

Advanced Web Security

Secure Messaging (OTR)

EITN41 - Advanced Web Security

1

OTR Messaging

- ▶ Off-the-Record messaging
 - No one else can hear the conversation
 - Neither Alice nor Bob can provide proof of what has been said



- ▶ Allow the following properties
 - Encryption
 - Authentication
 - Deniability
 - Perfect Forward Secrecy
- ▶ Protocol has high focus on usability and practical aspects

EITN41 - Advanced Web Security

2

Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA)

- ▶ Diffie-Hellman key agreement
 - Exchange signed Diffie-Hellman values and public keys
$$A \rightarrow B : (g^{x_1})_{SK_A}, PK_A$$

$$B \rightarrow A : (g^{y_1})_{SK_B}, PK_B$$
- ▶ How should we verify public keys?
 - PKI is not suitable in messaging protocols
 - We can not assume that they have met and exchanged public keys, or fingerprints
- ▶ Without knowing each other's public key they can not verify it.
 - Vulnerable to MitM-attacks
- ▶ Still, they probably have *some* shared *low entropy* secret

EITN41 - Advanced Web Security

3

Socialist Millionaires Problem

- ▶ Millionaires problem
 - Two people wish to know who is richest – but they do not want to reveal their wealth
- ▶ Variant: Socialist Millionaires Problem
 - Two people want to know if they have the same wealth, but not to reveal how much they have.



EITN41 - Advanced Web Security

4

Socialist Millionaires Problem (SMP)

- ▶ Alice has value x , Bob has value y .
 - Use a protocol that verifies if $x = y$
- ▶ Naïve solution: Exchange hash values.
 - Vulnerable to brute force, does not meet the low entropy requirement
- ▶ Use a protocol that allows exchange of values that do not give away *any* information
 - See lecture notes for a protocol.

SMP applied to AKA

- ▶ Add SMP to the protocol

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A \rightarrow B & : & (g^{x_1})_{SK_A}, \quad PK_A \\ B \rightarrow A & : & (g^{y_1})_{SK_B}, \quad PK_B \end{array}$$

$$x = y = H(PK_A \parallel PK_B \parallel g^{x_1 y_1} \parallel \text{"shared secret"})$$

SMP

- ▶ Eve now has only one chance to guess the secret in a MitM
 - If she fails, SMP will fail \rightarrow Alice and Bob will know

Encryption and authentication of messages

- ▶ Diffie-Hellman provides Perfect Forward Secrecy
- ▶ However, if exponents are broken or leaked, the session is broken
- ▶ “Solution”: Make each message its own session

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \vdots & & \\ A \rightarrow B & : & g^{x_i}, \quad E(M_j, k_{i-1, i-1}) \\ B \rightarrow A & : & g^{y_i}, \quad E(M_{j+1}, k_{i, i-1}) \\ A \rightarrow B & : & g^{x_{i+1}}, \quad E(M_{j+2}, k_{i, i}) \\ B \rightarrow A & : & g^{y_{i+1}}, \quad E(M_{j+3}, k_{i+1, i}) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Authenticate messages with MAC (derived from Diffie-Hellman)

Add Deniability

- ▶ With a MAC, only Alice or Bob can have created the message
- ▶ After verifying MAC, it is sent in clear in the next message.
- ▶ Make it possible to modify plaintexts
 - Not only repudiation, but also forgeability
- ▶ Use stream cipher so that it is also easy to modify known plaintexts to another known plaintext

$$c_i \oplus 1 = m_i \oplus k_i \oplus 1 = m_i \oplus 1 \oplus k_i.$$