⊛ ChatGPT

Reliable EEG Signals Using Two Frontal Electrodes (F3 & F4)

Designing a brain-computer interface (BCI) with only two electrodes at positions F3 and F4 (left and right frontal scalp) is challenging but feasible. The goal is to find **reliable EEG features** that can act like a "brain button" – producing a clear high/low signal within ~1 second when the user performs a specific mental cue. Below we discuss the most promising EEG signals for this setup, considering reliability, generalizability, and low latency.

1. Frontal Alpha/Beta Rhythm (Relaxation vs. Concentration)

Alpha-wave attenuation and beta enhancement: One well-known effect is the suppression of alpha waves (8–13 Hz) and a rise in beta waves (>13 Hz) during mental effort or alertness. When a person is **relaxed with eyes closed**, alpha activity is strong, especially in posterior regions but also measurable at frontal sites when referenced appropriately. However, if the person **opens their eyes or engages in a mental task**, the alpha rhythm "blocks" (decreases) and higher-frequency beta waves increase in amplitude [1] . This phenomenon, often called *"alpha blocking"*, reflects increased cortical arousal. In practical terms, a user can trigger a change by switching between a relaxed state (eyes closed or unfocused, producing more alpha) and a focused state (mental arithmetic or intense concentration, suppressing alpha and boosting beta). This difference can be detected as a change in the power spectrum at F3/F4 within under a second. Indeed, beta activity tends to dominate when one is **alert and problem-solving**, whereas alpha dominates when **calm or drowsy** [2] [1] . By setting a threshold on the real-time alpha or beta power at F3-F4, one can get a reliable binary signal (e.g., alpha power high = "off", alpha low & beta high = "on"). This approach is **highly generalizable** – nearly everyone shows alpha suppression with mental effort [3] . Latency can be very low (sub-second) since alpha changes almost immediately when the state changes.

Frontal asymmetry option: Another related signal is **frontal alpha asymmetry** – the difference in alpha power between F3 and F4. This is traditionally an index of emotional or cognitive state (e.g. approach vs. withdrawal motivation). While it's more often used for mood research than quick control, some neurofeedback protocols train people to intentionally shift alpha asymmetry [4] [5] . For example, thinking of something positive versus negative can slightly tilt the balance of alpha amplitude left vs. right. In theory, this could be leveraged as a binary trigger (if reliably learned), but it typically requires training and is slower and less pronounced than the basic alpha-blocking described above.

2. Frontal Theta Increase (Mental Workload/Working Memory)

Frontal-midline theta (FMθ, ~4–7 Hz) is another robust signal in the frontal lobe. Theta power in the frontal region (maximal at Fz, but detectable at F3/F4) **surges during intense mental effort, working memory tasks, or sustained attention** [6] [7] . Classic studies showed that continuously doing mental arithmetic (e.g. serial subtraction) produces a pronounced theta rhythm over midline frontal cortex [7] . This theta increase is essentially the brain "gearing up" for cognitive control and memory processing.

To use this as a trigger, the user could be cued to **engage in a specific mental task** (for example: solve a quick math problem, recite a series of numbers, or perform an imagery task) to turn the "button" on. The **theta power at F3/F4 will rise** during those ~1–2 seconds of intense mental activity, then drop when they stop. Research indicates frontal theta correlates with working memory load and concentration, and is **consistently observed across individuals** during such tasks [6] . By monitoring the EEG in the 4–7 Hz band, one can detect this increase. For instance, a threshold on theta power or a machine learning classifier could distinguish "task vs. no-task" states reliably. The latency can be very short (~1 second or less) since theta power increases within the first second of the task onset [8] . This signal is fairly general: **most people** exhibit frontal theta during cognitive effort (it's a fundamental brain response to mental workload [6]). One caveat is that strong **drowsiness** can also produce theta, but in a different context (and usually accompanied by alpha decreases); in an interactive setting this is less of an issue.

3. Slow Cortical Potential (SCP) Shifts as a "Brain Switch"

Slow cortical potentials are very slow EEG shifts (on the order of 0.5–5 seconds) that reflect cortical activation level (negative SCP = locally increased cortical excitability, positive SCP = decreased excitability) [9] . Uniquely, some BCI systems train users to *consciously control* their SCP amplitude as a binary signal. With sufficient training, individuals can learn to intentionally produce a brief negative shift or positive shift in voltage at an electrode, effectively acting like a mental "switch" [9] .

Notably, SCP training has been demonstrated using the *difference between F3 and F4* electrodes. In one study, naive subjects learned to generate slow negativity shifts in either the left (F3) or right (F4) hemisphere on cue, by applying different mental strategies [5] . For example, some were instructed to think of a **positive/approach emotion to activate the left side** versus a **negative emotion for the right side**, successfully causing lateralized SCP changes [5] . This suggests you could train a user to consistently push the voltage at F3-F4 electrode (or one vs the other) up or down past a threshold to signal "0/1". The **benefit** of SCPs is that they don't require external stimuli and can be very direct once mastered – essentially the user learns to press a mental "button" by shifting their brain state. They are also *potentially generalizable* (many people can learn it with feedback), and once learned, it's reliable and under voluntary control.

However, the **drawbacks** are non-trivial: SCP self-regulation usually **requires extensive training** (often weeks of practice) and some users never achieve strong control [10] . The response is also slow relative to other signals – although an initial SCP shift can begin within 1 second, typically these systems use a few seconds window to stabilize the detection. If 1-second latency is the absolute max, SCP might be just on the edge of that window. Nonetheless, it is a viable method if one is willing to invest in training and if other fast signals (like alpha/theta or blinks) are not desired. In fact, SCP-based BCIs have been successfully used for basic yes/no communication in paralyzed patients [11] , proving that the brain can be conditioned to act as a binary switch via SCP modulation.

4. Event-Related Potentials (e.g. P300) with External Stimuli

If you are open to using an **external cue or stimulus**, event-related potentials (ERPs) can provide reliable triggers. The most famous is the **P300** wave – a positive voltage peak around ~300 ms after a rare, significant event. In a typical P300 "oddball" paradigm, the user pays attention to a target stimulus (e.g., a particular flash or sound amid many distractions); whenever the target occurs, the brain produces a P300 response that can be detected in the EEG [12] . The P300 **requires almost no training** – it's an innate

response to novel or meaningful events, and is *consistently present in most people* when attention is engaged [13]. This is why many BCI spellers and selection devices rely on P300; users can achieve control in their very first session by simply focusing on the desired option, which elicits the P3 as a "selection" signal.

For a two-electrode setup, one could arrange a simple oddball task (for example: two different LEDs flash, the user focuses on the one corresponding to "yes/trigger", ignoring the other for "no"). When the attended flash occurs, a P300 should appear in the EEG. Typically, the **P300 is strongest at central-parietal sites (Cz/Pz) and midline frontal (Fz)** [14]. With only F3 and F4, the P300 signal will not be at its peak amplitude, but it may still be detectable — especially the more frontal subcomponent (sometimes called P3a) for novel stimuli. In fact, P300-based BCIs have been recorded even with non-standard electrode locations and still work above chance [15] [14]. The key advantage is reliability and speed: the P300 occurs ~0.3 s after the event and can be detected within, say, 0.5–1 s total. Many users can achieve >90% accuracy in simple P300 binary tasks under lab conditions [13].

Considerations: Using P300 does require presenting **sensory stimuli** and the user's concentration, so it's not purely "internal" like the other methods. Fatigue and attention span can affect P300 amplitudes over time [16]. But for most people, this signal is very robust and generalizable, provided they can see/hear the cues and respond mentally. If the application allows an external flash/beep, P300 is a top contender for a fast, reliable brain "button press" signal with minimal training.

5. Eye-Blink Artifacts as an Intentional Control

Although not an endogenous "brainwave" per se, **voluntary eye movements or blinks** produce very large signals on frontal EEG electrodes and can be harnessed as a quick control mechanism. A forceful **eye blink generates a distinct, high-amplitude spike** in the EEG at frontal sites (due to the electrical dipole of the eye) [17]. Even with only F3 and F4, a blink or an upward eye-roll will create a **clear voltage deflection** that is trivial to detect with simple thresholds or filters. In fact, many low-channel-count BCI systems default to using eye blinks or winks as "BCI commands" because of how reliable and fast they are [17]. For example, one project achieved real-time classification of single vs. double blinks as separate commands using just a couple of EEG channels [18].

For your case, a **single intentional blink** could map to a binary "press." The latency here is excellent: the EEG spike occurs during the blink (~200–300 ms in) and is unmistakable in amplitude (often tens or hundreds of µV, far above typical brain rhythms). Everyone blinks, so it's fully generalizable. The only real downsides are that it's not a *cognitive* task (it's a physical action) and blinks will momentarily impede vision – but as a quick trigger, it works exceedingly well. If the application is tolerant of using an eye movement as the control (some consider it a form of "implicit BCI"), it might actually be the **most reliable** option of all. Many commercial EEG toys use exactly this trick to get a reliable signal from minimal hardware (for instance, using a forehead sensor to detect muscle/eye artifacts).

Note: If muscle artifacts are acceptable, similarly **jaw clenches or frowning** can be picked up by frontal electrodes. But those involve facial muscles; a blink is more purely an ocular artifact. Since you specifically asked for EEG signals "triggered by mental cue", you might prefer users not to have to physically blink on purpose. Still, it's worth mentioning given its practicality. Even if you focus on true brain-originated signals like the ones above, be aware that **involuntary blinks or eye movements will appear in F3/F4 data**. You'll need to either filter those out or consciously interpret them as separate events. If you ever need a backup

control (in case cognitive signals are too subtle or user is struggling), a deliberate blink is a straightforward fallback with near-zero false positives when thresholded properly [17] .

Conclusion and Additional Tips

With an EEG setup limited to F3 and F4, you can still capture several useful signals:

- *Alpha/Beta modulation* – quickly detectable changes with relaxation vs. concentration (highly reliable across users).
- *Theta bursts* – appear during intense mental effort or memory tasks, giving a "cognitive push" signal.
- *Trained SCP shifts* – a learned control for a binary switch, powerful but requiring practice.
- *P300 ERP* – an externally-cued brain response for choice selection, very robust and fast if stimuli are used.
- *Eye-blink artifacts* – extremely reliable "high/low" events (if motor actions are acceptable as trigger).

Each method has trade-offs in training, speed, and user effort. For a **biofeedback application with ~1 second latency**, the *alpha/beta or theta-based approach* might be simplest: users can learn to reliably enter a "focused" state vs. a "relaxed" state on cue, which you can map to on/off signals in software. These physiological changes are **generalizable to most people** and can be reinforced with feedback (making the system even more reliable over time). On the other hand, if you want a more discrete, event-like control (akin to a button press), the **P300 or blink detection** will give a clear momentary pulse when triggered.

Given you are using **Plux Biosignals OpenSignals with LSL and Python**, you have flexibility in real-time processing. You can experiment with all the above: e.g., apply an FFT to detect alpha/theta power changes, implement a moving average to catch SCP drift, or set a voltage threshold for blinks. It may even be useful to **combine signals** (a hybrid approach) to improve reliability – for instance, some systems combine an ERP with a voluntary blink as a confirmation. Start with the signal that's easiest for your user base and scenario. If the goal is an "instant" trigger with minimal mental load, a blink or a quick focused thought (alpha suppression) might be ideal. If the goal is hands-free selection among options, a P300 paradigm could be better.

In summary, even with just F3 and F4, you can extract meaningful "high vs. low" events from the EEG. Human frontal EEG is rich with information: from slow shifts reflecting arousal, to rhythmic oscillations linked to cognitive states, to sharply time-locked responses to stimuli. By leveraging these, you can create a reliable EEG-based "button press" that works for most people in ~1 second, fulfilling the requirements of your biofeedback application. Good luck with your BCI development!

Sources: The above recommendations are supported by BCI research and neurofeedback literature, which demonstrate these signal characteristics and their use in simple EEG control systems: frontal alpha/beta changes with mental state [1] , task-related frontal theta bursts [7] , trained SCP control at F3/F4 [5] , P300 ERP as a quick, reliable binary signal [12] [14] , and eye-blink EEG spikes for intentional control [17] . All these signals have been observed to be generalizable across users and detectable with low electrode counts in ~1s timeframes. Thus, they represent the **most reliable EEG features** for your two-electrode setup.

[1] [2] iworx.com
https://iworx.com/documents/IX-ELVIS_labexercises/EEGActivity-LS2_ELVIS.pdf

[3] Separating EEG correlates of stress: Cognitive effort, time pressure …
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ejn.15211

[4] [5] EEG Info - Depression Research - neurofeedback, EEG Biofeedback, Review papers and studies
https://www.eeginfo.com/research/depression_main.jsp

[6] [7] Frontiers | Would frontal midline theta indicate cognitive changes induced by non-invasive brain stimulation? A mini review
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2023.1116890/full

[8] Increased frontal electroencephalogram theta amplitude in patients with anorexia nervosa compared to healthy controls - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5036600/

[9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [16] Frontiers | EEG-Based Brain–Computer Interfaces for Communication and Rehabilitation of People with Motor Impairment: A Novel Approach of the 21st Century
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00014/full

[14] [15] Frontiers | Recording the tactile P300 with the cEEGrid for potential use in a brain-computer interface
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2024.1371631/full

[17] I Controlled My Computer With a Blink — Here's How You Can Too (Using Python + EEG) | by Piyush | Medium
https://medium.com/@112piyushsingh/i-controlled-my-computer-with-a-blink-heres-how-you-can-too-using-python-eeg-8f02f8dee89b

[18] EEG based real time classification of consecutive two eye blinks for …
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-025-07205-0