CS2030 Programming Methodology II

Semester 1 2022/2023

1 & 2 February 2023 Problem Set #2 Suggested Guidance Inheritance and Polymorphism

1. Study the following Circle class.

```
class Circle {
    private final int radius;

    Circle(int radius) {
        this.radius = radius;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return "Circle with radius " + this.radius;
    }
}
```

We have seen how the toString method can be defined in the Circle class that overrides the same method in its parent java.lang.Object class. There is another equals(Object obj) method defined in the Object class which returns true only if the object from which equals is called, and the argument object is the same.

```
jshell> Circle c = new Circle(10)
c ==> Circle with radius 10

jshell> c.equals(c)
$.. ==> true

jshell> c.equals("10")
$.. ==> false

jshell> c.equals(new Circle(10))
$.. ==> false
```

In particular for the latter test, since both c and new Circle(10) have radius of 10 units, we would like the equals method to return true instead.

(a) We define an overloaded method equals (Circle other) in the Circle class:

```
boolean equals(Circle circle) {
       System.out.println("Running equals(Circle) method");
       return circle.radius == radius;
   }
   such that
   jshell> new Circle(10).equals(new Circle(10))
   Running equals(Circle) method
   $.. ==> true
   jshell> new Circle(10).equals("10")
   $.. ==> false
   Why is the outcome of the following test false?
   jshell> Object obj = new Circle(10)
   obj ==> Circle with radius 10
   jshell> obj.equals(new Circle(10))
   $.. ==> false
   Declare obj of type Object and assign it to a Circle object. Calling the equals
   method by passing in another Circle with radius 1 will result in false!
(b) Instead of an overloaded method, we now define an overriding method.
   @Override
   public boolean equals(Object obj) {
       System.out.println("Running equals(Object) method");
       if (obj == this) { // trivially true since it's the same object
            return true;
       } else if (obj instanceof Circle circle) { // is obj a Circle?
           return circle.radius == this.radius;
       } else {
           return false;
```

Why does the same test case in question 1a now produce the correct expected outcome?

```
jshell> Object obj = new Circle(10)
obj ==> Circle with radius 10

jshell> obj.equals(new Circle(10))
Running equals(Object) method
$.. ==> true
```

}

}

Since obj has a runtime type of Circle, the overriding equals method in the Circle class will be invoked which checks the radius for equality.

```
jshell> Object obj = new Circle(10)
obj ==> Circle with radius 10

jshell> obj.equals(new Circle(10))
Running equals(Object) method
$.. ==> true
```

As an aside, one should avoid using instanceof to check the type of the object and decide what method will run, hence avoiding overriding methods entirely. For example, if Shape s can either be assigned to Circle or Rectangle, then one could check the type of the object and invoke the appropriate method to say, get the area. Restrict the use of instanceof only in the equals method of class A (that checks instanceof A). The following is considered bad code:

```
class Shape {
   double radius;
   double height;
   double width;
   Shape(double r, double h, double w) {
       this.radius = r;
       this.height = h;
       this.width = w;
   double getCircleArea() {
      return Math.PI * this.radius * this.radius;
   double getRectangleArea() {
       return this.height * this.width;
}
class Circle extends Shape {
   Circle(double radius) {
        super(radius, 0.0, 0.0);
}
class Rectangle extends Shape {
    Rectangle(double height, double width) {
        super(0.0, height, width);
}
jshell> double getArea(Shape s) {
  ...> if (s instanceof Circle) {
   ...>
            return s.getCircleArea();
   ...>
         if (s instanceof Rectangle) {
   ...>
   ...>
             return s.getRectangleArea();
   ...>}
| created method getArea(Shape)
jshell> getArea(new Circle(1.0))
$.. ==> 3.141592653589793
jshell> getArea(new Rectangle(4.0, 5.0))
$.. ==> 20.0
```

(c) With both the overloaded and overriding equals method in questions 1a and 1b defined, given the following program fragment,

```
Circle c1 = new Circle(10);
Circle c2 = new Circle(10);
Object o1 = c1;
Object o2 = c2;
what is the output of the following statements?
(a) o1.equals(o2);
                                     (d) c1.equals(o2);
(b) o1.equals(c2);
                                     (e) c1.equals(c2);
(c) o1.equals(c1);
                                     (f) c1.equals(o1);
jshell> Circle c1 = new Circle(10)
c1 ==> Circle with radius 10
jshell> Circle c2 = new Circle(10)
c2 ==> Circle with radius 10
jshell> Object o1 = c1
o1 ==> Circle with radius 10
jshell > Object o2 = c2
o2 ==> Circle with radius 10
jshell> o1.equals(o2) // Object::equals(Object) chosen,
                      // but overridden by Circle::equals(Object)
Running equals (Object) method
$.. ==> true
jshell> o1.equals(c2) // same as above
Running equals(Object) method
$.. ==> true
jshell> o1.equals(c1) // same as above
Running equals (Object) method
$.. ==> true
jshell> c1.equals(o2) // Circle::equals(Object) chosen; activated during runtime
Running equals (Object) method
$.. ==> true
jshell> c1.equals(c2)// Circle::equals(Circle) chosen; activated during runtime
Running equals(Circle) method
$.. ==> true
jshell> c1.equals(o1)// Circle::equals(Object) chosen; activated during runtime
Running equals (Object) method
$.. ==> true
```

Calling the equals method though a reference of compile-time type Object would invoke the equals(Object) method of Object. This method is overridden by the overriding method in the sub-class Circle.

The only time that the overloaded method equals (Circle) can be called is when the method is invoked through a variable of compile-time type Circle.

Moreover, defining only the overriding equals method is sufficient to make all the above six test cases return true. On the other hand, defining only the overloaded equals method results in (a), (b) and (d) returning false.

2. We would like to design a class Square that inherits from Rectangle.

```
class Rectangle {
    private final int width;
    private final int height;

    Rectangle(int width, int height) {
        this.width = width;
        this.height = height;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return this.width + " x " + this.height;
    }
}
```

As an example of constructing a rectangle,

```
jshell> new Rectangle(3, 4) // width = 3 and height = 4 \dots ==> 3 x 4
```

(a) A square has the constraint that the four sides are of the same length. Keeping in mind the *abstraction principle*, how should Square be implemented to obtain the following evaluation from JShell?

```
jshell> new Square(5)
$.. ==> 5 x 5

class Square extends Rectangle {
    Square(int side) {
        super(side, side);
    }
}
```

(b) Now implement two separate methods to set the width and height of the rectangle:

```
Rectangle setWidth(double width) { ... }
   Rectangle setHeight(double height) { ... }
   jshell> new Rectangle(3, 4).setHeight(2)
   .. => 3 \times 2
   Include the following in Rectangle class.
       Rectangle setWidth(int width) {
           return new Rectangle(width, this.height);
       }
       Rectangle setHeight(int height) {
           return new Rectangle(this.width, height);
(c) What happens if Square inherits the methods setWidth and setHeight from
   Rectangle?
   A square might no longer be a square.
   jshell> new Square(5).setHeight(2)
   .. => 5 x 2
   jshell> Square s = new Square(5)
   s ==> 5 x 2
   jshell> s = s.setHeight(2) // What happens here?
(d) How would you override the methods setWidth and setHeight in the Square
   class?
   We can override the methods as such:
       @Override
       Square setHeight(int height) {
           return new Square(height);
       }
       @Override
       Square setWidth(int width) {
           return new Square(width);
       }
   Running the same test now gives
   jshell> new Square(5).setHeight(2)
   .. => 2 x 2
```

It would probably make more sense if we have a method setSide. However, the scaling methods from Rectangle will still have to be inherited.

(e) Do you think that it is now sensible to have Square inherit from Rectangle?

Based on the substitutability principle, if Square inherits from Rectangle, then anywhere we expect a Rectangle, we can always substitute it with a Square.

Consider the following example,

```
jshell> Rectangle rectangle = new Square(5)
rectangle ==> 5 x 5

jshell> rectangle.setWidth(2)
$.. ==> 2 x 2
```

From the clients view of using rectangles, setting the width should only change the width; however height is also changed!

(f) Should Rectangle inherit from Square? Or maybe they should not inherit from each other at all?

If rectangle is a square, then is rectangle substitutable for a square? Rectangle have different width and height, but square has same sides!

```
jshell> Square square = new Rectangle(2, 3)
square ==> 2 x 3 // ???
```

3. Which of the following program fragments will result in a compilation error?

```
(a) class A1 {
       void f(int x) {}
       void f(boolean y) {}
   }
(b) class A2 {
       void f(int x) {}
       void f(int y) {}
   }
(c) class A3 {
       private void f(int x) {}
       void f(int y) {}
(d) class A4 {
       int f(int x) {
           return x;
       void f(int y) {}
   }
(e) class A5 {
       void f(int x, String s) {}
       void f(String s, int y) {}
   }
```

Methods of the same name can co-exist as long as their method signatures (number, type, order of arguments) are different.

```
A2.java:3: error: method f(int) is already defined in class A void f(int y) {}
```

1 error

A3.java:3: error: method f(int) is already defined in class A void f(int y) $\{\}$

1 error

A4.java:5: error: method f(int) is already defined in class A void f(int y) $\{\}$

1 error