

# Chapter ONE Probably Approximately Correct (PAC)

Siheng Zhang  
zhangsiheng@cvte.com

September 2, 2020

\*The notes is mainly based on the following book\*

- Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms, Shai Shalev-Shwartz and Shai Ben-David, 2014 <sup>1</sup>
- pattern recognition and machine learning, Christopher M. Bishop, 2006 <sup>2</sup>
- Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques, Daphne Koller and Nir Friedman, 2009 <sup>3</sup>
- Graphical Models, Exponential Families, and Variational Inference, Martin J. Wainwright and Michael I. Jordan, 2008 <sup>4</sup>

*Corresponding to Chapter 2-5 in UML.*

This part mainly answers the question:

- What can we know about the generalization error?
- How does the hypothesis set (in application, the choice of classifier/regressor or so on) reflect our prior knowledge, or, inductive bias?

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cs.huji.ac.il/shais/UnderstandingMachineLearning/understanding-machine-learning-theory-algorithms.pdf>

<sup>2</sup><http://users.isr.ist.utl.pt/~wurmd/Livros/school/Bishop%20-%20Pattern%20Recognition%20And%20Machine%20Learning%20-%20Springer%20%202006.pdf>

<sup>3</sup><https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/probabilistic-graphical-models>

<sup>4</sup><https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~wainwrig/Papers/WaiJor08.FTML.pdf>

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Formulation</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The learner's input, output, and evaluation . . . . .	2
1.2	From ERM to PAC . . . . .	2
1.2.1	ERM (Empirical Risk Minimization) may lead to overfitting . . . . .	2
1.2.2	ERM with restricted hypothesis set (inductive bias) . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Formulation

### 1.1 The learner's input, output, and evaluation

- **input:**
  - Domain Set: instance  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .
  - Label Set: label  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Currently, just consider the binary classification task.
  - Training data:  $S = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m))$  is a finite sequence.
- **output:** hypothesis (or classifier, regressor)  $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ .
- **data generation model:** Assume that the instances are generated by some probability distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ , and there is some 'correct' labeling function (currently):  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ .  
*remark1: The learner is blind to the data generation model.*  
*remark2: usually called 'training set', but must be 'training sequence', because the same sample may repeat, and some training algorithms is order-sensitive.*
- **Generalization error:** *a.k.a.*, true error/risk.

$$L_{\mathcal{D},f}(h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} [h(x) \neq f(x)] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D}(x : h(x) \neq f(x)) \quad (1)$$

## 2 From ERM to PAC

### 2.1 ERM (Empirical Risk Minimization) may lead to overfitting

Since the generalization error is intractable, turn to minimize the **empirical risk**:

$$L_S(h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{|\{(x_i, y_i) \in S : h(x_i) \neq y_i\}|}{m} \quad (2)$$

Consider a 'lazy' learner  $h$ , which predict  $y = y_i$  iff.  $x = x_i$ , and 0 otherwise. It has 1/2 probability to fail for unseen instances, i.e.,  $L_{\mathcal{D},f}(h) = 1/2$ , while  $L_S(h) = 0$ . Hence, it is an excellent learner on the training set, but a poor learner in the universe case. This phenomenon is called 'overfitting'. The lesson behind this learner is: without restriction on the hypothesis set, ERM can lead to overfitting.

### 2.2 ERM with restricted hypothesis set (inductive bias)

## 3 Summary

Now that, we have come to some important conclusions under the PAC learning framework:

1. No universal learner;
2. Inductive bias is necessary to avoid overfitting;
3. Sample complexity is function about hypothesis set, confidence level and error, interestingly, it is nothing to do with the dimension of feature space;
4. Inductive bias controls the balance of approximation error and estimation error.

We have reached the fundamental question in learning theory: **Over which hypothesis classes, ERM learning will not result in overfitting (or, PAC learnable)?** Currently, we just confirm the PAC learnability for finite classes. In the next chapter, the most important part in learning theory, VC-dimension, will give a more precise answer.