Internal Audit Function in State Agencies

Historical Perspective of OLA's and the Committee's Involvement

- On January 3, 1991, the Division of Audits (now the Office of Legislative Audits) issued the results of a performance audit on State agency internal audit units. That audit concluded that significant deficiencies and inconsistencies existed within and among the operations of the internal audit units in the State which significantly impaired their effectiveness and efficiency. (See Exhibit A for excerpts from that report).
- As proposed by the Joint Budget and Audit Committee (now the Joint Audit Committee), Senate Bill 205 was passed by the 1993 General Assembly (see Exhibit B).
- Senate Bill 205 was vetoed by the Governor. While agreeing with the Bill's concept, the Governor indicated that it was unnecessary and the same objectives could be accomplished by Executive Order. The Governor also expressed concern that the costs associated with the Bill were not addressed.
- During the 1994 Session of the General Assembly, the veto was sustained. On February 4, 1994, the Governor issued Executive Order .01.01.1994.06 on State Agencies Internal Audits, which continues to be in effect.

Prepared by: Office of Legislative Audits September 2011



Division of Audits State Office Building Baltimore, Md. 21201

(301) 225-1400

EXHIBIT A Page 1 of 6

BRUCE A. MYERS ,CPA DEPUTY

THOMAS J. BARNICKEL, CPA JOHN D. KENNEY, CPA ROBERT C. KOSLOWSKI, CPA GERALD W. MARTZ, CPA AUDIT MANAGERS

January 3, 1991

Joint Budget and Audit Committee of the General Assembly Mr. William S. Ratchford, II, Director, Department of Fiscal Services Annapolis, Maryland

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have conducted a performance audit of State agency internal audit units. Our audit also included an assessment of the applicability of the inspector general concept to the State of Maryland. This audit was conducted under the authority of the State Government Article, Section 2-1216 of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Our audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards and, accordingly, included such tests of the records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

To help ensure the existence and proper operation of effective systems of internal controls, promote efficient State government operations, and provide agency management with the information necessary to effectively oversee agency operations, internal audit units have been established at 25 State agencies. We conducted this performance audit to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of these internal audit units. Our audit disclosed significant deficiencies and inconsistencies within and among the operations of the internal audit units in the State which, in our opinion, significantly impaired the effectiveness and efficiency of the units. For example, we noted that the units did not consistently comply with recognized auditing standards, did not adequately plan their operations and did not adequately follow-up on audit findings. Therefore, we believe that certain fundamental changes in the operation and organization of State agency internal audit units are necessary.

During January, 1990, an Office of the Inspector General was established in the State Department of Human Resources. (The concept of an inspector general includes responsibility for investigative as well as audit-related activities). This Office is currently performing only a minimum amount of investigative duties. Based on the results of our review of the applicability of the inspector general concept to the State of Maryland, we believe that there is no need to expand this concept in Maryland. Many of the services provided by inspector general offices in other states are already provided by existing agencies in Maryland (e.g., Office of the State Prosecutor) and improvements to and increased awareness of existing internal audit resources in conjunction with existing services would provide basically the same type of coverage in Maryland as provided by inspector general offices in other states.

A summary of our findings is contained on pages 3 to 5. The introduction, scope, objectives and methodology of our review as well as our detailed comments and recommendations begin on page 6.

We wish to acknowledge the cooperation extended to us by the personnel of the agencies reviewed during the course of our audit.

Our audit reports and the related agency responses are maintained on file in the Department of Fiscal Services, 90 State Circle, Annapolis, Maryland and are available for public inspection.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony J. Verdecchia, CPA Legislative Auditor

Robert C. Koslowski, CPA

Audit Manager

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

INTERNAL AUDIT UNITS:	<u>Pages</u>
Professional Standards	8
Six State agency internal audit units were reviewed in detail. These units had either not adopted, or did not consistently comply with professional auditing standards.	
Hiring Qualifications	9
Several instances were noted where State agency internal auditors had not demonstrated possession of auditing and/or accounting knowledge or skills at the time of their employment.	
Planning and Audit Coverage	9
Two of the internal audit units reviewed did not prepare periodic audit plans to carry out the responsibilities of the internal audit function. Additionally, at the other four units reviewed, the plans prepared were not based on agency wide assessment of financial exposure, potential loss and risk.	
Independence	9
One internal audit unit operated under the agency's Director of Finance. This situation could prevent the unit from objectively auditing matters involving finance and accounting.	
Policies and Procedures Manual	10
Two of the internal audit units reviewed did not have a policy and procedures manual.	
Operational Duties	10
Internal auditors at two of the units performed a significant amount of operational (non-audit related) duties.	
<u>Time Estimates</u>	10
Two internal audit units reviewed did not prepare estimates of the time necessary to perform audit assignments. Additionally, although one unit prepared such time estimates, the actual time spent to complete assignments was not subsequently compared to the estimates.	



SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

	Pages
Working Paper Preparation and Review	10 - 11
Numerous deficiencies were noted regarding working paper preparation and review.	
Audit Follow-up	11 - 12
Five of the internal audit units reviewed had inadequate procedures for performing follow-up reviews of prior audit findings.	
Training Programs	12
Five of the internal audit units reviewed had not developed formal training programs, nor did these units require their auditors to receive a certain amount of training each year. Additionally, at all six units reviewed, training records indicating the amount, nature and dates of training provided to audit personnel were not maintained.	
Peer Reviews	12 - 13
None of the internal audit units reviewed has undergone a peer review or has plans to participate in a peer review program.	
Internal Audit Law	13
Unlike several other states, Maryland does not have a law governing the operation of State agency internal audit units to help ensure the effectiveness, efficiency and consistency of these units.	
Job Classification	13 - 14
The State Department of Personnel has not established a job classification series specifically for internal auditors.	



SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

P	a	g	e	S

Allocation of Internal Auditors

14

We believe there are major inequities in the allocation of internal audit resources throughout State agencies.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICES:

15 - 16

Five other states currently have statewide inspector general offices. However, many of the services provided by inspector general offices in other states are already provided by existing agencies in Maryland (i.e., Office of the State Prosecutor, Office of the Attorney General - Criminal Investigations Division, Legislative Auditor's Office and Internal Audit Units). Additionally, improvements to existing internal audit resources in conjunction with existing services would provide basically the same type of coverage in Maryland as provided by inspector general offices in other states.



APPENDIX A

MARYLAND STATE AGENCIES WITH INTERNAL AUDIT UNITS

Name of Agency	Number of Budgeted Positions
Department of Agriculture	1
University of Baltimore	1
Bowie State University	2
Comptroller of the Treasury - Data Pro	
Comptroller of the Treasury - Income	Tax Division 1
Comptroller of the Treasury - Office of	f the Comptroller 3
Coppin State University	1
Department of Economic and Employs	
Department of Education	1
Department of the Environment	1 2 1
Frostburg State University	1
Department of Health and Mental Hyg	ziene 4
State Highway Administration	5
Department of Housing and Communi	
Department of Human Resources	18
* Judiciary	6
 University of Maryland Systems Admir 	nistration 14
Mass Transit Administration	2
Morgan State University	2 3 8 5 ectional Services 8
Motor Vehicle Administration	8
Department of Natural Resources	5
 Department of Public Safety and Corre 	ectional Services 8
Salisbury State University	1
Towson State University	3
Department of Transportation	<u>10</u>
-	<u>111</u>

^{*} Agencies that were included within the scope of our detailed review.

SENATE BILL 205

P1

ENROLLED BILL

(3lr1323)

Introduced by Senator Lapides (Chairman, Joint Budget and Audit Committee) Read and Examined by Proofreaders: Proofreader. Proofreader. Sealed with the Great Seal and presented to the Governor, for his approval this _____ day of _____ at _____ o'clock, _____M. President. CHAPTER____ 1 AN ACT concerning 2 State Agencies - Internal Audits 3 FOR the purpose of requiring State agencies that perform internal audits to employ designate a chief internal auditor and certain staff and to establish a certain internal auditing program; specifying the manner of appointment, qualifications, 5 qualifications, and duties of the chief internal auditor; creating certain exceptions to 6 7 the qualifications of the chief internal auditor; prescribing the general content of an 8 agency's internal audit program and of internal audits; specifying the auditing 9 standards by which internal audit audits must be conducted; requiring the Chief Internal Auditor and the internal audit staff to have access to certain personnel and 10 11 information and to maintain the confidentiality of certain records; providing a 12 certain penalty for violation of certain confidentiality laws; defining certain terms: 13 and generally relating to internal audits performed by certain State agencies. BY adding to 15 Article - State Government Section 10-1001 through 10-1007, inclusive, to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 16 17 10. Internal Audits" 18 Annotated Code of Maryland EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law. Underlining indicates amendments to bill. Strike-out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by Italics indicate opposite chamber/conference committee amendments.

	2 SENATE BILL 205				
1	(1984 Volume and 1992 Supplement)				
2	Preamble				
3 4	WHEREAS, A separate budgetary authorization is necessary to finance the personnel and related costs for an internal audit function in a State agency; and				
5 6 7	WHEREAS, The establishment of minimum qualifications, standards, and operating procedures will help ensure that an authorized internal audit function is effective; and				
8 9	WHEREAS, The State of Maryland will benefit from effective internal audit functions in State agencies; now, therefore,				
10 11	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:				
12	Article - State Government				
13					
14	10–1001.				
15 16	(A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.				
17 18 19	COUNCIL, DEPARTMENT, OR INSTITUTION IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE				
20	(C) "AGENCY HEAD" MEANS THE EXECUTIVE HEAD OF AN AGENCY.				
21 22	(D) "CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR" MEANS THE PERSON EMPLOYED DESIGNATED BY AN AGENCY TO DIRECT INTERNAL AUDITS FOR THE AGENCY.				
23 24	· ·				
25	(1) <u>SYSTEM OF</u> INTERNAL CONTROL;				
26	(2) COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW;				
27	(3) COMPLIANCE WITH RULES AND REGULATIONS; AND				
28 29	(4) QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE IN CARRYING OUT ITS RESPONSIBILITIES.				
30	10–1002.				
31	(A) EACH AGENCY THAT PERFORMS INTERNAL AUDITS SHALL EMPLOY:				
32	(1) <u>DESIGNATE</u> A CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR; AND				
33 34 3 5	(2) AS THE AGENCY HEAD DETERMINES TO BE NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT AN EFFECTIVE PROGRAM OF INTERNAL AUDITS, ADDITIONAL THAT INCLUDES, AS THE AGENCY HEAD DETERMINES TO BE NECESSARY, PROFESSIONAL				

3

2	EDUCATION AUDITS.	ONAL	STAFF THAT HAVE THE TECHNICAL PROFICIENCY AND BACKGROUND APPROPRIATE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF THE
4	(B)	THE	AGENCY HEAD SHALL APPOINT THE CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR.
5	(C)		
6 7	CERTIFIE	(1) D INT	<u>PREFERABLY</u> BE EITHER A CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT OR A ERNAL AUDITOR; AND
8		(2)	HAVE AT LEAST 3 YEARS OF AUDITING EXPERIENCE.
9	10–1003.		
0	THE	CHIE	F INTERNAL AUDITOR OF AN AGENCY SHALL:
11		(1)	REPORT DIRECTLY TO THE AGENCY HEAD;
12 13	AUDITS;	(2)	DEVELOP AN ANNUAL AUDIT PLAN TO CARRY OUT INTERNAL
14 15	PERFORM	(3) MANC	PROVIDE WRITTEN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES TO GUIDE THE E OF INTERNAL AUDITS;
16 17	ASSURAN	(4) NCE PI	ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN AN ONGOING INTERNAL QUALITY ROGRAM TO EVALUATE THE OPERATION OF INTERNAL AUDITS;
18		(5)	PARTICIPATE IN PERIODIC EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW;
19 20 21		(6) SIBILI S OF A	BE FREE OF ALL OPERATIONAL AND MANAGEMENT ITES THAT WOULD IMPAIR THE ABILITY TO MAKE INDEPENDENT ILL ASPECTS OF THE AGENCY'S OPERATIONS;
22		(7)	PREPARE INTERNAL AUDIT REPORTS THAT SHALL:
23			(I) BE SUBMITTED TO THE AGENCY HEAD; AND
24 25	CONSTIT	OITUT	(II) EXCEPT FOR ANY PART OF A REPORT THAT IS NALLY PRIVILEGED, BE AVAILABLE TO THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR;
26 27 28	ASCERT	(8) AIN NED I	THAT APPROPRIATE ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN ON FINDINGS N INTERNAL AUDIT REPORTS; AND
29 30	PROFES	(9) SIONA	MAKE AVAILABLE AND COORDINATE A CONTINUING IL EDUCATION PROGRAM:
31 32 33	ACCESS	TO ((I) TO ENSURE THAT THE AGENCY'S INTERNAL AUDITORS HAVE CURRENT INFORMATION CONCERNING INTERNAL AUDIT POLICIES I, AND TECHNIQUES; AND
34 35	1		(II) TO PROVIDE GENERAL TECHNICAL AND AUDIT ASSISTANCE ICY'S INTERNAL AUDITORS.
36	5 10–1004.		

SENATE BILL 205

	4 SENATE BILL 205
1.	EACH AGENCY THAT PERFORMS INTERNAL AUDITS SHALL ESTABLISH A PROGRAM THAT INCLUDES:
3	(1) AN ANNUAL INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN THAT:
4	(I) USES MATERIALITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES; AND
5 6	(II) IDENTIFIES THE INDIVIDUAL AUDITS TO BE CONDUCTED DURING EACH YEAR; AND
7 8	(2) PERIODIC AUDITS OF THE AGENCY'S CONTROL ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDING ALL MAJOR ELECTRONIC AND MANUAL DATA PROCESSING SYSTEMS.
9	10–1005.
10	EACH INTERNAL AUDIT SHALL INCLUDE WORKING PAPERS THAT:
11	 ARE PREPARED OR REVIEWED BY SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL;
12	(2) DOCUMENT THE AUDIT WORK;
13	(3) RECORD AUDIT INFORMATION AND ANALYSES; AND
14 15	(4) SUPPORT THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT.
16	10–1006.
17	(A) AN INTERNAL AUDIT SHALL BE CONDUCTED:
18 19	(1) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INTERNAL AUDITING STANDARDS PUBLISHED BY THE INSTITUTE OF INTERNAL AUDITORS; OR
20 21	(2) WHERE APPROPRIATE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.
22 23 24	(B) EACH INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT THAT THE CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR ISSUES SHALL INCLUDE A STATEMENT THAT THE AUDIT WAS CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION.
25	10–1007.
26	THE CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR AND THE INTERNAL AUDIT STAFF SHALL:
27 28 29	(1) HAVE ACCESS TO ALL PERSONNEL AND ANY DATA, RECORDS, AND OTHER INFORMATION OF A STATE AGENCY THAT THE CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR DEEMS NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT AN INTERNAL AUDIT; AND
30 31	(2) (I) MAINTAIN THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF ANY PUBLIC RECORDS THAT ARE MADE CONFIDENTIAL BY LAW; AND
32 33 34	(II) BE SUBJECT TO THE SAME PENALTIES AS THE CUSTODIAN OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS FOR A VIOLATION OF A CONFIDENTIALITY LAW APPLICABLE TO THE RECORDS.
35 36 37	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the qualifications for a chief internal auditor in § 10-1002(c) of the State Government Article, as enacted by this Act, do not apply to any person who, on the effective date of this Act:

					f the Senate.	
					Governor.	
Appro	veu:					
Appro	uad.					
					•	
	•					
	,					
3 4 Oct	SECTION 3. ober 1, 1993.	AND BE IT F	URTHER EN	ACTED, That t	his Act shall take	effec
2	(2) is a	es not meet the employed by an	qualifications agency to dire	of a chief interi	nal auditor; and internal audits.	
1	(1) do		SENATE BILL	205		

Speaker of the House of Delegates.