# IFN564 assignment

## Key information

Release date: Thursday, October 6<sup>th</sup>

Submission date: Friday, November 4th, 11.59pm

Submissions will be through Blackboard, using two links:

A Turnitin link to submit your report

A standard Blackboard link to submit an archive containing your code

Only documents submitted through the appropriate links will be considered for marking.

As in all units, this assignment is subject to QUT's stringent late submission policy. Extensions are processed centrally, without any input from the teaching team. Please refer to the Faculty student services pages for details.

room capacity: fix => array

1 screen, 1 film per day

queue for waiting customer customer list: linked list (not fix) 10 fav movies: stack (latest) receiving movies: store in collection (latest by stack)

# Problem description

Should based on automated testing

Imagine you are running a small independent cinema. You have a single screening room, and show a single movie each day, for which customers can buy tickets. There is no reserved seating: customers just buy a ticket (within room capacity) and can seat anywhere. There is no advance booking system. If there is no ticket available for the next session, customers just have to come back another day. Customers like the informal character of your cinema, and do not seem to mind the lack of a booking option.

When customers arrive to the cinema, they wait in line to be served. Once their turn has arrived, you check that they exist in the system. If not, a new profile is created. Then, a ticket is sold (if seats are still available). If the movie is sold out, all customers are told to leave.

how many seat available?

fix room capacity

fav movie is never burn out

You receive new movies at random times, and store them in your collection. Because the schedule for new arrivals is quite irregular, you like to always screen the most recent movie. After the movie has been shown once, it is discarded. In case no new movie has arrived in a while, you always keep a copy of your favourite movie somewhere safe, as a backup.

You need your system to allow you to add or remove customers and their information (name, phone number, payment method). You also store how many screenings they have attended, because after 10 screenings they get a free ticket.

## Task 1 Use 5 steps in lecturer class

- 1. Identify which data structures are appropriate to develop this system, and clearly justify your choice.
- 2. Identify the algorithms you need to implement to manipulate these structures and to develop the overall system.

focus on here

3. Analyse these algorithms in terms of their efficiency. If their best-, worst- and average-case behaviours are different, focus your analysis on the best-case and worst-case efficiency.

#### Task 2

- 1. Implement the data structures and algorithms identified in Task 1. As discussed in class, you need to implement your own structures (apart from the array of course). For instance, if you use a linked list, you <u>cannot</u> use the existing linked list structure in your programming language. You need to implement your own.
- 2. Experimentally test the correctness of your implementation. Make sure to justify why your tests are appropriate to establish correctness.
- 3. Experimentally test the efficiency of the algorithms you implemented.

describe eficiency for algorithm once time

### Assessment criteria

psedudo code in report

Task 1 (20 marks)

|               | Criteria           |                              | Marks  | Excellent                     | Satisfactory             | Unsatisfactory           |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|               | Data               | Choice                       | 2      | All the data structures are   | Some data structures     | The data structures      |
|               | structures         |                              |        | appropriate for this          | are sub-optimal          | are not suitable for     |
|               |                    |                              |        | system                        |                          | this system              |
|               |                    | Justification                | 2      | Clear and valid               | Some justification       | No (or incorrect)        |
| check how mai |                    | can replace by binar         | v tree | justification                 |                          | justification            |
|               | Algorithms         | Adding a customer 🔑 🛭        | 2      | This is the right algorithm   | The algorithm has some   | The algorithm is         |
|               |                    | Removing a customer          | 2      | to use for this task, and it  | minor errors, or may     | incorrect, or is grossly |
|               |                    | Receiving a new movie        | 2      | is presented without          | not be the best option   | inefficient              |
|               |                    | Scheduling the next movie 📞  | 2      | errors                        | for this task            |                          |
|               | ny movies they see | Serving customers 🔇          | 2      |                               |                          |                          |
|               | Efficiency         | Basic operation and input    | 1      | Basic operation and input     | Basic operation and      | Basic operation and      |
|               |                    | size                         |        | size clearly identified, well | input size appropriate   | input size               |
|               |                    |                              |        | justified, and suitable       | but poorly justified     | inappropriate            |
|               | Lecturer 1.3       | Reflection on best, worst,   | 1.5    | Appropriate and well          | Correct reflection       | Incorrect reflection     |
|               |                    | and average cases            |        | justified reflection          |                          |                          |
|               |                    | Exact efficiency function(s) | 2      | Correct results, with all     | Correct results, lacking | Incorrect results        |
|               |                    |                              |        | steps explained               | justification            |                          |
|               |                    | Efficiency class(es)         | 1.5    | Correct results               | Incorrect results (but   | Incorrect results        |
|               |                    |                              |        |                               | correct with respect to  |                          |
|               |                    |                              |        |                               | an incorrect result for  |                          |
|               |                    |                              |        |                               | the efficiency function) |                          |

Task 2 (20 marks)

|          | Criteria                          |   | Marks       | Excellent   | Satisfactory  | Unsatisfactory   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|--|
|          | Implementation                    | Code  | 4           | The programs implement<br>the algorithms faithfully   | There are unexplained differences between the algorithms and their implementations that could cast doubt on the validity of the experiments   | The implementations are incomplete, or differ from the given algorithms in a way which invalidates the experiments   |
| put this |                                   | Documentation   | 2           | The implementations are either self- evident or are explained clearly, succinctly, and accurately   | Some parts of the implementation are not explained clearly  | Lack of clear<br>documentation or<br>adequate comments   |
|          | is customer ad<br>efficiency: che |   | 3<br>array, | The functional correctness of the programs was tested or verified in a clear and appropriate way, including all relevant cases  | The way in which the programs were shown to work correctly lacks some minor detail or fails to consider some important input cases  | The programs'<br>functional correctness is<br>not demonstrated or<br>verified adequately   |
|          | s on in report                    | Test results  | 3           | Results from the tests are<br>presently clearly and<br>easily reproducible<br>without manual input  | The presentation of the test results is somewhat unclear or incomplete  | Inadequate<br>presentation of the test<br>results  |
|          | Efficiency                        | Testing strategy  | 3           | The efficiency of the programs is measured in an accurate way, and the input size range is appropriate  | Some minor imprecisions in the measurements or in the choice of the input size range  | The programs' efficiency is not tested adequately  |
|          |                                   | Test results  test for correctness test for efficiency  testing not depend on in => automated testing | 5<br>but    | Excellent presentation of the results. It is clear how many data points contributed to the graphs of results and how many tests contributed to each data point. Experiments produced clear trends and the results are explained clearly | Some issues with the presentation of the results. For instance, graphs of results do not clearly show individual data points or it is not clear how many tests contributed to the results, or the discussion of the results lacks details | It is impossible to tell how many tests or experiments contributed to the final results. The results are insufficient or too inaccurate to allow convincing conclusions to be drawn from the experiments |

testing: wide range value, avg, small testing in special case, worst, best, avg

automation testing

# Academic honesty

This is an individual assignment.

If there is any concern about the originality of your work, you may be asked to give a practical demonstration of your program and/or an oral explanation of your analysis. If this does not allow us to authenticate your learning, you may be deferred to the University academic misconduct committee.

The purpose of this assignment is to give on-hands experience that will help you in your future studies and in the workplace. You have nothing to gain, and a lot to lose, by not approaching it honestly.

If you have any doubts, please ask questions.