

CS2102: Database Systems

Lecture 1 — Introduction & Relational Model

Overview

- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)
- Relational Database Model
 - Motivation & history
 - Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
 - Integrity constraints

Common Challenges for Data-Intensive Applications

- Fast access to information in huge volumes of data
 - **→** Efficiency
- "All-or-nothing" changes to data (e.g. bank transfer: debit + credit)
 - **→** Transactions
- Parallel access and changes to data
 - → Data Integrity





(global travel booking platform)

100,000 tps*



544,000 tps*

Common Challenges for Data-Intensive Applications

Fast and reliable handling of failures

(e.g., HDD/SDD/system crash, power outage, network disruption)

- → Recovery
- Fine-grained data access rights
 - → Security

Only HR & Management


| EmplD | Name | Office | Phone | DOB | Salary |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Alice | 02-05 | 4520 | 10-08-1988 | 7,500 |
| 2 | Bob | 02-10 | 4530 | 06-11-2001 | 4,800 |
| 3 | Carol | 01-06 | 4540 | 25-02-1995 | 5,500 |

All employees

Overview

- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)
- Relational Database Model
 - Motivation & history
 - Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
 - Integrity constraints

File-Based Data Management




- Complex, low-level code
- Often similar requirements across different programs

→ Problems / Challenges:

- High development effort
- Long development times
- Higher risk of (critical) errors

Data Management with DBMS



Overview


- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)
- Relational Database Model
 - Motivation & history
 - Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
 - Integrity constraints

Separating "Files Only" from DBMS: Transactions

Transaction

- Finite sequence of database operations (reads and/or writes)
- Smallest logical unit of work from from an application perspective
- Each transaction T has the following properties:
 - Atomicity: either all effects of T are reflected in the database or none ("all or nothing")
 - Consistency: the execution of T guarantees to yield a correct state of the database
 - **Isolation**: the execution of T is isolated from the effects of concurrent transactions
 - **Durability**: after the commit of T, its effects are permanent even in case of failures
 - → ACID properties of transactions

Transition Graph of a Transaction T



Transactions — Example: Update Bank Account Balance

Very simple transaction

Transaction update(X, amount)

```
begin:
    read(X)
    X = X + amount
    write(X)
commit
```

Assume 2 transactions

(initial balance B: 1,000)

- \blacksquare T₁(B, 500)
- \blacksquare T₂(B, 100)

Serial execution of T_1 and T_2

| T ₁ (B, 500) | T ₂ (B, 100) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| begin | |
| read(B) | |
| B = B + 500 | |
| write(B) | |
| commit | |
| | begin |
| | read(B) |
| | B = B + 100 |
| | write(B) |
| | commit |

- Correct final result (by definition)
- Less resource utilization and low throughput

Concurrent Execution — Common Problems

| T ₁ (B, 500) | T ₂ (B, 100) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| begin | |
| read(B) | |
| B = B + 500 | • |
| | begin |
| | read(B) /ouo |
| | B = B + 100 // 00 |
| write(B) /3b6 | |
| commit | 1, |
| | write(B) |
| | commit |

Final balance B = 1,100 (effect of T_1 overwritten)

→ Lost Update

| T ₁ (B, 500) | T ₂ (B, 100) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| begin | |
| read(B) | |
| B = B + 500 15 | 60 |
| write(B) 1516 | |
| | begin |
| | read(B) 1506 |
| | B = B + 100 /60 |
| | write(B) 16 6 |
| | commit 🔽 |
| abort | |

Final balance B = 1,600 (when it should be 1,100)

→ Dirty Read

| T ₁ (B, 500) | T ₂ (B, 100) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| begin | |
| read(B) /660 | |
| | begin |
| | read(B) |
| | B = B + 100 1/16 |
| | write(B) 1100 |
| | commit |
| read(B) ///OC | > |
| | |
| | |

Balance B is retrieved twice but the values differ


→ Unrepeatable Read

Requirement for Concurrent Transactions: Serializability

- Serializable transaction execution
 - A concurrent execution of a set of transactions is **serializable** if this execution is equivalent to some serial execution of the same set of transactions
 - Two executions are equivalent if they have the same effect on the data
- Core tasks of DBMS
 - Support concurrent executions of transactions to optimize performance
 - Enforce serializability of concurrent executions to ensure integrity of data



3-Tier Architecture of DBMS — Levels of Data Abstraction




• User or group-specific view on the data

- Logical organization of data data model
 (e.g., relations/tables, objects, graphs)
- Unified representation of all data
- Support of physical data independence and logical data independence

- Organization of data on disk and in memory
- Database as collection of fields, arrays, records, files, pages, etc.

Data Independence



Logical data independence

• Ability to change logical schema without affecting external schemas (e.g., adding/deleting/updating attributes, changing data types, changing data model)

Physical data independence

- Representation of data independent from physical scheme
- Physical schema can be changed without affecting logical schema (e.g., creating indexes, new caching strategies, different storage devices)

Study of DBMS — Scope of CS2102

Database design

- How to model the data requirements
- How to organize data using a DBMS

Database programming

- How to create, query and update a database
- How to specify data constraints
- How to use SQL in applications

DBMS implementation

■ How to build a DBMS?

Topics covered in CS2102

Relation Model ER Model Schema Refinement

Relational Algebra SQL

Describing Data in a DBMS

Data Model

- Set of concepts for describing the data
- Framework to specify structure of a DB

Schema

 Description of the structure of a DB using the concepts provided by the data model

Schema Instance

Content of a DB at a particular time




Table "Employees"

| ID | Name | DOB | Salary |
|----|-------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Alice | 10-08-1988 | 7,500 |
| 2 | Bob | 06-11-2001 | 4,800 |
| 3 | Carol | 25-02-1995 | 5,500 |

Overview

- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)

Relational Database Model

- Motivation & history
- Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
- Integrity constraints

Timeline of DBMS (Regarding the Supported Data Model)

- "Historical" models
 - Hierarchical model
 - Network model
- Relation Model

(early: prototypes 1970+, commercial products: 1980+)

- Commercial RDBMS
- Open-source RDBMS
- Object-oriented model
 - Native OO model (e.g., Objectstore, 1988)
 - Object-relational model (now supported by most RDBMS)
- More recent development
 - NoSQL models, in-memory DBMS (e.g.. Cassandra, 2008; MongoDB, 2009; Redis, 2009)

Commercial systems*









Open-source systems















RDBMS (still) Reign Supreme

| | Rank | | | | Score | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Aug 2023 | Jul 2023 | Aug 2022 | DBMS | Database Model | Aug 2023 | Jul 2023 | Aug 2022 |
| 1. | 1. | 1. | Oracle 😷 | Relational, Multi-model 🚺 | 1242.10 | -13.91 | -18.70 |
| 2. | 2. | 2. | MySQL [| Relational, Multi-model 🚺 | 1130.45 | -19.89 | -72.40 |
| 3. | 3. | 3. | Microsoft SQL Server | Relational, Multi-model 🚺 | 920.81 | -0.78 | -24.14 |
| 4. | 4. | 4. | PostgreSQL 🔠 | Relational, Multi-model 📵 | 620.38 | +2.55 | +2.38 |
| 5. | 5. | 5. | MongoDB 😝 | Document, Multi-model 🔞 | 434.49 | -1.00 | -43.17 |
| 6. | 6. | 6. | Redis 😷 | Key-value, Multi-model 🚺 | 162.97 | -0.80 | -13.43 |
| 7. | 1 8. | 1 8. | Elasticsearch | Search engine, Multi-model 🚺 | 139.92 | +0.33 | -15.16 |
| 8. | 4 7. | 4 7. | IBM Db2 | Relational, Multi-model 📵 | 139.24 | -0.58 | -17.99 |
| 9. | 9. | 9. | Microsoft Access | Relational | 130.34 | -0.38 | -16.16 |
| 10. | 10. | 10. | SQLite 🚦 | Relational | 129.92 | -0.27 | -8.95 |

Source: https://db-engines.com/en/ranking


RDBMS (still) Reign Supreme

Java, SQL and Python are the most in-demand digital skills

Key Skill: SQL, Because Companies are Obsessed with Data

Want a Job in Data? Learn SQL.

- Analysis of job descriptions
 - 15k+ job offers from JobStreet (data analyst, data engineer, data scientist)
 - Quick-&-dirty keyword extraction
 - ...but check for yourself! :)



Overview

- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)

Relational Database Model

- Motivation & history
- Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
- Integrity constraints

The Relational Model

- Proposed by Edgar F. Codd in 1970
- Basic concept: relations

(tables with rows and columns)

Table "Employees"

| id | name | dob | salary |
|----|-------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Alice | 10-08-1988 | 7,500 |
| 2 | Bob | 06-11-2001 | 4,800 |
| 3 | Carol | 25-02-1995 | 5,500 |

A Relational Model of Data for Large Shared Data Banks

E. F. Codd IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California

Future users of large data banks must be protected from having to know how the data is organized in the machine (the internal representation). A prompting service which supplies such information is not a satisfactory solution. Activities of users at terminals and most application programs should remain unaffected when the internal representation of data is changed and even when some aspects of the external representation are changed. Changes in data representation will often be needed as a result of changes in query, update, and report traffic and natural growth in the types of stored information.

- Relation schema: definition of a relation
 - Specifies attributes (columns) and data constraints (e.g., domain constraints)

Employees (id: integer, name: text, dob: date, salary: numeric)

The Relational Model

- **Domain** set of atomic values (e.g., integer, numeric, text)
 - $lacktriangledown dom(A_i)$ domain of attribute A_i = set of possible values of A_i
 - $\qquad \text{Each value } v \text{ of attribute } A_i \colon \quad v \in dom(A_i) \quad \text{or} \quad v \not \in null \ \overrightarrow{}$
 - \widehat{null} special value indicating the v is not known or not specified
- - Relation set of tuples (or records) $= R(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$ relation schema with name R and n attributes $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$
 - Each instance of schema R is a relation which is a subset of

$$\{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \mid a_i \in dom(A_i) \cup \{null\} \}$$

Example

- Relational schema: Modules(course, mc, exam) with
 - dom(course) = {cs2102, cs3223, cs4221}
 - \blacksquare dom(mc) = {2, 4}
 - dom(exam) = {yes, no}
- Each instance of "Modules" is a subset of

{cs2102, cs3223, cs4221, null} × {2, 4, null} × {yes, no, null}










| course | mc | exam |
|--------|------|------|
| cs2102 | 2 | yes |
| cs2102 | 2 | no |
| cs2102 | 4 | yes |
| cs2102 | 4 | no |
| cs3223 | 2 | yes |
| | : | |
| null | 4 | no |
| null | null | no |
| null | null | null |



Quick Quiz

- Assume a relation R(A, B) with
 - $dom(A) = \{x, y, z\}$
 - dom(B) = {1, 2, 3, 4} ∪ い u ル

Which tuples in the table on the right **violate** the definition of relation R?



The Relational Model

Relational database schema — set of relation schemas + data constraints

Movies (id: integer, title: text, genre: text, opened: date)

Cast (movie_id: integer, actor_id: integer, role: text)

Actors (id: integer, name: text, dob: date)

Relational database — collection of tables

Table "Movies"

| id | title | genre | opened |
|-----|------------|--------|--------|
| 101 | Aliens | action | 1986 |
| 102 | Logan | drama | 2017 |
| 103 | Heat | crime | 1995 |
| 104 | Terminator | action | 1984 |
| | | | |

Table "Cast"

| movie_id | actor_id | role |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|
| 101 | 20 | Ellen Ripley |
| 101 | 23 Private Hudsor | |
| 101 | 54 | Corporal Hicks |
| 102 | 21 | Logan |
| 104 | 23 Punk Leader | |
| | | |

Table "Actors"

| id | name | dob |
|----|------------------|------------|
| 20 | Sigourney Weaver | 08-10-1949 |
| 21 | Hugh Jackman | 12-10-1968 |
| 22 | Tom Hanks | 09-07-1956 |
| 23 | Bill Paxton | 17-05-1955 |
| | | |

Challenge: Ensuring Data Integrity

• The definition $R(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n) \subseteq \{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \mid a_i \in dom(A_i) \cup \{null\}\}$ allows:

| _ | | | | - | | |
|----|---|---|----|----|-----|----|
| la | h | Δ | "N | Λn | vie | œ' |
| | | | | | | |

| | id | title | genre | opened |
|---|-----|------------|--------|--------|
| | 101 | Aliens | action | 1986 |
| ١ | 101 | Logan | drama | 2017 |
| İ | 103 | Heat | crime | 1995 |
| İ | 104 | Terminator | action | 1984 |

Table "Cast"

| movie_id | actor_id | role |
|----------|----------|----------------|
| 101 | 20 | Ellen Ripley |
| 101 | 23 | Private Hudson |
| 101 | 54 | Corporal Hicks |
| 102 | 21 | Logan |
| -8450 | 23 | Punk Leader |

Table "Actors"

| id | name | dob |
|------|------------------|------------|
| 20 | Sigourney Weaver | 08-10-2049 |
| 21 | Hugh Jackman | 12-10-1968 |
| null | Tom Hanks | 09-07-1956 |
| 23 | Bill Paxton | 17-05-1955 |

Can we tell the DBMS what are valid tuples and attribute values?

→ Integrity Constraints

Overview

- Why Database Management Systems (DBMS)?
 - Challenges for data-intensive applications
 - From file-based data management to DBMS
 - Core concepts of DBMS (transactions, data abstraction)

Relational Database Model

- Motivation & history
- Core concepts: relation, domain, schema, etc.
- Integrity constraints

Integrity Constraints

- Integrity Constraint condition that restricts what constitutes valid data
 - DBMS checks that tables only ever contain valid data → data integrity
- 3 main structural integrity constraints of the Relation Model

("structural" = inherent to the data model, independent from the application)

- Domain constraints (e.g., cannot store a string in a integer column)
- Key constraints
- Foreign key constraints
- General constraints
 - Depend on the specific application
 - Covered in later lectures (keyword: triggers)

Table "Cast"

| movie_id | actor_id | role |
|----------|----------|----------------|
| 101 | 20 | Ellen Ripley |
| 101 | 23 | Private Hudson |
| 102 | 21 | Logan |
| abc | 23 | Punk Leader |

Key Constraints

- Superkey subset of attributes that uniquely identifies a tuple in a relation
 - e.g., {id, title}, {id, title, opened}
- Key superkey that is also minimal, i.e.,
 no proper subset of the key is a superkey
 - e.g., {id} (maybe: {title, opened}?)
- Candidate keys set of all keys for a relation
- Primary key selected candidate key for a relation
 - Important: values of primary key attributes cannot be null (entity integrity constraint)

Movies (<u>id: integer</u>, title: text, genre: text, opened: date)

Table "Movies"

| id | title | genre | opened |
|-----|------------|--------|--------|
| 101 | Aliens | action | 1986 |
| 102 | Logan | drama | 2017 |
| 103 | Heat | crime | 1995 |
| 104 | Terminator | action | 1984 |

Quick Quiz

Assume a forum database with the following relation filled with many thousands of users:

Accounts (email: text, password: text, name: text)

Which subsets of attributes are a

- Superkey any superset which contains email or name
- **Key** email, name(assumption)

of relation "Accounts"?

A {email}

B {password}

C {name} (v) valid depending on assumptions

D {email, password}

E {email, name}

F {password, name}

G {email, password, name}

Note: The correct answer depends on certain assumptions. Which assumption might change the answer?

Foreign Key Constraints (also: referential integrity constraints)


• Foreign key — subset of attributes of relation A if it refers to the (primary) key in a relation B

| | | Tal | ole "Movies" | \ | | | Table "Cast" | | <u> </u> | 9 | Table "Actors" |
|-----|------------|--------------|--------------|---|----------|------------|----------------|---|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| id | title | genre | opened | | movie_id | actor_id | role | | id | name | dob |
| 101 | Aliens | action | 1986 | | 101 | 20 | Ellen Ripley | | 20 | Sigourney Weaver | 08-10-1949 |
| 102 | Logan | drama | 2017 | | 101 | 23 | Private Hudson | 9 | 21 | Hugh Jackman | 12-10-1968 |
| 103 | Heat | crime | 1995 | | 102 | 21 | Logan | | 22 | Tom Hanks | 09-07-1956 |
| 104 | Terminator | action | 1984 | | 104 | 23 | Punk Leader | | 23 | Bill Paxton | 17-05-1955 |
| | reference | ced relation | | I | 121 | eferencing | relation | | | referenced relation | on . |

- Requirement: each foreign key in referencing relation must
 - appear as primary key in referenced relation OR
 - be a null value

Foreign Key Constraints

- Referencing & referenced relation can be the same relation
 - Example: each employee has at most one manager



A relation can be referencing and referenced relation for different relations

Table "Movies"

| | Table "Genre" | | |
|--------|-------------------|--|--|
| genre | description | | |
| action | exciting stuff | | |
| drama | suspenseful stuff | | |
| crime | mysterious stuff | | |

| | | 101 | 11101100 |
|-----|------------|--------|----------|
| id | title | genre | opened |
| 101 | Aliens | action | 1986 |
| 102 | Logan | drama | 2017 |
| 103 | Heat | crime | 1995 |
| 104 | Terminator | action | 1984 |

| movie_id | actor_id role | |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| 101 | 20 | Ellen Ripley |
| 101 | 23 | Private Hudson |
| 102 | 21 | Logan |
| 104 | 23 | Punk Leader |


Table "Cast"

Quick Quiz

Primary Key

- Assume the two/tables
 R(A, B) and S(C, D) with
 - $\mod(A) = \dim(D) = \{\underline{w}, x, y, z\}$
 - \bullet dom(B) = {1, 2, 3, 4}
 - \bullet dom(C) = {a, b, c, d}
 - Foreign key constraint S.D → R.A

Which tuples in the tables on the right **violate** any **key** and/or **foreign key** constraints?



PK cours

Integrity Constraints

Limitations

- Structural integrity constraints do cover application-independent constraints (e.g., limiting the domain to valid values)
- Covered later: application-dependent constraints derived from deeper semantics of the data

Table "Actors"


| id | name | dob |
|----|------------------|------------|
| 20 | Sigourney Weaver | 08-10-2049 |
| 21 | Hugh Jackman | 12-10-1968 |
| 22 | Tom Hanks | 09-07-1956 |
| 23 | Bill Paxton | 17-05-1955 |

Practical considerations

- Integrity constraints are optional, not mandatory but we typically want them! (in practice, domain constraints are mandatory, i.e., we need to specify the data type for each attribute)
- Integrity constraints may affect performance* (checking constraints require additional processing steps)

*Sidenote: Key constraints typically involve the creation indexes which can significantly boost query performance!

Relational Model — Cheat Sheet



Relational Model — Cheat Sheet

| Term | Description (informal) | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| attribute | Column of a table | | |
| domain Set of possible values for an attribute | | | |
| attribute value | Element of a domain | | |
| relation schema | Set of attributes (with their data types + relation name) | | |
| relation | Set of tuples | | |
| tuple | Row of a table | | |
| database schema | Set of relation schemas | | |
| database | Set of relations / tables | | |

Relational Model — Cheat Sheet

| Term | Description (informal) |
|-----------------|--|
| (candidate) key | Minimal set of attributes that uniquely identify a tuple in a relation |
| primary key | Selected key (in case of multiple candidate keys) |
| foreign key | Set of attributes that is a key in referenced relation |
| prime attribute | Attribute of a (candidate) key |

Terminology: DB. vs DBS vs. DBMS

$$DBS = DBMS + n*DB \qquad (n>0)$$

Summary

- Advantages of DBMS for large-scale data management (compared to "files only")
 - Transactions with ACID properties to guarantee integrity of the data
 - Levels of abstraction for data independence
- Relational Model
 - Unified representation of all data as tables (relations)
 - (Structural) integrity constraints to specify restrictions on what constitutes correct/valid data
- Outlook for next lecture: SQL 1
 - Creating and modifying database schemas (incl. integrity constraints)
 - Inserting, updating, and deleting data

Quick Quiz Solutions

Quick Quiz (Slide 26)

Solution

- Tuple 4 has a (non-NULL) value for B that is not in the domain of B
- Tuple 9 has a (non-NULL) value for B that is not in the domain of B
- Tuple 1 and 8 are duplicates which are not allowed in sets

Quick Quiz (Slide 32)

Solution

- Superkeys: any subset of attributes containing "email"
- Key: {email}

Additional comments

- We assume that we allow duplicate names; some forums might require unique user names in which case {name} would also be a valid key
- Don't forget that keys may contain more than 1 attribute; this is just a small example

Quick Quiz (Slide 35)

Solution

- Tuples R1 and R5 have duplicate values for the primary key A (violates key constraint)
- Tuples S1 and S5 have duplicate values for the primary key C (violates key constraint)
- Tuple R3 has a NULL value of its primary key (violates primary key)
- Tuple S2 has a non-NULL values for attribute D that is not an existing primary key value in relation R (violates foreign key constraint)