Understanding `Discount`

Finding 1: Applied Per-Product

Discount is not applied to the whole order, but to individual products within it.

Proof: Query found orders where
MAX(discount) >
MIN(discount) for the same
order_id.

Finding 2: It's a Percentage

The discount column (e.g., 0.5) represents a percentage (50%), not a fixed amount.

Proof: The formula sales * (1
- discount) produced logical
`sale_after_discounts` values.

Finding 3: Highly Dynamic

Discount for a single product can change over time, even within the same day.

Proof: Grouping by product_code and order_date showed multiple discounts for the same combination.

Finding 4: Schema Lacks Derived Metrics

The tables do not contain pre-calculated columns for values after promotion (e.g., net sales).

Implication: For efficiency, it's recommended to create a database VIEW or a new summary table containing these calculated metrics for consistent analysis.

Data Exploration Findings

Understanding `Sales` & `Quantity`

Finding 1: Data is Consistent

The relationship between 'sales' and 'quantity' is reliable.

Proof: Allows for consistent calculation of unit_price = sales / quantity across the dataset.

Finding 2: Calculating Totals

Total items sold for a product in a time range can be calculated reliably.

Method: Use SUM(quantity) grouped by product_code and a date range.

The Quest for `Cost`

Hypothesis 1: Find "True Cost" at Break-Even

Result: DISPROVEN. Query returned 0 records. This implies the business never sells at its exact break-even point.

Hypothesis 2: Calculate "Implied Cost"

We can reverse-engineer the cost from every transaction.

The Master Formula:

```
implied_cost = sales * (1
- discount) - profit
```

Final Finding: Cost is DYNAMIC

The 'implied_cost' for the same product is not stable, even in the same country.

Proof: The cost_variance query showed large differences between MIN(cost) and MAX(cost) for the same product/country group. This suggests cost changes over time.