

# Free Adequate Semigroups

Mark Kambites

School of Mathematics, University of Manchester

NBSAN St Andrews, 17 April 2009

# Semigroup Theory

## Philosophy

- Semigroups are **partly algebraic** and **partly combinatorial**.
- Break them up into an algebraic bit (somebody else's problem)
- . . . and a combinatorial bit (somebody else's problem)

## Example (Krohn-Rhodes Theory)

- Algebraic part: groups
- Combinatorial part: aperiodic (group-free) semigroups
- Interplay: wreath products

## Example ("Rees Theory")

- Algebraic part: groups
- Combinatorial part: eggboxes
- Interplay: the Rees matrix construction

# Inverse Semigroups

## Definition

A semigroup  $S$  is **inverse** if the idempotents commute and for every  $x \in S$  there is an element  $y$  with  $xyx = x$ ;

## Idea

- *The existence of inverses forces a strong relationship between general elements and idempotents.*
- *If idempotents commute then their structure is (i) independent of the rest of the semigroup and (ii) essentially combinatorial rather than algebraic.*

## Philosophy

- *local structure is group-like (somebody else's problem);*
- *global structure is semilattice-like (somebody else's problem);*
- *interplay is (sometimes) manageable.*

## Rule

*To understand a semigroup, we should seek:*

- *a local invertible structure;*
- *a global combinatorial structure;*
- *a sufficient understanding of the relationship between them.*

## Exception

**Cancellative monoids** don't really decompose like this, but they are still relatively easy to understand.

## Idea (Fountain)

Replace "locally invertible" with "locally cancellative-like".

## Question

*What on earth does that mean?*

# Adequate Semigroups

## Definition

A semigroup  $S$  is **left adequate** if idempotents commute and for each  $a \in S$  there is an idempotent  $e \in S$  such that  $xa = ya \iff xe = ye$ .

## Definition

A semigroup  $S$  is **right adequate** if idempotents commute and for each  $a \in S$  there is an idempotent  $e \in S$  such that  $ax = ay \iff ex = ey$ .

## Definition

A semigroup is **adequate** if it is both left and right adequate.

## Philosophy

- *local structure is “cancellative-like”;*
- *global structure is semilattice-like;*
- *interplay is (occasionally) manageable.*

# The + and \* Operations

## Proposition

Let  $S$  be a left adequate semigroup. For each  $a \in S$  there is a **unique** idempotent  $a^+$  such that  $xa = ya$  if and only if  $xa^+ = ya^+$ .

## Proposition

Let  $S$  be a right adequate semigroup. For each  $a \in S$  there is a **unique** idempotent  $a^*$  such that  $ax = ay$  if and only if  $a^*x = a^*y$ .

## Remark

The operations  $x \mapsto x^+$  and  $x \mapsto x^*$  are so fundamental that we consider left/right/two-sided adequate semigroups as algebras of signature  $(2, 1)$  or  $(2, 1, 1)$ .

# Free Objects

Let  $F$  be an algebra in a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of algebras.

## Definition

$F$  is **free** in  $\mathcal{C}$  if there is a subset  $\Sigma \subseteq F$  such that every function from  $\Sigma$  to an algebra  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  extends uniquely to a morphism from  $F$  to  $M$ .

## Definition

The cardinality of  $\Sigma$  (which determines  $F$ ) is a (usually the) **rank** of  $F$ .

## Example

- Free semigroups
- Free groups
- Free bands
- Free inverse semigroups
- ...

# Free Adequate Semigroups

## Fact

The class of left adequate semigroups forms a **quasivariety** of  $(2, 1)$ -algebras defined by:

- $(xy)z = x(yz)$  (associativity);
- $e^2 = e, f^2 = f \implies ef = fe$  (idempotents commute);
- $x^+ = (x^+)^+$ ;
- $x^+x^+ = x^+$ ;
- $xa = ya \implies xa^+ = ya^+$ ;
- $xa^+ = ya^+ \implies xa = ya$ .

Similarly for right adequate and adequate semigroups.

## Corollary

There is a free left/right/two-sided adequate semigroup of every rank.

## Corollary

*There is a free left/right/two-sided adequate semigroup of every rank.*

## Question

*What is it?*

## Back to Inverse Semigroups

*For the free inverse semigroup, we have the **Munn representation**. This relies heavily on the **type A** identities*

$$ae = (ae)^+ a \text{ and } ea = a(ea)^*$$

*and applies in other contexts where these hold.*

## Question

*What happens without these identities?*

## Corollary

*There is a free left/right/two-sided adequate semigroup of every rank.*

## Question

*What is it?*

## The Story So Far

*Branco, Gomes and Gould have recently studied free left and right adequate semigroups from a structural perspective, as part of their theory of **proper** adequate semigroups.*

## Our Aim

**A geometric approach** (*like Munn's*) for the both the one-sided and two-sided cases.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a set (e.g. an alphabet).

### Definition

A  $\Sigma$ -**tree** is a directed tree with

- at least one vertex and edge
- each edge labelled by an element of  $\Sigma$ ;
- a distinguished **start** vertex;
- a distinguished **end** vertex;
- an undirected path between every pair of vertices;
- a (perhaps empty) directed path from the start to the end.

### Definition

A  $\Sigma$ -tree is called **idempotent** if its start and end vertices coincide.

### Definition

A **base tree** is a  $\Sigma$ -tree with a single edge and with distinct start and end vertices.

# Morphisms

## Definition

A **morphism**  $\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\Sigma$ -trees is a map which

- takes edges to edges;
- takes vertices to vertices;
- preserves incidence;
- preserves edge labels;
- takes the start vertex to the start vertex;
- takes the end vertex to the end vertex.

## Definition

$UT(\Sigma)$  is the set of **isomorphism types** of  $\Sigma$ -trees.

## Convention

We identify the isomorphism type of a base tree with the label of its edge, so  $\Sigma \subseteq UT(\Sigma)$ .

# Algebra on Trees

## Definition

Let  $X, Y \in UT(\Sigma)$ . Then

- $X \times Y$  is obtained by glueing the end vertex of  $X$  to the start vertex of  $Y$ .
- $X^{(+)}$  is obtained by moving the end vertex of  $X$  to the start vertex.
- $X^{(*)}$  is obtained by moving the start vertex of  $X$  to the end vertex.

No folding! (Yet.)

## Fact

$UT(\Sigma)$  forms a semigroup under  $\times$ .

## Warning

*Idempotent trees are not idempotent! (Yet.)*

# Retracts

## Definition

A **retract** of a  $\Sigma$ -tree is an idempotent morphism from  $X$  to  $X$ .

## Definition

A  $\Sigma$ -tree is called **pruned** if it admits no (non-identity) retracts.

## Exercise

Let  $X$  be a  $\Sigma$ -tree. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism)  $\Sigma$ -tree which is the image of a retract of  $X$ .

## Definition

The (isomorphism type of the) unique pruned image of a retract of  $X$  is denoted  $\overline{X}$ .

# Algebra on Pruned Trees

## Definition

$T(\Sigma)$  is the set of isomorphism types of **pruned**  $\Sigma$ -trees.

## Definition

We define operations on  $T(\Sigma)$  by

- $XY = \overline{X \times Y};$
- $X^+ = \overline{X^{(+)}};$
- $X^* = \overline{X^{(*)}};$

for all  $X, Y \in T(\Sigma)$ .

## Theorem

*The map  $X \mapsto \overline{X}$  is a surjective  $(2, 1, 1)$ -morphism from  $UT(\Sigma)$  to  $T(\Sigma)$ .*

# The Free Adequate Semigroup Revisited

## Theorem

$T(\Sigma)$  is the free adequate semigroup on  $\Sigma$ .

# Left adequate semigroups

## Definition

A  $\Sigma$ -tree  $X$  is **left adequate** if every edge is orientated away from the start vertex (or equivalently, if there is a path from the start vertex to every vertex).

## Definition

$LT(\Sigma)$  with pruned operations is the set of isomorphism types of pruned left adequate  $\Sigma$ -trees.

## Theorem

$LT(\Sigma)$  is the free left adequate semigroup on  $\Sigma$ .

## Corollary

Any  $(2, 1)$ -identity which holds in every adequate semigroup also holds in every left/right adequate semigroup.

# Monoids

## Remark

*If we admit the **trivial  $\Sigma$ -tree** with one vertex and no edges, then we obtain the free left/right/two-sided adequate **monoid**.*

# Some Elementary Corollaries

## Corollary

*The word problem for a finitely generated free left/right/two-sided adequate semigroup is decidable*

## Question

*What is its complexity?*

## Corollary

*One can decide effectively whether a given identity holds in all left/right/two-sided adequate semigroups.*

## Corollary

*No non-trivial free left/right/two-sided adequate semigroup is finitely generated as a semigroup.*

## Corollary

*Every free adequate left/right/two-sided semigroup is  $\mathcal{J}$ -trivial (as a semigroup).*

# Inverse Semigroups as Adequate Semigroups

## Remark

We can develop an analogous theory in which we

- replace **retracts** with **morphisms**; and
- **don't** require a directed path from the start to the end.

This gives the Munn representation of the free **inverse semigroup**.

(Isomorphism types of morphism-free  $\Sigma$ -trees are in 1-1 correspondence with Munn trees.)

## Fact

- There is a natural morphism from the free adequate semigroup to the free inverse semigroup, taking  $x^+$  to  $xx^{-1}$  and  $x^*$  to  $x^{-1}x$ .
- This can be interpreted as a **folding** operation on trees.
- Likewise the morphism from the free adequate semigroup **onto** the free ample semigroup.

# Residual Finiteness Properties

## Definition

A function  $f : S \rightarrow T$  **separates**  $X \subseteq S$  if  $x \neq y \implies f(x) \neq f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

## Definition

An algebra is **[fully] residually finite** if every pair [finite set] of elements is separated by a morphism to a finite algebra.

## Remark

Let  $F$  be a free algebra of rank  $\aleph_0$  in a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of algebras.

- Pairs of elements in  $F$  which cannot be separated in finite quotients correspond to identities which are satisfied in all finite algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ , but **not** in all infinite algebras.
- So  $F$  is residually finite  $\iff$  every identity satisfied by all finite algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$  is also satisfied by all infinite  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebras.

# Residual Finiteness Properties

## Theorem

*Free left/right adequate semigroups are (fully) residually finite as adequate (2, 1)-algebras.*

## Theorem

*Every finite subset of a free left/right adequate semigroup is separated by a Rees quotient (“fully Rees-residually finite”).*

## Fact

*Finite subsets of free adequate semigroups are **not** separable by Rees quotients. (There are elements which do **not** lie outside a cofinite ideal.)*

## Question

*Are free adequate semigroups residually finite?*