Duality in Linear Programming:

Given a LPP in canonical form

Maximize
$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}$$

Subject to $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \le b_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ ______(I)
 $x_{j} \ge 0, j = 1, 2, ..., n$

We can write its corresponding dual problem as

Minimize
$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i y_i$$
Subject to
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i \ge c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$y_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$$
(II)

The given problem (1) is called Primal problem

And problem (II) is called its Dual problem

Thus problem (I) and (II) are dual of each other.

Note:

- 1. If given primal problem contains large numbers of constraints than numbers of variables then computational steps can be reduced by converting it into dual and then solving it.
- 2. It gives additional information as to how the optimal solution changes as a result of the changes in the coefficients formulation of the problem known as sensitivity analysis.
- 3. An unrestricted variable in sign in primal problem will result in an equality constrain in dual problem and vice versa.

Correspondence between Primal and Dual Optimal solution:

If the dual problem has optimal solution then primal problem also has optimal solution.

The value under the slack variables (if any neglecting the negative sign) and under the artificial variables (if any and neglecting the negative sign and deleting constant M) in the net evaluation row $(c_j - z_j)$ of the optimal table of the dual problem gives the values of basic variables of the primal problem and vice versa. The optimal value of dual is equal to optimal value of primal and vice versa i.e $Z_{max} = W_{min}$

Dual LIP: -

E-X: Write the dual of the following problems.

1) Max $Z = 5 n_1 - 4 n_2 + 4 n_3$ sub to $6 n_1 + 5 n_2 + 10 n_3 \le 7$ $2 n_1 + n_2 - 6 n_3 = 20$ $8 n_1 - 3 n_2 + 6 n_3 \ge 50$ $n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0$

Salh

Cannow (a) form is $Max Z = S n_1 - 4 n_2 + 4 n_3$ Sub to $6 n_1 + 5 n_2 + 10 n_3 \le 7$ $2 n_1 + n_2 - 6 n_3 \le 20$ $-2 n_1 - 10 + 6 n_3 \le -20$ $-8 n_1 + 3 n_2 + 6 n_3 \le -50$ $n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0$ Dual norohlem is

Dual problem is

Min $W = 74_1 + 204_2 - 204_3 - 504_3$ sub to $64_1 + 24_2 - 24_1 - 84_3 \ge 5$ $54_1 + 42_2 - 41_1 + 34_3 \ge -4$ $104_1 - 64_2 + 64_1 - 64_3 \ge 4$ 41,42,44, $47_2 \ge 0$

put
$$y_2^1 - y_2^{11} = y_2$$
;
Min $W = 7y_1 + 020y_2 - 50y_3$
Sub to $6y_1 + 2y_2 - 8y_3 \ge 5$
 $5y_1 + y_2 + 3y_3 \ge -y$
 $10y_1 - 6y_2 - 6y_3 \ge y$
 $y_1, y_3 \ge 0$
and y_2 is unsestaicted in sign.

E.x. solve the LPP using Dual peroblem.

(1) Max $Z = 5n_1 - 2n_2 + 3n_3$

sub to $2\eta_1 + 2\eta_2 - \eta_3 \ge 2$ $3\eta_1 - 4\eta_2 \le 3$ $\eta_2 + 3\eta_3 \le 5$ $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \ge 0$

Solh cannonical form:- $M \propto Z = 5 M_1 - 2 M_2 + 3 M_3$ Sub to $-2 M_1 - 2 M_2 + M_3 \leq -2$

 $-211-212+13 \le -2$ $311-212+13 \le -2$ $311-212+13 \le -2$ $312+313 \le 5$ $311,312,313 \ge 0$

: The corresponding dual peroblem is Main W= -24, +342+543 Sub to -241+342 25 $-27, -472 + 72 \ge -2$ y, +3y3≥3 41, 72, 73 ≥ 0 Introducing the slades and Artificial vaerables; standard fogm is Min W=-27, +3/2+543+MAHMA sub to -2 y1+3/2-5/+A1=5 24,+1/2,-1/3+5,=2 4, +342 - S2+ A2=3 $91,92,93,51,52,53,A1,A2 \ge 0$

put 1=0, 4=0, 43=0, 51=0, 53=0

 \rightarrow $A_1 = 5$, $S_2 = 2$, $A_2 = 3$

C1	3 (Cj	-2 70	3		0 5,			M Aı	M Aq	ऽन्नाम	Min Ratio
	M	A۱	-2	3	0	-1	٥	0)	0	5	5/3
	0	52	2	(4)	-1	٥	1	0	0	0	2	1/2->
	M	A2	1	0	3	0	ð	-1	0	1	3	∞
		zj	-M	3M	3M	-M	6	M	M	M		
	Cy-	ジ	2+M	3-3M	2-3M	M	0	M	0	0		
i s	M	A,	-7/2	0	3/4	-	-3/4	. 0	ı	O	7/2	14/3
	3 M	42 A2	1/2		-/4		1/4		b	0	1/2	-2
-		Zj	3,-5	0	(-)	0 	0		0		3	1->
	(行马	2 2 -7 +	M 3	23	ή'') - 15.Μ	M 青	fM ·	M M	1 M		
		J	2	SM 0	4	y	4	मुंग	וין 0	0		
=	M	A		2 0	0		.1 -	3 1	ا (<i>ب</i> ا	-1, 1	M	11>
	3	42		72 1		0	, ,	4 (y) ' 1/2	-14	,	- -
	5										3/4	1
			/	/3 0	1	(, (0 .	-1/3	0 1/3	Ī	-3
		Zj	h1.	-2M :			1 3	-3-M	-23+W	0 1/3 1 M23 M	<u> </u>	-3
-	(习习	h1.		3 5	-1	1 3	-3-M	-23+W	1 M 27 N	1 +M	-3
-	0	可写	91. 12 65.1 19	-2M :	3 5	-1	1 34	-3-M	-22+M 12-14 12-14 19-1	M 27 M 12 Z M 0 -23 12	try .	-3
,	0 3	5 ₃	91. 12 65.1 12 -2/	-2M; +2M; 0	3 5	-r	1 34 1	3 M	-22+M 12-14 12-14 12-14 19-14	M 27 M 12 Z M 0 -23 12 1	+m/y 11	3
-		5 ₃	91. 12. 65.1 192.	-2M; +2M; 0	3 S 0 0	-1 -1 -1 -4/	1 34 734	3 3	-23-15 12-15 19-1	M 27 M 12 T M 0 -23 12 1 -1	+m/y 11 5/3	3
	3	5 ₃	91. 12. 65.1 192.	-2M; +2M; 0	3 S 0 0	-1 -1 -1 -4/	1 39 734	3 3	23-12-12	M 27 M 12 M 19 0 -23 19 -1	+m/y 11	-3
	3	53 192 193	12 65 19 -2/ -11	-2M; +2M; 0 1 1 3 3	3 5 0 0 0 0	-r	1 3 3 3	子M 子子 3 1 5 (23-19	M 27 M 12 M 19 0 -23 19 -1 19 0	+m/y 11 5/3	3
	3	53 42 43 Zj	12 65 19 -2/ -11	-2M; +2M; 0 1 1 3 3	3 5 0 0 0 0	-1 -1 -1, -1,	1 3 3 3	子M 子子 3 1 5 (23/2 1	M 27 M 12 M 19 0 -23 19 -1 19 0	+m/y 11 5/3	3

optimal solution is $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = \frac{5}{3}$, $y_3 = \frac{14}{3}$ Whin = $\frac{85}{3}$ The optimal solution of paimal problem

is $y_1 = \frac{23}{5}$, $y_2 = 5$, $y_3 = 0$ $y_4 = \frac{23}{5}$, $y_2 = 5$, $y_3 = 0$

Dual Simplex Method

In this method the solution starts with a basic infeasible optimal solution and work towards feasibility.

Step1. Convert the problem into maximization type.

Step2. Write all the constraints into \leq type.

Step3. Convert all the constraints into equal to type by adding slacks.

Step4. Find the initial solution and express it in the form of a table known as **dual simplex table**.

Step4. Compute $c_j - z_j$ row.

Case1. If any $c_i - z_i > 0$ then method fails.

Case2. If all $c_j - z_j \le 0$ and all solution values are ≥ 0 then the solution obtained is a optimal feasible solution.

Case3. if all $c_j - z_j \le 0$ and any solution value is negative then the solution obtained is **optimal but infeasible** and therefore we can go to **step5** to improve it towards feasibility.

Step5. The row containing least negative solution value is the **key row** and contains the out going variable.

Case 1. If all elements of key row are ≥ 0 then the problem does not have a feasible solution.

Case2. If at least one element is negative, then find the ratios between the corresponding values of $c_j - z_j$ and these values (ignoring positive and zero elements of key row).

The column containing the smallest of these ratios contains the incoming variable.

Perform the usual simplex operation to improve the solution till an optimal feasible solution obtained.

Dual simpler Method

E-x. Use and simplen method to solve

$$\mathbb{O} \quad \text{Max} \quad Z = -3 \, \text{M}_1 - 2 \, \text{M}_2$$

Sub to
$$n_1 + n_2 \ge 1$$

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \leq 7$$

$$\gamma_2 \leq 3$$

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$$

solh conventing to maximization cannonical form.

$$Max Z = -3 N_1 - 2 N_2$$

Sub to $-N_1 - N_2 \le -1$

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \leq 7$$

$$-\gamma_1-2\gamma_2\leq-10$$

$$M_3 \leq 3$$

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$$

Interoducing stacks and obtaining initial solution.

$$Max Z = -3M_1 - 2M_2$$

sub to
$$-N_1 - N_2 + S_1 = -1$$

$$1 + 12 + 52 = 7$$

$$-\eta_{1}-2\eta_{2}+5_{3}=-10$$

$$\gamma_2 + s_4 = 3$$

$$\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4} \geq 0$$

put $N_1 = 0$, $N_2 = 0$, $S_1 = -1$, $S_2 = 7$, $S_3 = -10$, $S_4 = 3$ $C_1 = -3$ -2 0 0 0 0 0axis $N_1 = N_2 = 1$ $S_1 = 1$ $S_2 = 1$ $S_3 = 1$ $S_4 = 1$ $S_5 = 1$ $S_6 = 1$ $S_6 = 1$ $S_7 = 1$ $S_8 = 1$

CB	Basis	-3	-2 N2	0 S ₁			0 Sy	Sol	
0	51	-1	-		0	0	D	-1	
0	52	1	1	0	[0	0	7	
0	53	-1	$\left(-2\right)$	0	0	1	0	-10 -	一 >
0	sy	0		0	0	0	1	3	
	Zj	0	0	O	O	0	0		
Cj	j-Zj	-3	-2	0	0	0	٥		
	-Zj	3	1	_	_	_	_		
	735		\uparrow						
0	51	-1/2_	0		Ò	-1/2	0	4	
0	52	1/2	0	D	1	1/2		2	
-2		1/2	1	\bigcirc	٥	-1/2	0	5	
0	Sy	(-1/2)) 0	0	D	1/2		-2-	<i>→</i>
	Zj	-1	-2	0	0	1	0		
C	j-2j	-2	0	C	0	-1	0		
_	j-Zj	Ч ^	-	-		_	_		
	,								

	81	M2	SI SOL	53	54	Solh
0 51	0	0	1 0	-1	-1	6
0 52	0	O	0 1	1	1	0
-2 7/2 -3 7/1	0	0	0 0	O	1 -2	3 4
Zj Cj-Zj	-3 0	-2 0		0 3	5 4 3 -4	

:. optimal feasible solution is $x_1=4$, $x_2=3$

g $Z_{MAX} = -18$

Note that so is in the basis with so = 0 >> It is optimal feasible Legengrate solution.

(2) Min $Z = N_1 + N_2$ $2N_1 + N_2 \ge 2$ $-N_1 - N_2 \ge 1$ $N_1, N_2 \ge 0$

solh conventing ento maximizations cannonical form.

Max $W = -2 = -\eta_1 - \eta_2$ sub to $-2\eta_1 - \eta_2 \le -2$ $\eta_1 + \eta_2 \le -1$ $\eta_1, \eta_2 \ge 0$ Interoducing slacks to obtain initial solution.

 $Max W = -n_1 - n_2$ sub to $-2\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}+s_{1}=-2$ $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + s_2 = -1$ $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 0$

(: 1	1	,	1 1	3/132	_ 0	
)	~\ ~\	-1 0 N2 5				Solh
0 5		(-2)	-) >2) b			
6			,	1	'		- <u>9</u> ->
0 5	2		1	0			-1
Z	ij	0	0	0	D		
cj-7	4	-	-	0	0		
cj-7	2	1/2	(-	_		
	J	\uparrow					
-1 X	1	1	1/2	-1/2	0		
0 5		0	1/2	1/2	1		-2>
Z	j	-	-1/2	1/2	0		
4-7	- j	٥	-1/2	-1/2			

since all cj-Zj & O, solution is optimal, but so = -2 =) It is infearible. Here So is outgoing variable but 52-9000 contains no negative coefficient so no other variable enters the basis.

=> Poroblem does not have fearible optimal solution.