Datasets & Tools

# Dataset

The dataset contains two folders, one containing 1 days worth of tweets sampled from the Events2012 collection, and another containing a full weeks worth of tweets sampled from the Events2012 collection. These are in datasets/1day and datasets/7days respectfully.

The 1day dataset contains information about 592,391 tweets, while the 7day dataset contains information about 3,988,534 tweets. You are advised to use the 1day set during development, and the 7days set for evaluation.

Within each of the folders (1day, 7days), the following comma separated (csv) files are provided:

**clusters.sortedby.clusterid.csv (1day: 37,634 tweets, 7days: 181,971 tweets)**  
This file is a good place to start with your analysis. This file provides clusters of tweets, one tweet per line, clustered using the entity-partitioning cluster method described in the paper “Real-Time Entity-Based Event Detection for Twitter” and covered in the lecture.

*Note that the “detection” step has not been performed, only clustering. Tweets which do not contain a name entity or which have no “nearest neighbour” have been removed, meaning that less than 10% remain. Your task is to automatically decide which clusters discuss events, and which clusters are noise.*

Each row represents a single tweet, with the following columns:

* cluster\_id
* cluster\_name\_entity
* tweet\_id
* timestamp\_ms
* user\_id
* tweet\_tokens
* tweet\_text

*cluster\_id* is the unique ID for the cluster that the tweet belongs to. Tweets with the same cluster\_id are part of the same cluster.

*cluster\_named\_entity* is the named entity which was used for the partitioning step before clustering. Tweets with the same cluster\_id will have the same cluster\_named\_entity.

*tweet\_id* is the unique id for the specific tweet. Note that the same tweet\_id could appear in multiple clusters if the tweet contains multiple named entities.

*timestamp\_ms* is the Unix Epoch timestamp for this tweet: the number of **milliseconds** since midnight on the 1st of January 1970 when the tweet was created.

*user\_id* is the unique id for the user who created the tweet.

*tweet\_tokens* provides a **space separated** list of tokens used in the tweet. These have been stemmed using the porter stemming algorithm.

*tweet\_text* is the original tweet text as posted by the user, however to make parsing this file easier, newlines have been replaced with a space.

Rows are sorted by their cluster ID (lowest to highest).

**clusters.sortedby.time.csv (1day: 37,634 tweets, 7days: 181,971 tweets)**  
The file is exactly the same as clusters.sortedby.clusterid.csv however has been sorted by time (oldest to most recent) rather than cluster ID.

**tokens.sortedby.time.csv (1day: 168,916 tweets, 7days: 1,144,157 tweets)**  
If you wish to perform token level analysis on individual tweets, this file provides:

* tweet\_id
* token1, token2, token3, …, tokenX

Tweets are sorted by their timestamp from oldest to most recent. This file **only contains rows for tweets which have at least one named entity as extracted by the Stanford NER.**

**namedentities.sortedby.time.csv (1day: 168,916 tweets, 7days: 1,144,157 tweets)**  
If you wish to perform tweet level analysis of named entities, this file provides:

* tweet\_id
* named\_entity1, named\_entity2, …, named\_entityX

The first column will always be the tweet ID, followed by a variable number of comma separated columns depending on the number of named entities mentioned in the tweet. Tweets are sorted by their timestamp from oldest to most recent. These were extracted using the Stanford NER.

**tweets.sortedby.time.csv (1day: 592,391 tweets, 7days: 3,988,534 tweets)**  
It is unlikely that you will need to use this file directly unless you wish to parse and tokenize the tweets yourself. This file contains “raw” information about the tweets, with the following columns:

* tweet\_id
* timestamp\_ms
* user\_id
* user\_name
* user\_followers
* user\_following
* tweet\_text

## Things to watch out for

* **Integer overflow**: Tweet IDs and Timestamps are 64 bit integers. A 32 bit int will not suffice. This can cause issues in some languages (namely Javascript) which do not support 64 bit integers.
* **Using the right order**: Some tasks are better suited to processing tweets in order of their cluster\_id, whilst other tasks will be much easier if tweets are processed as a time-ordered stream.
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# Tools

## eval.py

eval.py (eval/eval.py) is a Python script designed to evaluate the performance of event detection approaches by calculating precision and recall values. Unlike more traditional evaluation tools which work on a document by document basis, eval.py examines clusters of tweets to decide if the cluster as a whole is relevant to an event.

A special version of eval.py has been provided which can read csv formatted files similar to those provided with the coursework (such as clusters.sortedby.clusterid.csv).

To run eval.py on 1day/clusters.sortedby.clusterid.csv simply navigate to the eval folder using the command line and run:

python eval.py ../1day/clusters.sortedby.clusterid.csv

This will provide you with baseline results which you can compare to your modifications.

For example, if you have extracted all tweet clusters with 30 or more tweets into a file called clusters.min30tweets.csv, you can evaluate this by running:

python eval.py ../1day/clusters.min30tweets.csv

The tool will examine the file and produce output in 3 parts:

1. **A cluster by cluster summary**  
   A list of clusters eval.py was able to match to an event in the groundtruth.   
     
   Each row is a single cluster, where the cluster\_id corresponds to the cluster\_id in the file you asked it to evaluate.   
     
   The second column describes how many tweets in the cluster are known to be relevant to the event, out of the total number of known relevant tweets for that event in the groundtruth. The percentage gives the percent of tweets in the cluster that are also in the ground truth - 100% means that every tweet in the cluster is also in the groundtruth. This column gives an idea of how well the clustering approach performs and is mostly a measure of tweet recall rather.  
     
   The final column gives a description of the event written by one of the 5 crowdsourced evaluators for the event. You will notice that multiple clusters describe the same event. This is an example of “fragmentation”, a very common problem in event detection.
2. **Event / Cluster Statistics**  
   This gives aggregate information about how many of the 506 events in the relevance judgements were detected, and how many of the clusters were relevant to an event.   
     
   It is worth remembering that the groundtruth covers 4 weeks worth of data, not just the 1 week that you have been given. This means that recall is always going to be quite low. Also note that you cannot improve recall above the baseline measurement since this is a (effectively) a measure of clustering performance. Instead, you should focus in improving precision with minimum impact to recall. The F-Measure provides a “balanced” measurement which factores precision and recall equally.
3. **Categories**This breaks the detected events down by category. This can give clues about how the detection algorithm is behaving and what types of event it is good (or bad) at picking up, however it more interesting than useful.

Example output from eval.py can be found below.

CLUSTER\_ID TWEET COVERAGE EVENT DESCRIPTION FROM CROWDSOURCED EVALUATION

1032 61/651 (84%) It is about TV show by Keshya cole and her Husband

1035 7/651 (50%) It is about TV show by Keshya cole and her Husband

1048 14/104 (82%) Heriberto Lazcano Lazcano, the top leader of the c

1072 9/651 (47%) It is about TV show by Keshya cole and her Husband

1079 76/444 (77%) They all discuss about fat joe

1080 13/444 (100%) They all discuss about fat joe

1082 14/444 (87%) They all discuss about fat joe

1083 9/444 (69%) They all discuss about fat joe

1187 38/294 (100%) Malala Yousafzai, a 14 year old activist for women

1189 66/651 (82%) It is about TV show by Keshya cole and her Husband

119 69/235 (41%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

120 6/235 (15%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

121 21/235 (7%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

122 29/235 (60%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

124 15/235 (62%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

125 23/235 (88%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

1283 12/307 (100%) Penn State scandal involving imprisoned former foo

1295 11/307 (100%) Penn State scandal involving imprisoned former foo

1300 7/104 (87%) Heriberto Lazcano Lazcano, the top leader of the c

131 13/235 (19%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

134 14/235 (87%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

137 7/235 (77%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

1370 16/294 (84%) Malala Yousafzai, a 14 year old activist for women

1377 6/104 (100%) Heriberto Lazcano Lazcano, the top leader of the c

140 11/235 (100%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

141 6/235 (100%) is about an Award function. The nominees can be KE

*… lots and lots of rows removed …*

926 22/269 (64%) Some guy named omarion dancing on stage

938 17/269 (42%) Some guy named omarion dancing on stage

939 7/269 (38%) Some guy named omarion dancing on stage

955 13/269 (44%) Some guy named omarion dancing on stage

------- EVENTS -------

EVENTS / CLUSTER STATISTICS:

- Of 506 events, 19 were detected.

- Of 8829 clusters, 120 could be matched back to an event.

Event Recall: 0.038

Cluster Precision: 0.014

Overall F-Measure: 0.020

----- CATEGORIES -----

CATEGORY NAME RECALL

Arts, Culture & Entertainment 5/53 (0.094)

Armed Conflicts & Attacks 3/98 (0.031)

Science & Technology 2/16 (0.125)

Miscellaneous 1/21 (0.048)

Sports 1/126 (0.008)

Business & Economy 1/23 (0.043)

Law, Politics & Scandals 6/140 (0.043)

**Example output produced by eval.py evaluating the 1 days worth of clusters**