

Master Thesis:

Design and Development of a Fog Service
Orchestration Engine for Smart Factories

Master Thesis
from

Markus Paeschke

Supervisor: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Thomas Magedanz
Dr.-Ing. Alexander Willner
Mathias Brito

Acknowledgments

thank your supervisors

thank your colleagues

thank your family and friends

Berlin, February 12, 2017

Markus Paeschke
Trachtenbrodtstr. 32
10409 Berlin

I hereby declare that the following thesis “Design and Development of a Fog Service Orchestration Engine for Smart Factories” has been written only by the undersigned and without any assistance from third parties.

Furthermore, I confirm that no sources have been used in the preparation of this thesis other than those indicated in the thesis itself.

Berlin, February 12, 2017

Markus Paeschke

Contents

List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iv
Quellcodeverzeichnis	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Problem Statement	1
1.3 Assumptions and Scope	1
1.4 Objectives and Contributions	1
1.5 Methodology and Outline	1
2 Related Work	2
2.1 Internet of Things	2
2.1.1 Industry 4.0 and Smart Factories	3
2.1.2 Cyber Physical Systems	4
2.1.3 Fog Computing	5
2.2 Virtualization	5
2.2.1 Virtual Machines	5
2.2.2 Container Virtualization	5
2.2.3 Container Orchestration	6
2.2.4 Network Function Virtualization	6
2.3 Conclusion	6
3 Requirements Analysis	7
3.1 Introduction	7
3.2 System requirements	7
3.3 Technologies	7
3.4 Use-Case-Analysis	7
3.5 Delineation from existing solutions	7
3.6 Conclusion	7
4 Design	8
4.1 Introduction	8
4.2 Development environment	8
4.3 Evaluation of existing frameworks	8
4.3.1 Docker	8

4.3.2	Docker Swarm	8
4.3.3	Kubernetes	8
4.3.4	Open Baton	8
4.3.5	ETSI MANO	8
4.3.6	TOSCA	8
4.4	Architecture of the system	8
4.4.1	Orchestration layer	8
4.4.2	Constraint layer	8
4.4.3	User interface	8
4.5	Conclusion	8
5	Implementation	9
5.1	Introduction	9
5.2	Project structure	9
5.3	Used external libraries	9
5.4	Custom code	9
5.5	Implementation of the orchestration layer	9
5.6	Implementation of the constraint layer	9
5.7	Implementation of the user interface	9
5.8	Conclusion	9
6	Evaluation	10
6.1	Introduction	10
6.2	Experimental Validation	10
6.3	Performance Evaluation	10
6.4	Observational Validation	10
6.5	Deployments	10
6.6	Code Verification	10
6.7	Comparative Analysis	10
6.8	Conclusion	10
7	Summary and Further Work	11
7.1	Overview	11
7.2	Conclusion and Impact	11
7.3	Outlook	11
	Acronyms	I
	Glossary	II
	Bibliography	III

List of Figures

1	Structure traditional VMs vs. Docker	5
---	--	---

List of Tables

1	Design principles of each Industry 4.0 component	3
---	--	---

List of Listings

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

1.2 Problem Statement

1.3 Assumptions and Scope

1.4 Objectives and Contributions

1.5 Methodology and Outline

Chapter 2

Related Work

This chapter will give an overview into the background and concepts of this thesis. In the first section the Internet of Things and related subtopics like Smart Factories and Smart Cities are considered. Cyber Physical Systems, which are important for the development of Smart Factories are also covered in this section. Virtualization in general is the main topic of the second section. First we dive into the area of Virtual Machines, followed by Container Virtualization. Both are related to each other and sharing some basic ideas. Container Orchestration as an own subsection shows some possibilities of Container Virtualization. The last subsection Network Function Virtualization concludes with an introduction into the virtualization of network node functions to create communication services.

2.1 Internet of Things

The Internet of Things (IoT) has been a subject of great media- and economically growth in the recent years. In the year 2008 the number of devices which are connected to the internet was higher than the human population.[Eva11] Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group predicted that the number will grow up to 50 billion in 2020, this equates to around 6 devices per person.[Eva11] Most of today's interactions are Human-to-Human (H2H) or Human-to-Machine (H2M) communication. The IoT on the other hand aims for the Machine-to-Machine (M2M) communication. This allows every physical device to be interconnected and to communicate with each other. These devices are also called "Smart Devices". Creating a network where all physical objects and people are connected via software is one primary goal of the IoT.[RD15][TKL13] When objects are able to capture and monitor their environment, a network can perceive external stimuli and respond to them.[Itu05, p. 40] Therefore a new dimension of information and communication technology will be created, where users have access to everything at any time, everywhere. In addition to smart devices, subcategories are also emerging from the internet of things which, in addition to the physical devices, also describe technologies such as protocols and infrastructures. The "Smart Home" has been a prominent topic in media and business for many years. SmartCity or Industrie 4.0 are also becoming established and are increasingly popular. But the Internet started with the appearance of bar codes and Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) chips.[TKL13] The second step, which is more or less the current situation, sensors, physical devices, technical devices, data and software are connected to each other.[TKL13] This was achieved, in particular,

by cloud computing, which provides the highly efficient memory and computing power that is indispensable for such networks.[RD15] The next step could be a "Cognitive Internet of Things", which enables easier object and data reuse across application areas, for example through interoperable solutions, high-speed internet connections and a semantic information distribution.[TKL13] Just as the omnipresent information processing in everyday life, also known as "Ubiquitous Computing", which was first mentioned in the "The Computer for the 21st Century"[Wei91] by Mark Weiser, it will take some time until it is ubiquitous.

2.1.1 Industry 4.0 and Smart Factories

The industry as an changing environment is currently in the state of the so called "fourth industrial revolution". The first industrial revolution was driven by steam powered machines. Mass production and division of labor was the primary improvement of the second industrial revolution, whereas the third revolution was characterized by using electronics and the integration of Information Technology (IT) into manufacturing processes.[LPS16, p. 1] In the recent years the size, cost and power consumption of chipsets are reduced which made it possible to embed sensors into devices and machines much easier and cheaper.[BHSW16, p. 1] The "Industrie 4.0" is the fourth step in this evolution and was first mentioned at the Hannover Fair in 2011.[LPS16, p. 1] "Industrie 4.0 is a collective term for technologies and concepts of value chain organization."[HPO15, p. 11] Main components of a Smart Factory are Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), IoT, Internet of Services (IoS), Internet of People (IoP) and Internet of Energy (IoE).[HPO15, p. 11]

	Cyber-Physical Systems	Internet of Things	Internet of Services	Smart Factory
Interoperability	X	X	X	X
Virtualization	X	-	-	X
Decentralization	X	-	-	X
Real-Time Capability	-	-	-	X
Service Orientation	-	-	X	-
Modularity	-	-	X	-

Table 1: Design principles of each Industry 4.0 component.[HPO15, p. 11]

Table 1 shows the six design principles which can be from the Industrie 4.0 components. They can help companies to identify and implement Industry 4.0 scenarios.[HPO15, p. 11]

1. *Interoperability* CPS of various manufacturers are connected with each other. Standards will be the key success factor in this area.[HPO15, p. 11]
2. *Virtualization* CPS are able to monitor physical processes via sensors. The resulting data is linked to virtual plant and simulation models. These models are virtual copies of physical world entities.[HPO15, p. 11]
3. *Decentralization* CPS are able to make decisions on their own, for example when RFID chips send the necessary working steps to the machine. Only in cases of failure the systems delegate task to a higher level.[HPO15, p. 11]
4. *Real-Time Capability* Data has to be collected and analyzed in real time and the status of the plant is permanently tracked and analyzed. This enables the CPS to react to a

failure of a machine and can reroute the products to another machine.[HPO15, p. 11]

5. *Service Orientation* CPS are available over the IoS and can be offered both internally and across company borders to different participants. The manufacturing process can be composed based on specific customer requirements.[HPO15, p. 11]
6. *Modularity* The system is able to be adjusted in case of seasonal fluctuations or changed product characteristics, by replacing or expanding individual modules.[HPO15, p. 11]

Another important aspect of Industry 4.0 is implementation of the digital structure of Smart Factory. The vertical integration contains the connection and communication of subsystems within the factory enables flexible and adaptable manufacturing systems.[Vbw14, p. 7 ff.] The horizontal integration enables technical processes to be integrated in cross-company business processes and to be synchronized in real time through multiple participants.[Vbw14, p. 7 ff.]

mehr schreiben Infographik ?

2.1.2 Cyber Physical Systems

"These advancements benefit the development of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPSs), a technology where every physical entity has a digital twin or avatar in the virtual world, also facilitate the move from centralized control, configuration and management of machines to autonomous and decentralized solutions." [?]

"Cyber-Physical Systems (CPSs), a technology where every physical entity has a digital twin or avatar in the virtual world. It also facilitates the move from centralized control, configuration and management of machines to autonomous and decentralized solutions. The application of CPS's concepts in the Shop Floor brings an essential framework to the development of Smart Factories, but the technology still needs improvements and maturity." [?]

"CPS comes as a concept that foreseen the digitization of physical systems allowing its representation in the virtual world [19], and this is becoming possible due to achievements made in different fields, such as embedded systems, miniaturization, and network technologies, to cite some." [?]

"The important part of the Industry 4.0 is the Cyber-Physical System (CPS), networking machines and components with the additional intelligent and highly flexible software. CPSs are connected with embedded systems, these being parts of complete devices with real-time computing constraints. CPSs link such embedded systems to digital networks facilitating independent data processing. The assignment of an IP address allows such systems to be controlled and monitored online (the Figure 4 shows the basic principles of CPS). Owing to such embedded computer systems, sensors and actuators, cyber-physical systems organize production automatically and autonomously [18]. Central process control can be eliminated as it can be taken over by CPS-based components. This concept of a value chain organization is also referred not to the Industry 4.0, but also smart city, where each component should behave in the same way. CPS can be considered as the first common area that connects the smart city and Industry 4.0 factory to the whole forming a mutual symbiosis." [?]




Figure 1: Structure traditional VMs vs. Docker. Adapted from: [?], p. 2

2.1.3 Fog Computing

2.2 Virtualization

2.2.1 Virtual Machines

2.2.2 Container Virtualization

Docker

"First, we must know what exactly Docker is and does. Docker is a container management system that helps easily manage Linux Container (LXC) in an easier and universal fashion. This lets you create images in virtual environments on your laptop and run commands or operations against them. The actions you do to the containers that you run in these environments locally on your own machine will be the same commands or operations you run against them when they are running in your production environment. This helps in not having to do things differently when you go from a development environment like that on your local machine to a production environment like that on your local machine to a production environment on your server. Now, let's take a look at the differences between Docker containers and the typical virtual machine environments.

In the following illustration, we can see the typical Docker setup on the right-hand side versus the typical VM setup on the left-hand side:

"This illustration gives us a lot of insight into the biggest key benefit of Docker, that is, there is no need for a complete operating system every time we need to bring up a new container, which cuts down on the overall size of containers. Docker relies on using the host OS's Linux kernel (since almost all the versions of Linux use the standard kernel models) for the OS it was built upon, such as Red Hat, CentOS, Ubuntu, and so on. For this reason, you can have almost any Linux OS as your host operating system (Ubuntu in the previous illustration)

and be able to layer other OSes on top of the host. For example, in the earlier illustration, we could have Red Hat running for one app (the one on the left) and Debian running for the other app (the one on the right), but there would never be need to actually install Red Hat or Debian on the host. Thus, another benefit of Docker is the size of images when they are born. They are not built with the largest piece: the kernel or the operating system. This makes them incredibly small, compact, and easy to ship." [?]]

2.2.3 Container Orchestration

Kubernetes

Docker Swarm

2.2.4 Network Function Virtualization

2.3 Conclusion

Chapter 3

Requirements Analysis

3.1 Introduction

3.2 System requirements

3.3 Technologies

3.4 Use-Case-Analysis

3.5 Delineation from existing solutions

3.6 Conclusion

Chapter 4

Design

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Development environment

4.3 Evaluation of existing frameworks

4.3.1 Docker

4.3.2 Docker Swarm

4.3.3 Kubernetes

4.3.4 Open Baton

4.3.5 ETSI MANO

4.3.6 TOSCA

4.4 Architecture of the system

4.4.1 Orchestration layer

4.4.2 Constraint layer

4.4.3 User interface

4.5 Conclusion

Chapter 5

Implementation

5.1 Introduction

5.2 Project structure

5.3 Used external libraries

5.4 Custom code

5.5 Implementation of the orchestration layer

5.6 Implementation of the constraint layer

5.7 Implementation of the user interface

5.8 Conclusion

Chapter 6

Evaluation

6.1 Introduction

6.2 Experimental Validation

6.3 Performance Evaluation

6.4 Observational Validation

6.5 Deployments

6.6 Code Verification

6.7 Comparative Analysis

6.8 Conclusion

Chapter 7

Summary and Further Work

7.1 Overview

7.2 Conclusion and Impact

7.3 Outlook

Acronyms

CPS	Cyber-Physical Systems
H2H	Human-to-Human
H2M	Human-to-Machine
IoE	Internet of Energy
IoP	Internet of People
IoS	Internet of Services
IoT	Internet of Things
IT	Information Technology
M2M	Machine-to-Machine
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification

Glossary

Algorithmus a

Chiffrierung a

Dechiffrierung a

Bibliography

- [BHSW16] BRITO, M. S. D. ; HOQUE, S. ; STEINKE, R. ; WILLNER, A.: Towards Programmable Fog Nodes in Smart Factories. In: *2016 IEEE 1st International Workshops on Foundations and Applications of Self* Systems (FAS*W)*, 2016, S. 236–241
- [Eva11] EVANS, Dave: The Internet of Things: How the Next Evolution of the Internet Is Changing Everything / Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group (IBSG). Version: April 2011. http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT_IBSG_0411FINAL.pdf. 2011. – Forschungsbericht. – Accessed: 2017-02-12
- [HPO15] HERMANN, M. ; PENTEK, T. ; OTTO, B.: Design Principles for Industrie 4.0 Scenarios: A Literature Review / Technische Universität Dortmund - Fakultät Maschinenbau. Version: Jan 2015. http://www.thiagobranquinho.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Design-Principles-for-Industrie-4_0-Scenarios.pdf. 2015. – Forschungsbericht. – Accessed: 2017-02-12
- [Itu05] INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION: ITU Internet Reports: The Internet of Things. Version: November 2005. <https://www.itu.int/net/wwis/tunis/newsroom/stats/The-Internet-of-Things-2005.pdf>. 2005. – Forschungsbericht. – Accessed: 2017-02-12
- [LPS16] LOM, M. ; PRIBYL, O. ; SVITEK, M.: Industry 4.0 as a part of smart cities. In: *2016 Smart Cities Symposium Prague (SCSP)*, 2016, S. 1–6
- [RD15] RUI, J. ; DANPENG, S.: Architecture Design of the Internet of Things Based on Cloud Computing. In: *2015 Seventh International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation*, 2015. – ISSN 2157–1473, S. 206–209
- [TKL13] THORSTEN KRAMP, Rob van K. ; LANGE, Sebastian: Introduction to the Internet of Things. In: *Enabling Things to Talk* Bd. 1, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. – ISBN 978–3–642–40402–3, S. 1–10
- [Vbw14] VBW VEREINIGUNG DER BAYERISCHEN WIRTSCHAFT E. V.: Dienstleistungspotenziale im Rahmen von Industrie 4.0. Version: Mar 2014. <http://www.forschungsnetzwerk.at/downloadpub/dienstleistungspotenziale-industrie-4.0-mar-2014.pdf>. 2014. – Forschungsbericht. – Accessed: 2017-02-12
- [Wei91] WEISER, Mark: *The Computer for the 21st Century*. <http://www.ubiq.com/>

hypertext/weiser/SciAmDraft3.html. Version: September 1991. – Accessed:
2017-02-12

