

# Chapter TWO VC-dimension

Siheng Zhang  
zhangsiheng@cvte.com

September 8, 2020

The notes is mainly based on the following books:

- Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms, Shai Shalev-Shwartz and Shai Ben-David, 2014 <sup>1</sup>
- pattern recognition and machine learning, Christopher M. Bishop, 2006 <sup>2</sup>
- Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques, Daphne Koller and Nir Friedman, 2009 <sup>3</sup>
- Graphical Models, Exponential Families, and Variational Inference, Martin J. Wainwright and Michael I. Jordan, 2008 <sup>4</sup>

This part corresponds to **Chapter 2-5 in UML**, and mainly answers the following questions:

- What can we know about the generalization error?
- How does the hypothesis set (in application, the choice of classifier/regressor or so on) reflect our prior knowledge, or, inductive bias?

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~shais/UnderstandingMachineLearning/understanding-machine-learning-theory-algorithms.pdf>

<sup>2</sup><http://users.isr.ist.utl.pt/~wurmd/Livros/school/Bishop - Pattern Recognition And Machine Learning - Springer 2006.pdf>

<sup>3</sup><https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/probabilistic-graphical-models>

<sup>4</sup><https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~wainwrig/Papers/WaiJor08.FTML.pdf>

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The VC-dimension</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Shattering . . . . .	3
1.2	The VC-dimension . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Fundermental theorem of PAC learning</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Effective size of a hypothesis class</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Non-uniform learnability</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Exercises and solutions</b>	<b>3</b>

# 1 The VC-dimension

## 1.1 Shattering

Consider the set of threshold functions over the real line  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_a(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[x \leq a]}, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $a^*$  be the threshold such that  $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) = 0$ . Let  $a_0 < a^* < a_1$  such that:

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}_x} [x \in (a_0, a^*)] = \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}_x} [x \in (a^*, a_1)] = \epsilon$$

If  $\mathcal{D}_x(-\infty, a^*) \leq \epsilon$ , we set  $a_0 = -\infty$ , and similarly for  $a_1$ .

Given a training set  $S$ , let  $b_0 = \max\{x : (x, 1) \in S\}$  (if no example is positive then  $b_0 = -\infty$ ), and  $b_1 = \min\{x : (x, 0) \in S\}$  (if no example is negative then  $b_1 = \infty$ ). Let  $b_S$  be the threshold of an ERM hypothesis  $h_S$ , which implies  $b_S \in (b_0, b_1)$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) < \epsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [b_0 < a_0] + \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [b_1 > a_1]$$

Each term on the right-side is bounded by  $(1 - \epsilon)^m \leq e^{-\epsilon m}$ . Let  $m > \log(2/\delta)/\epsilon$ , then the left-side is bounded by  $\delta$ . As a result, the hypothesis class is PAC-learnable.

The example above shows that: **finiteness is not a necessary condition for learnability**, and hence we turn to the definition of **shattering**, which describes the ability of a hypothesis set to cover the training set.

The definition of VC-dimension is motivated from the No-Free-Lunch theorem: without restricting the hypothesis class, for any learning algorithm, an **adversary** can construct a distribution for which the learning algorithm will perform poorly, while there is another learning algorithm that will succeed on the same distribution. To make any algorithm fail, the **adversary** used the power of choosing a target function from the set of all possible labelling functions.

When considering PAC learnability of a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , the **adversary** is restricted to constructing distributions for which some hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  achieves a zero risk. Since we are considering distributions that are concentrated on elements of  $C$ , we should study how  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  behaves on  $C$ .

**Definition** (Restriction of  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $C$ ): The restriction of  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $C$  is the set of functions from  $C$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  that can be derived from  $\mathcal{H}$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{H}_C = \{(h(c_1), \dots, h(c_m)) : h \in \mathcal{H}\} \quad (1)$$

where we represent each function from  $C$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  as a vector in  $\{0, 1\}^{|C|}$ .

**Definition** (Shattering): A hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  shatters a finite set  $C \in \mathcal{X}$  if the restriction of  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $C$  is the set of all functions from  $C$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ . That is,  $|\mathcal{H}_C| = 2^{|C|}$ .

## 1.2 The VC-dimension

**Definition** (VC-dimension): The VC-dimension of a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , denoted  $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H})$ , is the maximal size of a set  $C \subset \mathcal{X}$  that can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  can shatter sets of arbitrarily large size we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  has infinite VC-dimension.

### 1.2.1 Examples

To calculate the VC-dimension for a hypothesis set, we should show that:

- There **exists** a subset of size  $d$  that can be shattered;
- **Every** subset of size  $d + 1$  can not be shattered.

1 Threshold functions

## 2 Fundamental theorem of PAC learning

## 3 Effective size of a hypothesis class

## 4 Non-uniform learnability

“non-uniform learnability” allows the sample size to be non-uniform with respect to the different hypotheses with which the learner is competing.

A hypothesis is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -competitive with another if

## 5 Summary

## 6 Exercises and solutions

*To be continue...*

*Chapter 3. Bayesian-PAC*

*Chapter 4. Generalization in Deep Learning*