

NeuroData Design 1
Wilson Tang
9/10/19

Paper Information:

Title: Diffusion MRI fiber tractography of the brain
Authors: Ben Jeurissen, Maxime Descoteaux, Susuma Mori, Alexander Leemans
Published in Wiley NMR in BIOMEDICINE, 25 September 2017
Link: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/nbm.3785>

Key Common Terms:

Diffusion MRI (dMRI) – MRI sequence that is able to detect the diffusion of water molecules. Useful for mapping brain fibers due to the directional dependence along the fibers.
Tractography – Virtual characterization the global fiber trajectories of a brain from a set of data that relate indirectly to nerve fibers.
Connectome – Comprehensive map of neural connections in the brain. (Brain wiring)
White matter fibers – Brain matter composed of myelinated nerve fibers (white) that are packed into bundles and connect different regions of the brain.
Voxel – “Spatial” pixel, represents a point in a 3-D space.

Summary:

Fiber tracking from dMRI data allows quantitative analysis of white matter for tractography, an essential part of building maps of the brain for a greater understanding of the brain and many practical applications such as surgical planning.

Key Assumptions of Fiber Tractography:

- Is a virtual entity that indirectly relates to actual white matter fibers
- Each imaging voxel is characterized by a single predominant fiber orientation
- Nerve fibers align themselves along a common orientation and diffusion will be hindered across it rather than along it.
- Local orientations at each voxel are pieced together into a global fiber trajectory

Steps of Fiber Tractography:

- Local Fiber Orientation Estimation
 - o Relate raw dMRI to local fiber orientations using average diffusion pattern of water
 - o Common Models
 - Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)
 - Can only measure one fiber population per voxel
 - Pros: Low computational and data requirements, Cons: Poor estimator of underlying fiber orientation
 - Higher Order Models: Fiber Orientation Distribution Function (fODF)
 - Can measure multiple fiber orientations per voxel

- Pros: Better estimation of underlying fiber orientation, Cons: Low adoption
- Integration Methods
 - Form long-range fiber trajectories
 - Start at a seed point and step-wise integrate (Eulers or Runge-Kutta integration)
 - Seeds are determined by user
 - Seed points from ROIs (e.g defined by Atlases, cortical activation maps, users)
 - Whole brain tractography – seed points from around the brain
- Interpolation Methods
 - Relate local fiber orientations in an arbitrary position in space to voxel spatial grid
 - Most Common Method: Trilinear Interpolation
 - Calculated as a weighted (dependent on distance) sum from 8 nearest voxels to point of interest
 - Other Methods: nearest-neighbor
- Termination Criteria
 - Local Fiber Orientation Probability
 - fODF: falls below a threshold prob.
 - DTI: when fractional anisotropy (FA) falls below a threshold
 - Curvature
 - Maximum local curvature imposed on tracks
 - Other acceptance/rejection criteria
 - Minimal track length, reject short tracks, anatomical priors, termination in cerebrospinal fluid, and etc...
- Fiber Tracking Algorithms
 - “Process of following the path of least hindrance to diffusion”
 - General Limitations:
 - Diffusion
 - Dependence on stepwise progression from initial seeds (dependent on seed location)
 - Quantification
 - Integration errors accumulate
 - Methods tend to create short, straight tracks (can be partially mitigated by biologically realistic priors)
 - Deterministic vs. Probabilistic Approaches
 - Deterministic
 - Assumes unique fiber orientation in each voxel
 - Cons: Subjectable to imaging noise and artifacts, local model inaccuracies, streamline integration errors
 - Probabilistic

- Generates a distribution of possible trajectories (orientation distribution function (ODF)) from each seed points. Built on deterministic approaches.
 - Uncertainty ODF
 - Assume discrete fiber orientations
 - Takes into account noise and model uncertainty
 - Focus on statistical uncertainty
 - Fiber ODF
 - Assume continuous distribution of fiber orientations
 - Ignore noise and model uncertainty
 - Focus on anatomical distribution and dispersion
- Local vs. Global Approaches
 - Local
 - Tracking via small successive integration steps following a previous model
 - Pros: Fast, common
 - Cons: Local errors accumulate, poor predictor of dMRI data
 - Global
 - Reconstruct all tracks simultaneously and optimize for best fit.
 - Pros: More resistant to noise and imaging artifacts, better agreement with dMRI data
 - Cons: Stochastic optimization does not always converge, reliant on prior knowledge that can skew the chosen tracks
- Slippery Slope of Quantification
 - Limitations in the tract reconstruction prohibit straightforward quantification of fiber tracking results.
 - Method 1: Voxel-wise Track Counts
 - Track count mapping or track density imaging (TDI)
 - Count tracks passing through each voxel.
 - Limitations
 - Sensitive to noise, accumulation of noise-induced errors, and model imperfections
 - Bias towards simple fiber trajectories
 - Seeding procedures overrepresent long fiber tracks and under represent short fiber tracks
 - Method 2: Connection Strength
 - Connection strength estimated from the proportion of white matter fibers connecting different regions
 - Limitations
 - Bias towards simple fiber trajectories

- For connectomics, long connections will have been overrepresented by seeding
- Limitations in probabilistic approaches assumptions

Current Challenges:

- Ambiguous Local Geometries
 - Complex and distinctly different local fiber geometries are impossible to distinguish
 - Crossing, kissing, bending, fanning, and etc...
 - Global tracking has potential but currently isn't superior to ambiguous geometries
- Near Cortex Tracking
 - Difficult to map within cortex with complex folds
 - Large modeling errors when near grey matter
 - Multi-shell scheme developed as a solution
 - Acquires multiple b-values (diffusion weighting strengths from multiple scan distances)
 - Additional B-values provide more information on tissue types and microstructures
- Spatial Resolution
 - Tractography is limited by resolution of dMRI
 - Typical 96x96 dMRI = 0.009 megapixels.
 - Need equipment/methods to improve to increase speed and resolution
- False Positives
 - The non-specificity within a single voxel can be the result of multiple plausible fiber configurations.
 - How to find and screen for false positives?
- Sheetography
 - Recent literature suggests that there are sheet structures.
 - Models could try use models for areas with these sheet structure.