

Literature review and thesis proposal  
MRes. Neurotechnology

---

**Investigating plasticity in  
Cortico-Basal Ganglia-Thalamus models  
to improve stimulation-based treatments**

---

Aaron Panaiteanu  
(*CID*: 02054726)

**Supervisor:**  
Hayriye Cagnan

Word Count: ????

January 24, 2025

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction / Abstract?</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Background and literature review</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Parkinson's Disease . . . . .	2
2.2	DBS: theory and practice . . . . .	2
2.3	Stimulating at the right time? . . . . .	2
2.4	Plasticity to recover network states . . . . .	2
2.5	Neuron-level vs. Mean-field models . . . . .	2
2.6	**Other ways of improving stimulation-based treatments** . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Project Plan</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Aims . . . . .	3
3.2	Methodolgy . . . . .	3
3.3	Timeline . . . . .	3
	<b>References</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>6</b>
A	First appendix . . . . .	6
B	Second appendix . . . . .	6

# 1 Introduction / Abstract?

**\*\*Stylistically, I would prefer to do an abstract here and breakdown the concepts in the following section\*\***

## 2 Background and literature review

**\*\*start this off nicely with a diagram of the research gap\*\***

### 2.1 Parkinson's Disease

*Outline* [Del Rey et al., 2018]

**\*\* 1. Loss of SNc dopaminergic neurons.\*\***

**\*\* 2. indirect GPe → STN pathway ↑, hyperdirect Cortex → STN pathway ↓. (dimmer switch model [Helmich et al., 2012], [West et al., 2022])\*\***

**\*\* add diagram \*\***

**\*\* 3. Hypersynchrony in the Basal Ganglia.\*\***

### 2.2 DBS: theory and practice

**\*\*DBS as the state of the art in treatment.\*\***

**\*\*Limitations of DBS (invasiveness, side effects, it needs to be on permanently, why 130Hz? when tremors are ~ 20Hz)\*\***

**⇒ plenty of things to be improved**

**\*\*citations needed\*\***

**\*\*also should probably add a bit on other types of stimulation\*\***

(e.g. tACS [Saturnino et al., 2017] [Schwab et al., 2020] [Schwab et al., 2019])

### 2.3 Stimulating at the right time?

**\*\*important\*\*** [Cagnan et al., 2017] [Beudel et al., 2018] [West et al., 2022]

### 2.4 Plasticity to recover network states

**\*\*mention\*\*** [Lebedev and Nicolelis, 2017] [Cramer et al., 2011]

### 2.5 Neuron-level vs. Mean-field models

**\*\*briefly, in general and expand in the context of plasticity\*\***

**\*\*cover\*\*** [Jansen and Rit, 1995] ([Hodgkin et al., 1952] does this really need to be cited?)

**\*\*important\*\*** [Terman et al., 2002] [Rubin et al., 2012] [Duchet et al., 2023]

[Shupe and Fetz, 2021] [Schwab et al., 2020]

### 2.6 **\*\*Other ways of improving stimulation-based treatments\*\***

**\*\*stimulation parameter optimizations, closing the loop (e.g. aDBS [Beudel et al., 2018])\*\***

*Maybe this can be folded into the stimulating at the right time part since they are pretty closely related. Also should mention the idea that these methods are not mutually exclusive, meaning that in principle they could be combined, adaptive stim closing the loop, with inducing plastic changes as target*

## 3 Project Plan

### 3.1 Aims

1. Model neuroplasticity in a Parkinsonian CGBT network
2. Investigate the viability of harnessing plasticity to remove the system from the pathological state and analyze the dynamics that follow  
\*\*here i care about things like for how long and how does the network change. To what degree can we induce changes etc.\*\*  
\*\*should look into viable timescales + noise analyze how long does it take to rebound to pathological state\*\*
3. Try to link potential results to potential stimulation protocols?

### 3.2 Methodolgy

\*\*How indeed?\*\* HH/IF Pakrkinsoni model + plasticity rules, trying different stimulation-based protocols (link with experimental data?)  
\*\*look into how to tune IF model parameters from experimental data\*\*

### 3.3 Timeline

\*\*Gant chart thingy\*\*

## References

- [Beudel et al., 2018] Beudel, M., Cagnan, H., and Little, S. (2018). Adaptive brain stimulation for movement disorders. *Current Concepts in Movement Disorder Management*, 33:230–242.
- [Cagnan et al., 2017] Cagnan, H., Pedrosa, D., Little, S., Pogosyan, A., Cheeran, B., Aziz, T., Green, A., Fitzgerald, J., Foltynie, T., Limousin, P., et al. (2017). Stimulating at the right time: phase-specific deep brain stimulation. *Brain*, 140(1):132–145.
- [Cramer et al., 2011] Cramer, S. C., Sur, M., Dobkin, B. H., O’Brien, C., Sanger, T. D., Trojanowski, J. Q., Rumsey, J. M., Hicks, R., Cameron, J., Chen, D., et al. (2011). Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. *Brain*, 134(6):1591–1609.
- [Del Rey et al., 2018] Del Rey, N. L.-G., Quiroga-Varela, A., Garbayo, E., Carballo-Carbajal, I., Fernández-Santiago, R., Monje, M. H., Trigo-Damas, I., Blanco-Prieto, M. J., and Blesa, J. (2018). Advances in parkinson’s disease: 200 years later. *Frontiers in neuroanatomy*, 12:113.
- [Duchet et al., 2023] Duchet, B., Bick, C., and Byrne, Æ. (2023). Mean-field approximations with adaptive coupling for networks with spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *Neural Computation*, 35(9):1481–1528.
- [Helmich et al., 2012] Helmich, R. C., Hallett, M., Deuschl, G., Toni, I., and Bloem, B. R. (2012). Cerebral causes and consequences of parkinsonian resting tremor: a tale of two circuits? *Brain*, 135(11):3206–3226.
- [Hodgkin et al., 1952] Hodgkin, A. L., Huxley, A. F., and Katz, B. (1952). Measurement of current-voltage relations in the membrane of the giant axon of loligo. *The Journal of physiology*, 116(4):424.
- [Jansen and Rit, 1995] Jansen, B. H. and Rit, V. G. (1995). Electroencephalogram and visual evoked potential generation in a mathematical model of coupled cortical columns. *Biological cybernetics*, 73(4):357–366.
- [Lebedev and Nicolelis, 2017] Lebedev, M. A. and Nicolelis, M. A. (2017). Brain-machine interfaces: from basic science to neuroprostheses and neurorehabilitation. *Physiological reviews*, 97(2):767–837.
- [Rubin et al., 2012] Rubin, J. E., McIntyre, C. C., Turner, R. S., and Wichmann, T. (2012). Basal ganglia activity patterns in parkinsonism and computational modeling of their downstream effects. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(2):2213–2228.
- [Saturnino et al., 2017] Saturnino, G. B., Madsen, K. H., Siebner, H. R., and Thielscher, A. (2017). How to target inter-regional phase synchronization with dual-site transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Neuroimage*, 163:68–80.
- [Schwab et al., 2020] Schwab, B. C., König, P., and Engel, A. K. (2020). Spike-timing-dependent plasticity can account for aftereffects of dual-site transcranial alternating current stimulation. *bioRxiv*.
- [Schwab et al., 2019] Schwab, B. C., Misselhorn, J., and Engel, A. K. (2019). Modulation of large-scale cortical coupling by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Brain stimulation*, 12(5):1187–1196.

- [Shupe and Fetz, 2021] Shupe, L. and Fetz, E. (2021). An integrate-and-fire spiking neural network model simulating artificially induced cortical plasticity. *Eneuro*, 8(2).
- [Terman et al., 2002] Terman, D., Rubin, J. E., Yew, A., and Wilson, C. (2002). Activity patterns in a model for the subthalamopallidal network of the basal ganglia. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 22(7):2963–2976.
- [West et al., 2022] West, T. O., Magill, P. J., Sharott, A., Litvak, V., Farmer, S. F., and Cagnan, H. (2022). Stimulating at the right time to recover network states in a model of the cortico-basal ganglia-thalamic circuit. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 18(3):1–32.

## Appendices

A First appendix

B Second appendix