

Free idempotent-generated semigroups

Dandan Yang

joint work with Victoria Gould

The University of York

NBSAN (21st Nov. 2012)

Idempotent-generated semigroups

S - semigroup, $E = E(S)$ - idempotents of S .

Definition. S is *idempotent-generated* if $S = \langle E \rangle$.

Example 1 (Howie 1966)

\mathcal{T}_n - full transformation monoid, $S(\mathcal{T}_n) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n : \text{rank } \alpha < n\}$.

Example 2 (J.A. Erdős, 1967, Laffey, 1973)

$M_n(D)$ - full linear monoid, $S(M_n(D)) = \{A \in M_n(D) : \text{rank } A < n\}$.

Example 3 (Fountain and Lewin, 1992)

A - independence algebra, $S(\text{End } A) = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(A) : \text{rank } \alpha < n\}$.

Free idempotent-generated semigroups

S - semigroup, $E = E(S)$ - idempotents of S .

E - satisfies a number of axioms: that of a *biordered set*.

S - regular, an extra axiom holds: that of a *regular biordered set*.

Nambooripad and Easdown (regular) biordered sets \longleftrightarrow (regular) semigroups.

\mathcal{A} : family of all semigroups whose biordered set are isomorphic to a fixed E .

Within this family, there exists an unique free object $\text{IG}(E) \in \mathcal{A}$, *free idempotent-generated semigroup* defined by

$$\text{IG}(E) = \langle \bar{E} : \bar{e}\bar{f} = \bar{ef}, e, f \in E, \{e, f\} \cap \{ef, fe\} \neq \emptyset \rangle.$$

where $\bar{E} = \{\bar{e} : e \in E\}$.

Free idempotent-generated semigroups

Facts

- (I) $\text{IG}(E) = \langle \bar{E} \rangle$.
- (II) The natural map $\phi : \text{IG}(E) \rightarrow S$, given by $\bar{e}\phi = e$, is a morphism onto $S' = \langle E(S) \rangle$.
- (III) The restriction of ϕ to the set of idempotents of $\text{IG}(E)$ is a bijection.
- (IV) The morphism ϕ induces a bijection between the set of all \mathcal{R} -classes (resp. \mathcal{L} -classes) in the \mathcal{D} -class of \bar{e} in $\text{IG}(E)$ and the corresponding set in $S' = \langle E(S) \rangle$.

Maximal subgroups of $\text{IG}(E)$

Question: Which groups can arise as the maximal subgroups of free idempotent-generated semigroups $\text{IG}(E)$?

Note: For any semigroup S , the maximal subgroup with identity e of S is the \mathcal{H} -class H_e of S .

Idea: Compare the \mathcal{H} -class $H_{\bar{e}}$ in $\text{IG}(E)$ and H_e in $S = \langle E \rangle$.

Work of [Pastijn \(1977, 1980\)](#), [Nambooripad and Pastijn \(1980\)](#), [McElwee \(2002\)](#) led to a conjecture that all these groups must be free groups. .

[Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin \(2009\)](#)

$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ can be a maximal subgroup of $\text{IG}(E)$, for some E .

[Gray, Ruskuc \(2011\)](#)

Every group occurs as the maximal subgroup of $\text{IG}(E)$ by using a general presentation and a special choice of E .

Full transformation monoids and full linear monoids

\mathcal{T}_n - full transformation monoid, $E = E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ - its biordered set.

Gray and Ruskuc (2011)

rank $e = r < n - 1$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.

Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin (2010)

$M_n(D)$ - full linear monoid, $E = E(M_n(D))$ - its biordered set.

rank $e = 1$ and $n \geq 3$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong D^*$.

Dolinka and Gray (2011)

rank $e = r < n/3$ and $n \geq 4$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong GL_r(D)$.

Note rank $e = n - 1$, $H_{\bar{e}}$ is free; rank $e = n$, $H_{\bar{e}}$ is trivial.

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts

Observation Sets and vector spaces are examples of *independence algebras*, as is any rank n free (left) G -act $F_n(G)$.

Let G be a group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The *free G -act* $F_n(G)$ is given by

$$F_n(G) = Gx_1 \cup Gx_2 \cup \cdots \cup Gx_n$$

where $g(hx_i) = (gh)x_i$.

Note $S(\text{End } F_n(G)) = \{\alpha \in \text{End } F_n(G) : \text{rank } \alpha < n\} = \langle E \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta &\Leftrightarrow \ker \alpha = \ker \beta \\ \alpha \mathcal{L} \beta &\Leftrightarrow \text{im } \alpha = \text{im } \beta \\ \alpha \mathcal{D} \beta &\Leftrightarrow \text{rank } \alpha = \text{rank } \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: rank $e = 1$

rank $e = 1$, D_1 - \mathcal{D} -class of e in $\text{End } F_n(G)$, $1 \in I \cap \Lambda$.

$$D_1 \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \overbrace{\quad}^{\Lambda} & & \\ \hline H_{11} & & H_{1\lambda} & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline H_{i1} & & H_{i\lambda} & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \end{array} \right.$$

Note D_1 is a completely simple semigroup and $H_{11} \cong H_{i\lambda} \cong G$.

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: rank $e = 1$

$e_{i\lambda}$ - the identity of $H_{i\lambda}$, $H_{11} = H_{e_{11}}$.

$$D_1 \quad I \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} e_{11} & & & e_{1\lambda} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline e_{i1} & & e_{i\lambda} & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}^{\Lambda}$$

Hall Let $E_1 = \{e_{i\lambda} : (i, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda\}$. Then $D_1 = \langle E_1 \rangle$.

$H_{11} = \{e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} : i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: rank $e = 1$

$\overline{D_1}$ - \mathcal{D} -class of \bar{e} in $\text{IG}(E)$.

By **Facts (I-IV)**, $\overline{D_1}$ is completely simple.

$$\overline{D_1} \quad I \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \overline{e_{11}} & & \overline{e_{1\lambda}} & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \overline{e_{i1}} & & \overline{e_{i\lambda}} & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \overset{\Lambda}{\wedge}$$

$$H_{\overline{e_{11}}} = \langle \overline{e_{11}} \overline{e_{i\lambda}} \overline{e_{11}} : (i, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda \rangle_{Gp} = \overline{H_{11}}.$$

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: rank $e = 1$

We show that

- ① $e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} = e_{11}$ implies that $\overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{i\lambda}}\overline{e_{11}} = \overline{e_{11}}$.
- ② $e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} = e_{11}e_{j\mu}e_{11}$ implies that $\overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{i\lambda}}\overline{e_{11}} = \overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{j\mu}}\overline{e_{11}}$.
- ③ $(e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11})(e_{11}e_{k\nu}e_{11}) = e_{11}e_{j\mu}e_{11}$

implies that

$$(\overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{i\lambda}}\overline{e_{11}})(\overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{k\nu}}\overline{e_{11}}) = \overline{e_{11}}\overline{e_{j\mu}}\overline{e_{11}}.$$

- ④ Similarly for inverses.
- ⑤ It follows easily that $\overline{H_{11}} \cong H_{11} \cong G$.

Corollary Every group occurs as $H_{\overline{e}}$ in some $\text{IG}(E)$

Note Our proof is **very** easy; we do not need presentations; we use a natural biordered set.

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: higher ranks

rank $e = 2$ and $n \geq 4$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_2(G)$

rank $e = r \leq n/3$ and $n \geq 3$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_r(G)$

Note rank $e = 2$ and $n = 3$, H is free.

Conjecture: rank $e = r < n - 1$, $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_r(G)$ - we will need some new techniques.

Endomorphism monoids of free G-acts: higher ranks

rank $e = r$, D_r - \mathcal{D} -class of e , $H = H_e$

$D_r^0 \cong \mathcal{M}^0(H; I, \Lambda; P)$, $P = (p_{\lambda i})$.

$H_{i\lambda} = R_i \cap L_\lambda$, $K = \{(i, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda : H_{i\lambda} \text{ is a group.}\}$.

$H_{\bar{e}}$ - \mathcal{H} -class of \bar{e} in $\text{IG}(E)$. $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_r(G)$?

R. Gray and N. Ruskuc (2011)

$H_{\bar{e}}$ is defined by the presentation \mathcal{P} with generators:

$$F = \{f_{i,\lambda} : (i, \lambda) \in K\}.$$

Defining relations **R1**, **R2** and **R3**.

Idea: To find the relationship between $f_{i,\lambda}$ and $p_{\lambda i}$.

Proofs

(I) $p_{\lambda i} = e$ implies $f_{i,\lambda} = 1$.

(II) $p_{\lambda i} = p_{\mu j} = A \in \text{Aut } F_r$ implies $f_{i,\lambda} = f_{j,\mu}$.

Proof: (1) If $p_{\lambda i} = p_{\mu j} = A$ with one of the following simple forms, then $f_{i,\lambda} = f_{j,\mu}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & x_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_r \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & ax_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_r \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & x_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_{k+m-1} & x_{k+m} & x_{k+m+1} & \cdots & x_r \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} & \cdots & x_{k+m} & ax_k & x_{k+m+1} & \cdots & x_r \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_r \\ ax_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_r \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} & \cdots & x_r \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{k+1} & ax_1 & x_{k+2} & \cdots & x_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$.

Proofs

(2) For every $A \in \text{Aut } F_r$, we have

$$A = A_1 \cdots A_r,$$

where A_i has one of the simple forms, for all $i \in [1, r]$. Moreover, $p_{\lambda i} = A$ implies

$$f_{i,\lambda} = f_{i_1,\lambda_1} \cdots f_{i_r,\lambda_r},$$

where $p_{\lambda_1 i_1} = A_r, \dots, p_{\lambda_r i_r} = A_1$.

(III) Denote generator $f_{i,\lambda}$ with $p_{\lambda i} = A$ by f_A .

(IV) For $r \leq n/3$, $f_{AB} = f_B f_A$, $f_{A^{-1}} = f_A^{-1}$.

(V) A mapping $\phi : H_{\bar{e}} \longrightarrow H_e$ defined by $f_A \mapsto A^{-1}$ is an isomorphism, i.e. $H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_r(G)$.

Future work . . .

What if $n/3 < r < n - 1$?

What will happen for independence algebras?

Question Let A be an independence algebra with rank $A = n$ and $S = S(\text{End}(A)) = \{\alpha \in \text{End } A : \text{rank } \alpha < n\}$.

If $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e = n - 1$, then $H_{\bar{e}}$ is free.

Is it true that for $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e \leq n - 2$,

$$H_{\bar{e}} \cong H_e \cong \text{Aut } F_r?$$

What if rank $e = 1$?

Thank you !