

DEPARTMENT OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITS MARYLAND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

April 28, 2021

Gregory A. Hook, CPA Legislative Auditor

Senator Guy J. Guzzone, Chair Senate Budget and Taxation Committee Miller Senate Office Building, 3 West Wing 11 Bladen Street Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Delegate Maggie McIntosh, Chair House Appropriations Committee House Office Building, Room 121 6 Bladen Street Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dear Senator Guzzone and Delegate McIntosh:

The Office of Legislative Audits (OLA) has reviewed the actions taken by the Department of General Services (DGS) to resolve the repeat findings in our December 5, 2019 audit report for the former DGS Office of Procurement and Logistics (OPL). Effective October 1, 2019, in accordance with Chapter 590, Laws of Maryland 2017, the Office of Procurement and Logistics became the Office of State Procurement (OSP) within DGS.

This review was conducted in accordance with the requirement specified in the April 2020 *Joint Chairmen's Report* (JCR), pages 70 and 71. The JCR required that, prior to the release of \$100,000 of its administrative appropriation for fiscal year 2021, DGS must take corrective action with respect to all repeat audit findings on or before November 1, 2020. The JCR language further provided that OLA submit a report to the budget committees listing each repeat audit finding along with a determination that each repeat finding was corrected. The OLA report is required to be submitted to allow 45 days for the budget committees to review and release the funds prior to the end of the fiscal year.

The December 5, 2019 audit report of OPL contained five repeat audit findings (Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5) that were addressed by seven recommendations (recommendations 1a, 2, 3, 4a, 4b, 5a, and 5b). DGS provided a report to OLA, dated November 30, 2020, detailing the corrective actions that it had taken with respect to the repeat audit findings (**Exhibit 1**). The DGS status report indicated that corrective actions had been completed to address the recommendations for Findings 3, 4a, 4b, and 5b, and that corrective actions were ongoing for recommendations 1a, 2, and 5a.

We reviewed the DGS status report and related documentation, performed limited tests and analyses of the information, and held discussions with DGS personnel as necessary to assess the implementation status of the related recommendations. Our review did not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Based on our review, we concur with DGS' assessment that it had taken sufficient actions to fully correct findings 3 and 4, and part of finding 5, but had not taken sufficient actions to correct findings 1, 2, and the remaining repeat element of finding 5 (**Exhibit 2**). Our assessment of the three repeat findings in progress, and consequently not resolved, is included in **Exhibit 3**.

-2-

After discussing our review results, DGS generally agreed with the accuracy of the information presented. We wish to acknowledge the cooperation extended to us during the review by OSP. We also wish to acknowledge DGS' and OSP's willingness to address the audit issues and implement appropriate corrective actions.

We trust our response satisfactorily addresses the JCR requirement. Please contact me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Gregory A. Hook, CPA Legislative Auditor

Cragony a. Hook

cc: Senator Clarence K. Lam, Senate Chair, Joint Audit and Evaluation Committee Delegate Carol L. Krimm, House Chair, Joint Audit and Evaluation Committee

Joint Audit and Evaluation Committee Members and Staff

Senator William C. Ferguson IV, President of the Senate

Delegate Adrienne A. Jones, Speaker of the House of Delegates

Governor Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr.

Comptroller Peter V.R. Franchot

Treasurer Nancy K. Kopp

Attorney General Brian E. Frosh

Secretary David R. Brinkley, Department of Budget and Management

Secretary Ellington Churchill, Jr., Department of General Services

Robert E. Gleason, Chief Procurement Officer, Office of State Procurement, DGS

Joan Peacock, Manager, Audit Compliance Unit, Department of Budget and Management

Victoria L. Gruber, Executive Director, Department of Legislative Services

Patrick S. Frank, Policy Analyst, Department of Legislative Services

Larry Hogan Governor Boyd K. Rutherford



Ellington E. Churchill, |r.

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY_

November 30, 2020

Gregory A. Hook, CPA, Legislative Auditor Department of Legislative Services Maryland General Assembly

Re: April 2020 Joint Chairmen's Report (JCR) Agency Status Form for

Audit of Department of General Services (DGS) Office of Procurement and Logistics (OPL)

Report Date: December 5, 2019

Audit Period: October 28, 2015 to October 15, 2018

Dear Mr. Hook.

Attached is the Department's Agency Status Form for the audit findings as requested from the April 2020 JCR. Despite budget cuts, hiring freezes, and increased workload demands for goods and services procurements to combat the COVID-19 outbreak, DGS Office of State Procurement (OSP) continues to work diligently to fully establish all bureaus and units to address all audit findings promptly.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please feel free to contact myself or Jamie Tomaszewski, Chief Administrative Officer for the Office of State Procurement at Jamie.tomaszewski@maryland.gov or 443-632-7199.

Sincerely,

Ellington E. Churchill, Jr.

Elization & Churchit

Secretary







Department of General Services - Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

State Agency Procurement Monitoring

Finding 1

The Office of Procurement and Logistics (OPL) did not adequately monitor certain State agency procurements that were specifically subject to its oversight.

Recommendation 1a

We recommend that OPL monitor agency procurements by conducting audits of delegated procurements in accordance with State regulations and its procedures (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 1a				
Status as of 11/1/2020	In Progress	Completion Date:	12/31/2020	
Please provide details of	In Progress The Procurement Review ProJuly 16, 2020 with an introdu (APR) process to the Senior Petwo Account Executives (AER) have completed the PRP Man and have begun a pilot APR. ensure that the procurement of The PRP Manual includes inside kept and maintained to support This pilot APR is being performaning process to exercise the steps to identify any issues the own to conduct APRs. Update necessary, after this pilot revistant the second APR prior to Based upon the findings thus updated to establish an initial is meeting the overall procure program, staffing, and profess more in depth APR for the so This high-level, initial APR wexpeditiously and complete mealth of procurement practice.	gram (PRP) was officially kit ction of the Agency Procurer Procurement Advisory Group s) with OSP senior managem ual establishing the process of The PRP Manual has been deviews are sufficiently computer truction on what documentated the conclusions for each Actual the conclusions for each Actual the complete manual and all of at may arise before the AEs are to the manual will be made ew. Following the pilot APR the estimated completion date far in the pilot APR, the manual APR at a high level to assess ment standards (i.e., organizational standards) before perfolicitation and contract documentations are agencies to get a baseling of the procure agencies to get a baseling of the procure of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the procure of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform to the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a baseling of the AEs to perform the agencies to get a	cked off on ment Review (SPAG). The ent oversight for the APRs esigned to rehensive. ion is to be PR. as part of the fits review go out on their le, as the goal is to be if the agency rational, orming the lent review. reviews more e of the overall	
	, , , ,	es in the agencies and then for to twelve month period depe acy is found to be in "good st	ollow up with ending on the anding", then	

Department of General Services - Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

Statewide Procurements

Finding 2

OPL did not publish contract awards totaling \$37 million on eMaryland Marketplace, as required.

Recommendation 2

We recommend that OPL comply with State procurement laws and regulations by publishing contract awards on *eMM* within 30 days of the contract award (repeat).

	Status of Recom	mendation 2	
Status as of 11/1/2020	In Progress	Completion Date:	7/1/2021
Please provide details of corrective action taken.	Marketplace Advantage (gwhere the procurement file routed through the Procure awards are posted as part of Additionally, the AEs start in May 2020 to cross-check that we are in compliance by publishing contract awards are posted as part of Additionally, the AEs start in May 2020 to cross-check that we are in compliance by publishing contract award through grocurement conducted with procured outside of eMM/s competition for a task order SPAG meetings for all age addition to having available procurement maryland government awards. The train Learning Management System for the meeded. The target completion date would be implemented with "Go Live" date of Novemburgh workflows in eMMA. The system prompts for the proare published within 30 dates.	procedures to ensure that the Propured contract awards on eMary MMA). The procedures include and updated procurement cheese and updated procurement cheese and updated procurement of the OSP internal approval proted conducting quarterly random k. FMIS entries to eMMA posting with State procurement laws and ards on eMMA within 30 days of the courement Academy (MDPA) astrating the two different process and and ards on eMMA and the other is from a competition of the eMMA and the other is from a such as a sole source contract er. These training videos were a series procurement officers to see "Quick Reference Guides" (Quick Reference Guides) for the Procurement etc. The procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation is that Release 1.1. Society of the procurement of the expectation of the procurement of	land e a process cklists are rify the cess. I sample audits igs to ensure I regulations of the award. has created ses for posting we of "scratch" or secondary lso shared at ee the steps in RGs) on the costing their the MDPA cofficers to ty and polices unched with a I the contract x will include tract awards

Department of General Services – Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

Finding 3

OPL did not always provide prices for products available from Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreements to State agencies.

Recommendation 3

We recommend that OPL either independently publish contract prices or refer agencies to pricing information from the agency that procured the ICPA for items available from ICPAs for use by State agencies (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 3			
Status as of 11/1/2020	Completed	Completion Date:	5/31/2020
Please provide details of corrective action taken.	DGS OSP has confirmed that when lead state contact information is av BPOs for all awarded ICPAs. DGS ensure that procurement officers in state information when publishing the DGS Procurement Officer's infofficers to contact with questions of Additionally, the AEs have include the quarterly random sample audit since any ICPAs would also be list on eMMA within 30 days of award.	ailable on the DGS OSI S OSP has established p clude either the price list the ICPA on the website cormation for agency pro n pricing or other contract d checking this information of the eMMA contract a ed on the report for post	P website and rocedures to st or the lead e, as well as ocurement act concerns. Ition as part of award postings

Department of General Services – Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

Monitoring of Providers with Procurement Preferences

Finding 4

OPL did not establish comprehensive procedures for determining the fair market prices of goods and services available from MCE. Furthermore, OPL did not publish fair market prices of items available for purchase from MCE and BISM, as required.

Recommendation 4a

We recommend that OPL establish comprehensive procedures to independently determine and publish the fair market prices of MCE's goods and services (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 4a			
Status as of 11/1/2020	Completed	Completion Date:	7/31/2020
Please provide details of corrective action taken.	DGS OSP instituted a procedure to annual catalogs prior to publication conducting sample checks of MCE value. Those prices found to be ab presented to MCE for further discuincreases during a FY are submitted and approval. The procedures developmental and approval of the procedure of the procedu	n. This involves DGS Of items and confirming f ove fair market value an ission. Similarly, request d via e-mail to DGS OS eloped include guidance ricing review process.	SP staff air market re noted and sts for price P for review on

Recommendation 4b

We recommend that OPL publish or verify the fair market prices of BISM's goods and services as determined by the Pricing and Selection Committee for BISM and EWP (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 4b			
Status as of 11/1/2020	Completed	Completion Date:	7/31/2020
Please provide details of corrective action taken.	DGS OSP has posted the FY2021 a pricing for goods and services via a website. (See "BISM Master Price https://procurement.maryland.gov/ post updated price lists as available	a spreadsheet on the DG List" as a "Quick Link"). A process has been e	S OSP

Department of General Services - Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

Finding 5

OPL did not adequately monitor the coordinating entity for EWP to ensure that it met its responsibilities under the law.

Recommendation 5a

We recommend that OPL monitor the CE to ensure that it is providing the goods and services required by State law and the MOU (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 5a			
Status as of 11/1/2020	In Progress	Completion Date:	6/30/2021
	The revised MOU between DGS Of finalized and signed as of February OSP to establish documented proced as and the MOU. Eventually, the internal auditor to perform this morposition is frozen due to budget issuestimated completion date for this at This time is needed to develop procefforts.	28, 2020. The next tas edures for the two AEs to ods and services as requions? Splan includes his nitoring; however, at this ues resulting from the precommendation is June	k is for DGS to monitor the uired by State ring an is time, this andemic. The 30, 2021.

Recommendation 5b

We recommend that OPL specify in sufficient detail how the CE's responsibilities should be carried out (repeat).

Status of Recommendation 5b			
Status as of 11/1/2020	Completed	Completion Date:	10/30/2020
Please provide details of corrective action taken.	The CE updated its manual with the procedures as to how the CE's response the MOU and the procedure done in conjunction with the monitoresponse to Recommendation 5a to will continue as part of the CE wor a presentation at the October 15, 20 procurement officers with the updated Works Program (EWP). The "CE AEs, the OSP Business Manager, with the updated as DBM's Audit Compliance meet periodically with the CE to en	consibilities are to be can be be by DGS OSP; how defined the "CE work es are aligned. This revi- toring procedures being oprovide oversight and ekgroup. DGS OSP had 020 SPAG meeting to pa ted procedures for the I workgroup" consists of who would be the super- SP Chief Administrative Unit staff. The CE Work	rried out. The rever, there are group" to lew is being created in guidance, and the CE make rovide Employment the two OSP visor over the e Officer; as rkgroup will

Department of General Services – Office of Procurement and Logistics

JCR Status as of November 1, 2020

identify potential contracts for procurement and the procedures for the selection of preferred providers are consistent and being maintained in accordance with the manual and the MOU.

Status of Repeat Findings in OLA's December 5, 2019 Audit Report on the Department of General Services – Office of Procurement and Logistics (OPL)

Prior Recommendations Pertaining to Repeat Findings	Status Based on OLA Review
State Agency Procurement Monitoring 1. We recommend that OPL a. monitor agency procurements by conducting audits of delegated procurements in accordance with State regulations and its procedures.	Not Resolved (In Progress)
Statewide Procurements 2. We recommend that OPL comply with State procurement laws and regulations by publishing contract awards on <i>eMaryland Marketplace (eMM)</i> ¹ within 30 days of the contract award.	Not Resolved (In Progress)
3. We recommend that OPL either independently publish contract prices or refer agencies to pricing information from the agency that procured the Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement (ICPA) for items available from ICPAs for use by State agencies.	Resolved
Monitoring of Providers with Procurement Preferences 4. We recommend that OPL a. establish comprehensive procedures to independently determine and publish the fair market prices of Maryland Correctional Enterprises' (MCE) goods and services.	Resolved
b. publish or verify the fair market prices of Blind Industries and Services of Maryland's (BISM) goods and services as determined by the Pricing and Selection Committee for BISM and the Employment Works Program.	Resolved
5. We recommend that OPL a. monitor the coordinating entity (CE) to ensure that it is providing the goods and services required by State law and the Memorandum of Understanding.	Not Resolved (In Progress)
b. specify in sufficient detail how the CE's responsibilities should be carried out.	Resolved

¹ - *eMM* is an Internet-based, interactive procurement system managed by the Department of General Services (DGS). Effective July 2019, DGS replaced *eMM* with *eMaryland Marketplace Advantage (eMMA*).

OLA's Assessments Regarding Repeat Findings That Were Not Resolved

Prior Report Recommendation – Finding 1a

We recommend that OPL

a. monitor agency procurements by conducting audits of delegated procurements in accordance with State regulations and its procedures (repeat).

Status: Not Resolved

Our review disclosed that although DGS drafted procedures for conducting audits of delegated procurements, the procedures were being revised based on the results of a pilot audit which had not been finalized as of February 18, 2021. In addition, DGS had only initiated one additional audit of another agency's delegated procurements and scheduled six more audits to be performed in calendar year 2021. Audits for the remaining 53 agencies had not been scheduled.

Prior Report Recommendation – Finding 2

We recommend that OPL comply with State procurement laws and regulations by publishing contract awards on *eMaryland Marketplace* within 30 days of the contract award (repeat).

Status: Not Resolved

Our review disclosed that DGS had begun to develop procedures that would confirm timely contract publication on *eMaryland Marketplace Advantage* (*eMMA*) through utilization of a procurement checklist and subsequent supervisory review. However, these procedures had not been finalized at the time of our review. In addition, we noted that DGS had performed two quarterly reviews of a limited selection of contracts recorded in the State's accounting records to determine whether the associated awards had been properly published on *eMMA*; however, the resulting reports indicated inconsistent compliance statistics and omitted results of certain types of contracts. Finally, DGS advised that it is on schedule to implement an update to *eMMA* in 2021 that will automatically prompt procurement officers to publish contract awards within 30 days.

Prior Report Recommendation - Finding 5a

We recommend that OPL

a. monitor the coordinating entity (CE) to ensure that it is providing the goods and services required by State law and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (repeat).

Status: Not Resolved

Our review disclosed that although DGS had executed a new MOU between DGS and the CE to detail the CE's responsibilities for monitoring compliance with requirements of the Maryland Works Program, the related monitoring procedures had not been finalized. In addition, DGS

advised that an audit position necessary to perform the monitoring function had not been filled due to budget issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic.