

**IVEY'S CASE STUDY ANALYSIS: HOUSE, HEARTH, AND  
HOME – MANAGING LEADERSHIP CHANGE  
LEADERSHIP DYNAMICS AT HOUSE, HEARTH, AND HOME**

**BY: CHINENYE MAUREEN NWINYI**

**Student I.D - NF1021369**

**Graduate Foundation Program,  
University of Niagara Falls, Canada.**

**Leadership and Management - BUSI 420-3**

**Professor: Mohammed Jalabi.**

**October 21, 2024.**

## **ASSESSING AND ANALYZING THE IVEY CASE STUDY: “HOUSE, HEARTH, AND HOME”**

### **1. HOME, HEARTH, AND HOME’S COMPETITIVE BUSINESS STRATEGY**

The Ivey case study “House, Hearth, and Home” (HHH) highlights how the company’s business strategy focuses on delivering exceptional customer service through a performance-driven, customer-centric, and operationally efficient model. HHH competes by fostering personalized customer experiences to differentiate itself in a crowded market. Here are some key elements of its business strategy:

- **Superior Customer Service Focus:** HHH makes customer service a strategic priority, recognizing that high-quality, responsive service is a primary driver of customer loyalty. This focus positions the company to stand out in a retail environment where service quality can be more valuable than price competition.
- **Leadership and Employee Development:** HHH demonstrates a strong commitment to leadership development, as seen in the introduction of Dan Boyd as assistant yard manager. His role emphasizes employee performance enhancement, which directly improves service quality and operational efficiency.
- **Change Management and Leadership Transition:** The case also explores leadership transitions. The challenge lies in managing the balance between honoring Wesley Simpson, the long-serving manager, and ensuring new

leadership continues to push for performance improvements.

- **Continuous Performance Improvement:** HHH relies on structured feedback systems to stay aligned with customer expectations. Its adaptive approach to performance ensures it remains competitive over time by continuously improving service delivery.

## 1a. HOW HHH STANDS OUT FROM COMPETITORS

While other businesses offer similar services, HHH distinguishes itself through:

- **Employee Engagement and Leadership Depth:** HHH prioritizes leadership development, fostering an environment of continuous improvement and employee motivation.
- **Tailored Service Delivery:** Boyd's personal, relationship-based management style provides customers with a consistent and unique service experience.
- **Strategic Leadership Adaptation:** HHH excels in navigating organizational change, maintaining service quality while introducing new management approaches without disrupting operations.

## 1b. HUMAN RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS OF HHH'S STRATEGY

HHH's strategy brings both opportunities and challenges from an HR perspective.

Below are the positive and negative human resource implications:

## **Positive HR Implications**

- **Enhanced Employee Performance and Accountability:** Boyd introduces structured performance metrics that give employees clearer expectations. This approach promotes a sense of ownership, accountability, and motivation among the workforce.
- **Opportunities for Professional Development:** Boyd's operational improvements offer employees the chance to develop new skills and grow within the company. Employees who excel are given opportunities for promotion, aligning with HR best practices in career development.
- **Increased Engagement Through Empowerment:** Boyd's leadership encourages employees to contribute to decision-making, fostering a collaborative environment. Engaged employees are more likely to remain committed and innovative.

## **Negative HR Implications**

- **Resistance to Change and Low Morale:** Transitioning to a performance-driven model can create resistance from employees loyal to Wesley, potentially leading to conflicts and decreased morale.
- **Increased Stress and Workload:** Boyd's performance-focused approach may overwhelm employees with high expectations, leading to stress and burnout if adequate support systems are not in place.
- **Role Confusion and Power Struggles:** With Boyd assuming new

responsibilities alongside Simpson, unclear authority lines can create confusion, leading to inefficiencies and interpersonal conflicts.

## **2. MANAGEMENT STYLES: BOYD VS. SIMPSON**

Boyd and Simpson exhibit contrasting leadership styles when managing low-performing employees:

- **Boyd's Developmental Approach:**

Boyd emphasizes empowerment and accountability, setting clear expectations and coaching employees to improve their performance. His supportive leadership promotes trust and collaboration.

- **Simpson's Traditional Approach:**

Simpson's passive, loyalty-based management style avoids directly addressing poor performance. While this fosters employee loyalty, it risks creating stagnation and inefficiencies.

- **The Effectiveness of Dan Boyd's Approaches**

Boyd's approach is more effective in the long run as it nurtures employee growth and engagement. His approach motivates employees and delivers measurable improvements in service quality, essential for staying competitive. His style also promotes a culture of continuous growth, aligning both individual development with organizational goals. Simpson's method, though well-intentioned, limits progress by avoiding necessary performance interventions.

## **2B. IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BEING A MANAGER AND BEING A LEADER?**

Yes, there is a difference between being a manager and being a leader, as illustrated by the contrasting styles of Boyd and Wesley in the case of House, Hearth and Home (HHH).

### **MANAGER VS. LEADER: KEY DIFFERENCES**

#### **➤ Focus on Tasks vs. Vision:**

Boyd emphasizes task completion and efficiency, whereas Simpson focuses on building relationships and employee satisfaction.

#### **➤ Control vs. Influence:**

Boyd uses formal authority to enforce accountability, while Simpson relies on trust and informal influence to inspire loyalty.

#### **➤ Transactional vs. Transformational Leadership:**

Boyd's style is transactional, focused on measurable outcomes, while Simpson's leadership is transformational, aiming to foster emotional connections and long-term commitment.

#### **➤ Short-term vs. Long-term Orientation:**

Boyd aims for quick operational improvements, while Simpson prioritizes employee well-being and stability over the long term.

#### **➤ Role in Change Management:**

Boyd embraces change to improve performance, whereas Simpson resists change,

maintaining the status quo and stable work environments.

### **3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS: BOYD VS. SIMPSON**

Boyd's leadership has resulted in:

- Improved employee motivation and engagement.
- Higher customer satisfaction due to operational improvements.
- An energized workplace culture that encourages innovation.

In contrast, Simpson's reluctance to address performance issues has led to:

- Demotivated employees who feel unsupported.
- Stagnation in operations and missed opportunities for growth.

### **4. EXPLORING COGLIN'S OPTIONS: WEIGHING BENEFITS AND RISKS**

Mark Coglein, the store owner, must decide how to manage the leadership dynamics between Boyd and Simpson. Below are potential options and their implications:

#### **Option A: Promote Boyd to Yard Manager and Reassign Simpson**

- **Benefits:** Boyd's promotion can enhance performance, while reassigning Simpson retains his experience without direct customer interaction.

- **Risks:** This move might demoralize Simpson, affect team morale, and create

friction if not handled sensitively.

### **Option B: Implement Training Programs for Both Leaders**

- **Benefits:** Training can help both leaders improve their skills, aligning their approaches for a more unified leadership style.
- **Risks:** Training delays performance improvements and may not be effective if Simpson resists change.

### **Option C: Establish a Collaborative Leadership Team**

- **Benefits:** Combining Boyd's operational focus with Simpson's relationship-based approach fosters balanced leadership.
- **Risks:** Without clear role definitions, employees may receive mixed messages, causing confusion and frustration.

### **Option D: Conduct Regular Performance Reviews**

- **Benefits:** Ongoing feedback promotes accountability and continuous improvement.
- **Risks:** If not framed positively, performance reviews may demoralize Simpson and lead to further disengagement.



#### 4B. IMPACT OF COGLIN'S DECISION ON INDIVIDUALS AND RELATIONSHIPS

- **Dan Boyd:** A promotion would elevate Boyd's role, but managing any resentment from Simpson could pose challenges. Collaborative efforts might limit his autonomy but foster teamwork.
- **Wesley Simpson:** Simpson might feel undervalued by a demotion but could benefit from training that renews his sense of purpose. Sharing authority in a collaborative leadership model may also present adjustment difficulties.
- **Mark Coglin:** Coglin must balance operational goals with team harmony. His decisions will impact employee morale, long-term retention, and the overall work environment.
- **Employee Reactions** Employees are likely to have mixed responses to any leadership changes. A promotion for Boyd might be seen as positive, energizing the team. However, if Simpson feels unfairly treated, it could lead to distrust and lower morale among employees. Clear communication will be essential to maintaining trust and engagement.

#### 4C. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COGLIN

To address the leadership challenges effectively, Coglin should:

- **Facilitate Open Communication:** Regular meetings between Boyd and Simpson can foster understanding and cooperation, helping them align their leadership approaches.

- **Leverage Strengths:** Encourage Boyd and Simpson to collaborate on projects that utilize their respective strengths—operational efficiency and employee engagement.
- **Implement Change Management Strategies:** Use frameworks such as Kotter's 8-Step Process to guide the leadership transition smoothly and minimize resistance.
- **Provide Leadership Development Programs:** Training in conflict resolution, emotional intelligence, and collaboration will help both leaders adapt to evolving business needs.
- **Monitor and Adjust Strategies:** Regular performance assessments will allow Coglin to adjust leadership strategies based on feedback and evolving circumstances.

## CONCLUSION

The "House, Hearth, and Home" Ivey case study demonstrates the complexities of managing leadership transitions and aligning management styles with business goals. While Boyd's performance-driven approach yields short-term improvements, Simpson's people-centered leadership provides long-term stability. Coglin must carefully navigate these dynamics to achieve sustainable success. A balanced leadership approach that combines operational efficiency with employee engagement will position HHH for continued growth and competitive advantage.

## REFERENCES

Indeed. (n.d.). Leadership vs. management: What's the difference? Retrieved from <https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/career-development/leadership-vs-management>

Kotter J.P (1996). Leading Change, Harvard Business School Press.

Harvard Business Review. (2004, January). *The difference between management and leadership*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2004/01/the-difference-between-management-and-leadership>

MacMillan, K., & Woodward, M. (2012). *Leadership development through organizational change: The case of House, Hearth and Home*. Ivey Publishing.

Ivey Business School. (2012). *House, Hearth and Home: Managing leadership change*. In *Leadership and performance management case studies*. Ivey Publishing.

MacMillan, K., & Woodward, M. (2012). *House, Hearth and Home: Managing leadership change*. (case No: 9B12C048) Ivey Publishing.

## (The impact of leadership styles on customer service, Employee Engagement, and Organizational performance within the 'House, Hearth and Home' project)



## **Appendices**

**Appendix A: Customer service impact on customer satisfaction (line graph):**

**Appendix B: Employee Engagement and Operational Efficiency Comparison  
(Bar Chart).**

**Appendix C: Leadership styles: Boyd vs Simpson (Radar Chart)**

**Appendix D; Impact of leadership transition on employee morale (Pie Chart)**