeting.
- **Metrics-Based:** Collects data on defects and the review process for future improvements.

# Code Inspection

---

## □ Roles in Code Inspection

- **Moderator (Facilitator)** – Organizes the review process and ensures adherence to guidelines.
- **Author (Developer)** – Writes the code and provides necessary documentation.
- **Reviewer (Inspector)** – Checks for defects based on coding standards and checklists.
- **Recorder (Scribe)** – Documents the issues found during the inspection.
- **Manager (Optional)** – Oversees the review process and ensures compliance.

# Code Inspection

---

## □ **Process of Code Inspection**

- **Planning** – Moderator schedules the review and selects the team.
- **Preparation** – Reviewers analyze the code and use checklists to identify defects.
- **Inspection Meeting** – The team discusses identified issues and logs defects.
- **Rework** – The author corrects the identified defects.
- **Follow-up** – The moderator verifies whether the fixes are implemented correctly.

# Code Inspection

---

## □ **Advantages of Code Inspection**

- ✓ Detects a high number of defects early.
- ✓ Improves software quality and maintainability.
- ✓ Enhances compliance with coding standards.
- ✓ Facilitates knowledge sharing among team members.

## □ **Disadvantages of Code Inspection**

- ✗ Time-consuming due to its structured nature.
- ✗ Requires significant preparation and resources.

# Examples:

---

## ◆ 1 Code Walkthrough Example

### 📌 What is a Code Walkthrough?

A **code walkthrough** is an informal review process where the developer explains their code to peers or a reviewer to get feedback. The goal is to improve logic, readability, and maintainability.

### 👤 Scenario:

A developer has implemented a **cart discount feature** in a C-based code. They walk through the code with a senior developer to ensure correctness.

### ✅ Code to Walk Through (Coupon Discount in Checkout)

```
#include <stdio.h>

// Function to apply discount
float applyDiscount(float cartTotal, float discountPercentage) {
    if (discountPercentage < 0 || discountPercentage > 100) {
        printf("Invalid discount percentage!\n");
        return cartTotal;
    }
    float discount = (discountPercentage / 100) * cartTotal;
    float finalTotal = cartTotal - discount;
    return finalTotal;
}

// Main function for testing
int main() {
    float total = 500.0;
    float discount = 10.0;

    float finalAmount = applyDiscount(total, discount);
    printf("Final Total after Discount: %.2f\n", finalAmount);

    return 0;
}
```



---

## Walkthrough Discussion & Feedback:

- ✅ **Correctly calculates discount** ✅
- ❌ **No validation for negative cart total** – Needs a check.
- ❌ **No unit tests provided** – Should be tested with various values.
- ✅ **Good function separation** – `applyDiscount()` is independent of `main()`.

### ◆ Suggested Improvement:

- **Add validation** to check if `cartTotal` is negative.
- **Write unit tests** to check cases like 0% and 100% discounts.

---

## ◆ 2 Code Inspection Example

### 📌 What is Code Inspection?

A **code inspection** is a **formal** review where experienced developers inspect the code against **coding standards, security, and performance issues**. The process is usually **documented**.

### 👤 Scenario:

A security expert and senior developer inspect the **payment processing code** for vulnerabilities before deployment.




```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
// Function to process payment
int processPayment(const char* cardNumber, float amount) {
    if (strlen(cardNumber) != 16) {
        printf("Invalid card number!\n");
        return 0; // Payment failed
    }
    if (amount <= 0) {
        printf("Invalid payment amount!\n");
        return 0; // Payment failed
    }
    // Simulating payment processing
    printf("Processing payment of %.2f...\n", amount);
    return 1; // Payment successful
}

int main() {
    char card[20] = "1234567812345678"; // Test card number
    float amount = 100.50;
    int status = processPayment(card, amount);
    if (status) { printf("Payment Successful!\n"); }
    else {
        printf("Payment Failed!\n");
    }
    return 0;
}
```





---

## **Code Inspection Findings & Issues:**

### **Security Issues:**

-  **No encryption for card details** – Sensitive data should never be stored or processed in plain text.
-  **Hardcoded card number for testing** – Risky practice, should be dynamically inputted.
-  **No logging of failed payments** – Debugging will be difficult.

### **Performance & Best Practices:**

-  **Validates card length correctly** 
-  **No input sanitization** – Should check for non-numeric characters.
-  **Lack of modular design** – `processPayment()` should be part of a separate payment module.

## ◆ Suggested Fixes:

### ✓ Remove Hardcoded Card Number

Use user input instead:

```
c

char card[20];
printf("Enter your card number: ");
scanf("%19s", card);
```

### ✓ Implement Logging for Failed Transactions

```
c

#include <time.h>
void logPaymentFailure(const char* reason) {
    FILE *logFile = fopen("payment_errors.log", "a");
    if (logFile) {
        time_t now = time(NULL);
        fprintf(logFile, "%s - Payment failed: %s\n", ctime(&now), reason);
        fclose(logFile);
    }
}
```

# Key Differences Between Walkthrough & Inspection

---

Feature	Code Walkthrough	Code Inspection
Type	Informal	Formal
Goal	Improve code logic, readability	Find defects, security issues
Participants	Developer + Peers	Experts (Senior Devs, QA, Security)
Output	Suggestions for improvement	Documented report with fixes