

Predicate Logic

Extend propositional logic by the following new features.

- Variables: x, y, z, \dots
- Predicates (i.e., propositional functions):
 $P(x), Q(x), R(y), M(x, y), \dots$
- Quantifiers: \forall, \exists .

Propositional functions are a generalization of propositions.

- Can contain variables and predicates, e.g., $P(x)$.
- Variables stand for (and can be replaced by) elements from their domain.

Quantifiers

- We need quantifiers to formally express the meaning of the words “all” and “some”.
- The two most important quantifiers are:
 - ▶ Universal quantifier, “For all”. Symbol: \forall
 - ▶ Existential quantifier, “There exists”. Symbol: \exists
- $\forall x P(x)$ asserts that $P(x)$ is true for **every** x in the domain.
- $\exists x P(x)$ asserts that $P(x)$ is true for **some** x in the domain.
- The quantifiers are said to **bind** the variable x in these expressions.
- Variables in the scope of some quantifier are called **bound variables**. All other variables in the expression are called **free variables**.
- A propositional function that does not contain any free variables is a proposition and has a truth value.

Universal Quantifier

- $\forall x P(x)$ is read as “For all x , $P(x)$ ” or “For every x , $P(x)$ ”.
- The truth value depends not only on P , but also on the domain U .
- **Example:** Let $P(x)$ denote $x > 0$.
 - ▶ If U is the integers then $\forall x P(x)$ is false.
 - ▶ If U is the positive integers then $\forall x P(x)$ is true.

Existential Quantifier

- $\exists x P(x)$ is read as “For some x , $P(x)$ ” or “There is an x such that, $P(x)$ ”, or “For at least one x , $P(x)$ ”.
- The truth value depends not only on P , but also on the domain U .
- **Example:** Let $P(x)$ denote $x < 0$.
 - ▶ If U is the integers then $\exists x P(x)$ is true.
 - ▶ If U is the positive integers then $\exists x P(x)$ is false.

Precedence of Quantifiers

- Quantifiers \forall and \exists have **higher precedence** than all logical operators.
- $\forall x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$ means $(\forall x P(x)) \wedge Q(x)$. In particular, this expression contains a free variable.
- $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ means something different.

De Morgan's Law for Quantifiers

The rules for negating quantifiers are:

- $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$
- $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$

- Whoever can read is literate

$$\forall x [R(x) \supset L(x)]$$

- Dolphins are not literate

$$\forall x [D(x) \supset \neg L(x)]$$

- Some Dolphins are intelligent

$$\exists x [D(x) \wedge I(x)]$$

1. *Marcus was a man*

Man(Marcus)

2. *Marcus was a Pompeian*

Pompeian(Marcus)

3. *All Pompeians were Romans*

$\forall x [\text{Pompeian}(x) \supset \text{Roman}(x)]$

4. *Caesar was a ruler*

Ruler(Caesar)

5. *All Romans were either loyal to Caesar or hated him*

$\forall x [\text{Roman}(x) \supset (\text{LoyalTo}(x, \text{Caesar}) \vee \text{Hate}(x, \text{Caesar}))]$

6. *Everyone is loyal to someone*

$\forall x \exists y \text{LoyalTo}(x, y)$

Let $Q(x, y)$ denote the statement “ x is the capital of y .”
What are these truth values?

- a) $Q(\text{Denver, Colorado})$
- b) $Q(\text{Detroit, Michigan})$
- c) $Q(\text{Massachusetts, Boston})$
- d) $Q(\text{New York, New York})$

Translate these statements into English, where $C(x)$ is “ x is a comedian” and $F(x)$ is “ x is funny” and the domain consists of all people.

a) $\forall x(C(x) \rightarrow F(x))$

b) $\forall x(C(x) \wedge F(x))$

c) $\exists x(C(x) \rightarrow F(x))$

d) $\exists x(C(x) \wedge F(x))$

Translate these statements into English, where $R(x)$ is “ x is a rabbit” and $H(x)$ is “ x hops” and the domain consists of all animals.

a) $\forall x(R(x) \rightarrow H(x))$

b) $\forall x(R(x) \wedge H(x))$

c) $\exists x(R(x) \rightarrow H(x))$

d) $\exists x(R(x) \wedge H(x))$

Express the negation of these propositions using quantifiers, and then express the negation in English.

- a) Some drivers do not obey the speed limit.
- b) All Swedish movies are serious.
- c) No one can keep a secret.
- d) There is someone in this class who does not have a good attitude.

Let $P(x, y)$ be the statement “Student x has taken class y ,” where the domain for x consists of all students in your class and for y consists of all computer science courses at your school. Express each of these quantifications in English.

a) $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$

b) $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$

c) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$

d) $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$

e) $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$

f) $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$

Use quantifiers and predicates with more than one variable to express these statements.

- a) Every computer science student needs a course in discrete mathematics.
- b) There is a student in this class who owns a personal computer.
- c) Every student in this class has taken at least one computer science course.
- d) There is a student in this class who has taken at least one course in computer science.
- e) Every student in this class has been in every building on campus.
- f) There is a student in this class who has been in every room of at least one building on campus.
- g) Every student in this class has been in at least one room of every building on campus.

-) “Every student has an Internet account.” “Homer does not have an Internet account.” “Maggie has an Internet account.”

$P(x)$ = “ x is a student”

$Q(x)$ = “ x has an Internet account”

We can then rewrite the given statements using the above interpretations.

Step		Reason
1.	$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	<i>Premise</i>
2.	$\neg Q(\text{Homer})$	<i>Premise</i>
3.	$Q(\text{Maggie})$	<i>Premise</i>
4.	$P(\text{Homer}) \rightarrow Q(\text{Homer})$	Universal instantiation from (1)
5.	$\neg P(\text{Homer})$	Modus tollens from (2) and (4)

Step (5) means that “Homer is not a student”.