



ROYAL INSTITUTE  
OF TECHNOLOGY

**School of Computer Science and Communication  
Department of Theoretical Computer Science**

# **LAB S**

## **Seminar: Report, Peer-review and Presentation**

***Computer Security***

***DD2395 / HT2015***

## Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                  | <b>1</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Forming a Group and Choosing a Topic</b>          | <b>1</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Writing the Report</b>                            | <b>1</b> |
| 3.1      | Structuring your report . . . . .                    | 2        |
| 3.2      | Submitting your work . . . . .                       | 3        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Peer Review: Evaluating another Team's Report</b> | <b>3</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Presenting your Work</b>                          | <b>3</b> |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Research Topics</b>                               | <b>4</b> |
| <b>7</b> | <b>History</b>                                       | <b>7</b> |

# 1 Introduction

The idea of this lab is to dive into one specific topic in Computer Security more deeply, to practice literature research and report writing as well as peer-reviewing of a report, written by others. The lab consists therefore of three parts, a report, a review and a presentation, that all need to be accomplished in order to pass it. Please check the course website for instructions how to obtain a bonus point for this lab.



## Deadline

The deadlines for the lab can be found on the course website

<https://www.kth.se/social/course/DD2395/>

Do not forget to sign up on time on the course web page for you preferred topic, but also for the time slot of the presentation of your work!

# 2 Forming a Group and Choosing a Topic

You should start by forming a group of **two** or **three** people. Once that has happened, all of you should agree on one of the topics listed in Section 6 at the end of this document, but if you would like to work on something else, you are welcome to send a suggestion to the course administrator. If it is approved, it will be added to the list for you to sign up. Finally, you will have to choose a presentation slot to present your work to some of your classmates.



## Page Count and Presentation Time

The expected size of your report and the length of your presentation varies depending on your group size:

- Two people
  - Report size: 2 written pages (excluding cover and references)
  - Presentation time: 10 minutes
- Three people
  - Report size: 3 written pages (excluding cover and references)
  - Presentation time: 15 minutes

# 3 Writing the Report

The goal of your work with the topic is for you to get a deeper understanding of it. You don't need to be an expert to be able to work on a topic, neither do we aim at you becoming one. But we want you to be able to research good sources for the topic, learn how they are related and how they explain it.



## Report Language: English

For your own practice, and the benefit of other (also international) students, the report is to be written in English.

After reading your report, one should have gotten answers to the guiding questions below. The idea is *not* that you explicitly list these questions and answer them, but rather that you use them as a test, if you covered all important aspects.

- **What is the problem?**

If you talk about an attack or security, describe what happens.

If you talk about a solution, say what problem it solves.

- **Why is it a problem?**

What is the underlying technology/behavior/economics problem that enables an attack in this area?

- **Why should we care?**

What are the consequences of an attack succeeding, or of a solution failing/succeeding?

- **What are solution approaches?**

What solutions are there for an attack or security issue?

- **What are your conclusions?**

Do you think this attack/solution works?

What did you learn in your research?

What needs to be done?



### **Good and Bad Sources**

Not every piece of text available digitally or printed is valid when researching on a topic.

- **Wikipedia**

It is a good starting point to get an overview, but it is **not** enough.

- **Conference or Journal Papers**

They are the expected minimum sources, but do not get drowned into too many of them, choose a few that are most relevant and useful.

- **News articles**

They are additional sources that you can use, and sometimes explain things in an easier way than a book or a paper.

## **3.1 Structuring your report**

Note that you are free to decide the organization of the content that describes your research topic. This means that you do not have to organize your work in terms of the guiding questions. The report should, however, include at least the following:

- **A descriptive title**

- **The authors' names**

- **A short abstract**

Concisely describing the topic while addressing the guiding questions in very few sentences.

- **The report body**

- **The sources used**

Not only a list of the material that you use but also the citation at the appropriate places in the report.

### 3.2 Submitting your work

We need to read your report on time for the presentation, but also we need to match you with another group for the peer-review of your work. Therefore, you should follow the following submission instructions:



#### Submission E-Mail

One person in your group needs to send an e-mail with the following properties:

- Sender:  
Send the e-mail from your KTH e-mail address.
- Subject:  
dasak seminar report
- Receiver:  
`seminar-report@dasak.csc.kth.se`
- CC:  
All your co-authors KTH e-mail addresses
- Attachment:  
Exactly one PDF attachment with the filename being all author's KTH usernames separated by underscores (\_). i. e., `kthusernameauthor1_kthusernameauthor2_kthusernameauthor3.pdf`

Some minutes after you have sent your e-mail, you will receive a confirmation e-mail, acknowledging your submission, or an error message in case something went wrong.

## 4 Peer Review: Evaluating another Team's Report

Some days after the deadline for submitting the reports has passed, you will receive an e-mail with a report from another group of the course to review. Your review should be **about one page** and state if the guiding questions have been answered, if enough and appropriate sources have been used and correctly cited, and what additional information you would want to better understand the topic.

Try to give as much constructive feedback as possible, also concerning **what the group could improve for the presentation of their topic**. You may start your review with a very short summary of the report (e. g., using the guiding questions), but you should spent most of the space for giving feedback.

Your review should also include the following information:

- The review team members (your names), and
- the title and author names of the report you are reviewing.

Together with the report to review you will receive instructions how to submit your review.

## 5 Presenting your Work

With the presentation of your work, we would like you to think how you can demonstrate, in 10 (15) minutes, an interesting aspect of the topic you have chosen.

We require all members of the group to contribute (talk) equally to the presentation and everyone should have a similar understanding of the topic since you all worked together. Do not forget to cite the sources you use for the presentation as well.

**Presentation Language: English**

For your own practice, and the benefit of other (also international) students, the presentation is to be done in English.

Think of the presentation as the way to answer what you mean with the title of your report if you would have to explain it to someone that you meet in the elevator.

## 6 Research Topics

The following is a list of suggested topics that you can choose from. It is not meant to be an exhaustive list. If you have a topic related to Computer Security that you would like to choose instead, you can suggest the topic yourself by e-mailing it to the course administrator. If it is approved, it will be added to the list of topics which you can register for.

- **Computer Security**

- Top 3 Internet Security Threats
- Top 3 Computer Security Threats
- Famous Malware (Stuxnet, Flame,...)
- Famous Hacking incidents
- Famous Exploitable Bugs
- User Behavior Studies

- **Security in Networks**

- VPN, PPTP
- SSH Tunneling
- IPSec
- Advanced Firewalling

- **Security in Wired Networks**

- ARP Spoofing
- DNS Attacks
- DNSSEC, DANE

- **Security in Wireless Networks**

- WEP (aircrack-ng), WPA
- Vehicular Networks Security

- **Security in Large Scale Networks**

- Specific (D)DoS Attacks
- Specific Botnets

- **Web Security**

- Session Hijacking
- Advanced XSS/XSRF Attacks

- 
- **Anonymous Networks**
    - TOR
    - I2P
    - Freenet
    - OneSwarm
    - GNUnet
  - **RFID Security and Privacy**
    - ePassport
    - KTH's library card, SL card,...
  - **Security in Smart-cards**
    - SwedishID, BankID
  - **Security Banking and E-Business**
    - Modern Online Banking Authentication methods
    - Security of Micropayments
    - NFC (Apple Pay, Google Wallet,...)
    - Crypto-currencies (Bitcoin, Litecoin,...)
  - **Security Monitoring and Auditing**
    - IDS, Traffic analysis (Kismet, Nmap,...)
    - Information Flow Analysis
    - Synthesis of User Behavior
  - **Security in Software**
    - Sandboxing (Chrome NACL, Caja for Javascript, HTML5,...)
    - Separation Kernels
    - Heap/Stack/Arithmetic overflow
    - Specific Worms/Rootkits/Viruses
    - Bug exploiting
    - Trusted Computing
    - Smartphone Application Security
    - Return Oriented Programming
  - **Digital Access and Usage Control**
    - Digital Rights Management (Ebooks, films, music,...)
    - Digital Watermarking
  - **Identity Management**
    - OpenID
    - OAuth
    - Facebook Connect
    - Anonymous Credentials (Idemix/U-Prove)
  - **Cryptography**

- 
- Classical Crypto-systems (Enigma,...)
  - Secure Multiparty Computation
  - Zero-knowledge Proofs
  - Password Cracking
  - Steganography
  - Side Channel Attacks
  - **Authentication**
    - Specific Biometrics
    - Alternatives to Passwords (Apple TouchID,...)
    - Two-Factor Authentication
  - **Privacy**
    - Browser Fingerprinting
    - Security/Privacy Enhanced Social Networking
    - Decentralized Online Social Networks
    - User Behavior Studies on Privacy
    - Forward Secrecy in the OTR protocol
  - **Surveillance**
    - Prism/Tempora
    - State Malware (e.g. surveillance trojan horses)
    - State Internet Surveillance
  - **Ethics of Computer Security**
    - Privacy vs. Surveillance
    - Controversial and recent cases
  - **Other**
    - Computer Games Security
    - Mobile Phone Security
    - Security in the Cloud



## 7 History

| Version | Contribution                        | Author (Affiliation)               | Contact  |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1.0     | First development                   | Sonja Buchegger (CSC/KTH)          | <a href="mailto:buc@csc.kth.se">buc@csc.kth.se</a>   |
| 1.1     | Added submission instructions       | Sonja Buchegger (CSC/KTH)          | <a href="mailto:buc@csc.kth.se">buc@csc.kth.se</a>   |
| 2.0     | Adaptations for HT2013              | Guillermo Rodríguez Cano (CSC/KTH) | <a href="mailto:gurc@csc.kth.se">gurc@csc.kth.se</a> |
| 2.1     | Restructuring and editorial changes | Benjamin Greschbach (CSC/KTH)      | <a href="mailto:bgre@csc.kth.se">bgre@csc.kth.se</a> |