TATA online retail data analysis

1. Context

TATA is a global enterprise based in India, established in 1868. TATA has operated in over 40 countries across 6 continents, comprising 96 companies engaged in 7 commercial sectors. Retail is one of the 7 commercial sectors that TATA is currently operating in. The group's main revenue comes from 2 countries outside of India: the UK and the USA.

The management of TATA online retail wants to identify the major factors contributing to revenue and plan strategically for next year. They want to view metrics from both operations and marketing perspectives and seek guidance on areas performing well. They also want to view demographic-based metrics.

Your role is pivotal in understanding and optimizing the company's revenue generation. You'll be diving deep into the available data to uncover insights that will guide the company's strategic decisions for the upcoming year. The ultimate goal is to identify the key drivers of revenue growth, both from operational and marketing perspectives.

2. Dataset

Data source: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/ishanshrivastava28/tata-online-retail-dataset

Description:

This dataset is about online retail orders of TATA in 2010 and 2011, which is available in both csv and xlsx formats. The given dataset contains 8 attributes:

- 1. InvoiceNo: Invoice number.
- 2. StockCode: Product code. Unique for each product.
- 3. Description: Description for products in each invoice.
- 4. Quantity: The quantities of each product per transaction.
- 5. InvoiceDate: Invoice date and time. The day and time when each transaction was generated.
- 6. UnitPrice: Product price per unit.
- 7. CustomerID: Customer number, uniquely assigned to each customer.
- 8. Country: Country name.

| | InvoiceNo | StockCode | Description | Quantity | InvoiceDate | UnitPrice | CustomerID | Country |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| 0 | 536365 | 85123A | WHITE HANGING HEART T-LIGHT HOLDER | 6 | 01-12-2010 08:26 | 2.55 | 17850.0 | United Kingdom |
| 1 | 536365 | 71053 | WHITE METAL LANTERN | 6 | 01-12-2010 08:26 | 3.39 | 17850.0 | United Kingdom |
| 2 | 536365 | 84406B | CREAM CUPID HEARTS COAT HANGER | 8 | 01-12-2010 08:26 | 2.75 | 17850.0 | United Kingdom |
| 3 | 536365 | 84029G | KNITTED UNION FLAG HOT WATER BOTTLE | 6 | 01-12-2010 08:26 | 3.39 | 17850.0 | United Kingdom |
| 4 | 536365 | 84029E | RED WOOLLY HOTTIE WHITE HEART. | 6 | 01-12-2010 08:26 | 3.39 | 17850.0 | United Kingdom |

Data exploration:

```
RangeIndex: 541909 entries, 0 to 541908 Data columns (total 8 columns):
```

| # | Column | Non-Null Count | Dtype | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 0 | InvoiceNo | 541909 non-null | object | | | | |
| 1 | StockCode | 541909 non-null | object | | | | |
| 2 | Description | 540455 non-null | object | | | | |
| 3 | Quantity | 541909 non-null | int64 | | | | |
| 4 | InvoiceDate | 541909 non-null | object | | | | |
| 5 | UnitPrice | 541909 non-null | float64 | | | | |
| 6 | CustomerID | 406829 non-null | float64 | | | | |
| 7 | Country | 541909 non-null | object | | | | |
| dtype | dtypes: float64(2), int64(1), object(5) | | | | | | |

```
The percentage of null values across the total records:
```

```
InvoiceNo 0.000000
StockCode 0.000000
Description 0.002683
Quantity 0.000000
InvoiceDate 0.000000
UnitPrice 0.000000
CustomerID 0.249267
Country 0.000000
```

Overall:

- There're 541909 records in this dataset.
- There're 2 features with null values: Description and CustomerID. Null values in CustomerID account for approximately 25% of the data.
- Three numerical features are Quantity, UnitPrice and CustomerID. InvoiceDate should be a datetime type. The remaining 4 attributes can be considered as categorical or discrete, depending on the number of unique data points.

- Both Quantity and UnitPrice have negative values. This is abnormal. The values of these two variables also have extreme outliers (max too far from Q3).

Details:

- InvoiceNo:

- + There're 25900 unique orders in this dataset.
- + Almost invoice numbers in this dataset are represented by string of number, some contain letters. Invoice numbers which have letter contain 'A' or 'C' and their position are at the begining of string. After analysis, it can be observed that invoice numbers starting with 'C' represent canceled orders, those starting with 'A' indicate bad debts.
- + All canceled orders have negative quantities.
- + There're also some orders without cancellation but have negative unit prices and quantities. Records with negative unit prices that are not cancelled are considered bad debts and customer id of them are NaN. Records with negative quantities that are not cancelled could be due to shortages or damages, based on the description. Besides, unit price of them seem to be all zeros and customer id are NaN.

3. Data preprocess and exploration

Data cleaning:

- Remove duplicated records.
- As negative unit price, negative quantity and null customer id don't contribute to total revenue, I'll drop all of them. Besides, I'll fill in null value in description with 'Unknow description'.
- As I have mentioned, invoice date should be datetime type. Therefore, I'll also convert the datatype of this attribute and separate the hour, day, month and year from it.
- Afterall, I create a new column call Revenue for analysis convinience.

Data exploration:

Because invoice no are essential for data cleaning purposes, I have explored them first. Now, I'll proceed to explore the remaining data fields.

- StockCode:

- + There're 3665 unique stock code in this dataset.
- + Top 5 stock code by quantity are: 85123A, 22423, 85099B, 84879, 47566.


- Description:

- + There're 3866 unique description in this dataset.
- + Although description may seem to describe the product name and quantity, there are also descriptions that refer to damage or deficiencies, which account for the discrepancies with the stock code.


- Country:

+ There're 37 unique country in this dataset. This means that TATA business network is quite extensive. In which, UK is the largest market.

- Quantity:





+ From histogram of quantity, it can be observed that this field have extreme outliers. I'll remove some outliers to see the true distribution of this attribute. The technique I use here is IQR. IQR defines outliers as those values that under (Q1 - 1.5IQR) and above (Q3 + 1.5IQR) with IQR = Q3-Q1.




+ This is the distribution of quantity after removing outliers based on IQR. UnitPrice and revenue is the same as quantity.


- UnitPrice:






- Revenue:





- InvoiceDate:

+ This dataset contains orders in 2010 and 2011. Orders in 2011 are much more than in 2010.



The heatmap above shows correlation of numerical features. As we can see that quantity and revenue have a strong positive linear relationship (r = 0.91).

4. Revenue analysis

Objectives:


- Identify revenue source, involves examining various aspects, such as product categories, geographic regions...

Details:

Top performing products: top product that drive the most revenue


As the UK is a significant market for TATA, I'll analyze the revenue of this market separately compared to the other markets.

Below is bar plot of revenue by product in UK.




It can be observed that handmade crafts and decorations are the products with the highest revenue in UK.

The following chart is revenue by product in other countries (except UK).




Top performing countries: top country that drive the most revenue




Because the UK has outstanding revenue compared to other markets, we will have a dedicated marketing plan for this region. Additionally, there are some potential areas like Netherlands, EIRE, Germany, France and Australia that also need to be promoted.

Revenue by month



Revenue by month in 2010




Revenue by month in 2011

There is a difference in the revenue trend between 2010 and 2011.

In 2010, revenue seems to increase dramatically in the end of year. However, in 2011, revenue hit its peak in September and December is the month with lowest revenue.

Revenue = Quantity * Unit Price. Therefore, the decline or raise in revenue is related to a decrease or increase in the quantity of products.

Total Quantity by Country and Year



As we can see, the total quantity of products increases much more in 2011 rather than in 2010. Especially, in the largest market UK, total quantity in 2011 is 3974732 and in 2010 is 266573, fifteen times higher.

5. Customer segmentation

Objectives:

Segmenting customers into multiplesegments based on buying behaviour. This task is essential to tailor appropriate marketing strategies for each customer group.

Technique:

Using RFM model. RFM stands for Recency, Frequency and Monetary – a model used to construct customer segments based on buying behaviour.

R - Recency: How recency customer bought? R = now - last purchase date

F – Frequency: How often they bought? F = total number of orders

M – Monetary: How much they bought? M = total money spent

Details:

Since the data is too old, without losing generality, I'll assume that the current year is 2012.

After caculating recency, frequency and monetary score for each customer, I have below table.

| | CustomerID | Recency | Frequency | Monetary |
|------|------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| 0 | 12346.0 | 347 | 1 | 77183.60 |
| 1 | 12347.0 | 61 | 7 | 4310.00 |
| 2 | 12348.0 | 97 | 4 | 1797.24 |
| 3 | 12349.0 | 40 | 1 | 1757.55 |
| 4 | 12350.0 | 332 | 1 | 334.40 |
| | 922 | 222 | 122 | 22 |
| 4333 | 18280.0 | 181 | 1 | 180.60 |
| 4334 | 18281.0 | 25 | 1 | 80.82 |
| 4335 | 18282.0 | 237 | 2 | 178.05 |
| 4336 | 18283.0 | 31 | 16 | 2045.53 |
| 4337 | 18287.0 | 21 | 3 | 1837.28 |

I plan to divide customers into 11 segments as below:


| Segment | Description | Recency Score | Score Score Sc | | |
|--|--|------------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Champions | Bought recently, buy often and spend the most. | 4 - 5 | 4 - 5 | 4 - 5 | |
| Loyal Customers | Spend good money, Responsive to promotions. | 2 - 4 | 3 - 4 | 4-5 | |
| Potential Loyalists | Recent customers, spent good amount, bought more than once | 3 - 5 | 1 - 3 | 1 - 3 | |
| New Customers | Bought more recently but not often | 4 - 5 | < 2 | < 2 | |
| Promising Recent shoppers but haven't spent much | | 3-4 | < 2 | < 2 | |
| Need Attention | Above average recency, frequency & monetary values | 3 - 4 | 3 - 4 | 3 - 4 | |
| About to Sleep | Below average recency, frequency & monetary values | 2 - 3 | < 3 | < 3 | |
| At Risk | Spent big money, purchased often but long time ago | < 3 | 2 - 5 | 2 - 5 | |
| Can't Lose Them | Made big purchases and often but long time ago | < 2 | 4 - 5 | 4 - 5 | |
| Hibernating | Low spenders, low frequency and purchased long time ago | 2 - 3 | 2 - 3 | 2 - 3 | |
| Lost | Lowest recency, frequency & monetary values | < 2 | < 2 | < 2 | |

Therefore, I'll divide each range of R, F, M into 5 equal intervals. Afterall, I have table as below:

| | CustomerID | Recency | Frequency | Monetary | RScore | FScore | MScore | rfmscore | segment |
|---|------------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|------------------------|
| 0 | 12346.0 | 347 | 1 | 77183.60 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 111 | Lost |
| 1 | 12347.0 | 61 | 7 | 4310.00 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 455 | Champions |
| 2 | 12348.0 | 97 | 4 | 1797.24 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 344 | Loyal Customers |
| 3 | 12349.0 | 40 | 1 | 1757.55 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 411 | Potential Loyalists |
| 4 | 12350.0 | 332 | 1 | 334.40 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 111 | Lost |

Power BI Dashboard






Total

\$8,316,786.164

4840954


Customer Segmentation Dashboard

4338 Total customers 6 Total segments





Total revenue in each segments



Total Customer by Country and Segment

