## Math 547: Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Learning Theory

Instructor: S. Minsker Scribe: S. Minsker

## 1.0.1 Estimation with prior information.

Recall the definition of the Gaussian mean width of a bounded set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ :

$$w(K) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in K - K} \langle z, g \rangle,$$

where g has  $N(0, I_p)$  distribution and  $K - K = \{u - v, u, v \in K\}$ . The Gaussian mean width satisfies many useful properties, some of which are stated below. I will ask you to prove them in your next homework assignment.

Exercise. Show that

- 1.  $w(K) = 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in K} \langle z, g \rangle$ .
- 2. w(K) is invariant under affine transformations, meaning that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and any  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  such that  $Q^{-1} = Q^T$ , w(QK + y) = w(K).
- 3. w(K) is invariant with respect to taking the convex hull: if co(K) is the convex hull of K, then w(co(K)) = w(K).
- 4. Let diam(K) be the diameter of K. Show that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \operatorname{diam}(K) \le w(K) \le \sqrt{p} \operatorname{diam}(K).$$

Our main technical result is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  be bounded. Define the " $\varepsilon$ -band"

$$T_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ z \in T \left| \frac{1}{n} \| \mathbf{X} z \|_{1} \le \varepsilon \right\} \right\},$$

where  $||x||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |x_j|$  is the  $\ell_1$  norm. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \|z\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{n}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in T} |\langle g, z \rangle| + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \varepsilon.$$

The following is an immediate corollary:

Corollary 1. Set  $T = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$  in the theorem. Then  $T_{\varepsilon} = (K - K) \cap \ker(\mathbf{X})$ , the kernel of  $\mathbf{X}$ , and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in T \cap \ker(\mathbf{X})} \|z\|_2 = \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}) \cap E} \|z\|_2 = \mathbb{E} \operatorname{diam} \left( (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}) \cap E \right) \le \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{n}} w(\mathcal{K}).$$

Hence, we obtain an explicit bound for the estimation error in our problem.

**Example 1.** If K is a finite set, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}} |\langle g, z \rangle| \le \sqrt{2} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{K}) \sqrt{\log(2 \operatorname{card}(T))}.$$

**Example 2.**  $\mathcal{K} \subset L$ , where L a d-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Then

$$w(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}} \langle g, z \rangle \le \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{K}) \sqrt{d}.$$

Prove it using the properties of the multivariate normal distribution (namely, that a projection of a normally distributed vector is still normally distributed).

*Proof of the theorem.* Assume we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \langle X_j, z \rangle \right| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|z\|_2 \right| \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} |\langle g, z \rangle|, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_p)$  and  $T' \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ . Note that, since  $T_{\varepsilon} \subset T$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\langle X_{j},z\rangle\right|-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\|z\|_{2}\right|\leq \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\langle X_{j},z\rangle\right|-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\|z\|_{2}\right|.$$

Moreover, for  $z \in T_{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\langle X_j, z \rangle| = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{X}z\|_1 \le \varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \|z\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \varepsilon + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{z \in T} |\langle g, z \rangle|.$$

It remains to establish the inequality (1.1). First, note that  $\mathbb{E}|\langle X_1, z \rangle| = \sqrt{2/\pi} ||z||_2$  since  $X_1$  has standard normal distribution. Next, by the symmetrization and contraction inequalities

(applied to  $\phi(x) = |x|$ ),

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \langle X_{j}, z \rangle \right| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|z\|_{2} \right| &= \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \langle X_{j}, z \rangle \right| - \mathbb{E} \left| \langle X_{j}, z \rangle \right| \right| \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} |\langle X_{j}, z \rangle| \right| \\ &= 4\mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \varepsilon_{j} \cdot X_{j}, z \rangle| \right| \\ &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \varepsilon_{j} X_{j}, z \right\rangle \right| = \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} \left| \left\langle g, z \right\rangle \right|, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} X_{j}$  has  $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_{p})$  distribution (check!).

**Remark 1.** Note that for any  $z_0 \in T$  and T not necessarily symmetric,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} |\langle g,z\rangle| &\leq \mathbb{E}\sup_{z\in T} |\langle g,z-z_0\rangle| + |\langle g,z_0\rangle| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\sup_{z,z_0\in T} |\langle g,z-z_0\rangle| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|\langle g,z_0\rangle|^2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\sup_{z,z_0\in T} \langle g,z-z_0\rangle + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|\langle g,z_0\rangle|^2} \\ &= w(T) + \|z_0\|_2. \end{split}$$

## 1.1 Estimation from noisy observations.

In this section, we will extend the previous results on noiseless measurements to the case of noisy observations. Assume that

$$Y = \mathbf{X}\lambda_* + \nu$$
 s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} \|\nu\|_1 \le \varepsilon$ .

Here,  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the design matrix such that  $X_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_p)$ , and  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the noise vector. Note that

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\nu\|_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j^2} \le \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\nu_j|\right)^2} \le \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  satisfy (a)  $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}$ , and (b)  $\frac{1}{n} \| Y - \mathbf{X} \widehat{\lambda} \|_1 \le \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any  $\widehat{\lambda}$  that satisfies (a) and (b),

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{K}} \|\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda_*\|_2 \le \sqrt{8\pi} \left( \frac{w(K)}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* Set  $T = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}$  and  $\varepsilon' = 2\varepsilon$ , and apply Theorem 1to get that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{u\in T_{\varepsilon'}}\|u\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{n}}\left(\mathbb{E}\sup_{u\in K-K}\langle g,u\rangle\right) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\varepsilon'.$$

Observe that  $\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda \in T_{2\varepsilon}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ . Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \mathbf{X}(\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda) \right\|_{1} = \frac{1}{n} \left\| \mathbf{X}\widehat{\lambda} - Y + \nu \right\|_{1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \left\| \mathbf{X}\widehat{\lambda} - Y \right\|_{1} + \frac{1}{n} \|\nu\|_{1} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $\|\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda_*\|_2 \le \sup_{u \in T_{\varepsilon'}} \|u\|_2$ , and the result follows.

## 1.1.1 Estimation via convex optimization.

The next question we address is related to computational side of the problem, namely, how to evaluate  $\hat{\lambda}$  numerically? To this end, we will make an additional assumption stating that the set  $\mathcal{K}$  is *star-shaped*, meaning that  $tK \subseteq K$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 1.** The gauge (or the Minkowski functional) of associated to the set K is

$$||x||_{\mathcal{K}} := \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \frac{x}{t} \in \mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

Remark 2.  $x \in K \iff ||x||_{\mathcal{K}} \leq 1$ .

As before, assume that  $Y = \mathbf{X}\lambda + \nu$ . Let  $\widehat{\lambda}$  be a solution to the problem

$$\|\lambda'\|_{\mathcal{K}} \to \min \quad \text{subject to } \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \mathbf{X}\lambda'\|_{1} \le \varepsilon.$$
 (1.2)

**Theorem 3.** Solution  $\hat{\lambda}$  of the problem (1.2) satisfies the inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{K}} \|\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda\|_2 \le \sqrt{8\pi} \left( \frac{w(\mathcal{K})}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 1 that it is enough to show that  $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}$ . The latter follows since

$$\|\widehat{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{K}} \le \|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{K}} \le 1$$

by the definition of  $\widehat{\lambda}$ .

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is convex, then  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$  is also convex, and (1.2) is a convex problem that can be solved efficiently (say, by the gradient descent). What if  $\mathcal{K}$  is not convex? A natural idea is to replace  $\mathcal{K}$  by the smallest convex set that contains  $\mathcal{K}$ , namely its convex hull  $co(\mathcal{K})$ .

$$\|\lambda'\|_{\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{K})} \to \min \quad \text{subject to } \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \mathbf{X}\lambda'\|_1 \le \varepsilon.$$
 (1.3)

It follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{K}}\|\widehat{\lambda}-\lambda\|_2 \leq \mathbb{E}\sup_{\lambda\in\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{K})}\|\widehat{\lambda}-\lambda\|_2 \leq \sqrt{8\pi}\left(\frac{w(\mathcal{K})}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$

since  $w(co(\mathcal{K})) = w(\mathcal{K})$  by the property of the Gaussian mean width.

**Example 3.** Assume that  $\lambda$  is sparse, so that

$$J(\lambda) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, p\} : \lambda_j \neq 0\}$$
 satisfies  $|J(\lambda)| = s \ll p$ .

We know that

$$\lambda \in K_{\lambda} := \left\{ \lambda' \in \mathbb{R}^p : |J(\lambda')| \le s, \|\lambda'\|_1 \le \|\lambda\|_1 \right\}.$$

The extreme points of the set  $K_{\lambda}$  consist precisely of the rescaled basis vectors

$$\{\pm \|\lambda\|_1 e_j, \ j=1,\ldots,p\},\$$

hence its convex hull (check!) is

$$co(K_{\lambda}) := \mathcal{K} = ||\lambda||_1 B_{||\cdot||_1}(0,1),$$

where  $B_{\|\cdot\|_1}(0,1)$  is the unit ball for  $\ell_1$  norm. Consider the convex minimization problem

$$\|\lambda'\|_{\mathcal{K}} \to \min \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{X}\lambda' = Y.$$
 (1.4)

But, since minimizing  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$  it equivalent to minimizing  $\|\cdot\|_{c\mathcal{K}}$  for any c>0, problem (1.4) is in turn equivalent to

$$\|\lambda'\|_1 \to \min \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{X}\lambda' = Y.$$
 (1.5)

Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  be a solution. It immediately follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda\|_2 \le \sqrt{8\pi} \frac{w(\mathcal{K})}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

One can check (we did this in class) that  $w(\|\lambda\|_1 B_{\|\cdot\|_1}(0,1)) \leq \sqrt{2} \|\lambda\|_1 \sqrt{\log(2p)}$ .